

Rethinking the Performance-Degradability Trade-off in Sustainable Textile Fibers

ISSN: 2578-0271



***Corresponding author:** Weibo Ru, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering/State Key Laboratory Incubation Base for Green Processing of Chemical Engineering, Shihezi University, Shihezi 832000, P. R. China

Submission: 📅 August 12, 2026

Published: 📅 August 25, 2026

Volume 12 - Issue 1

How to cite this article: Weibo Ru*. Rethinking the Performance-Degradability Trade-off in Sustainable Textile Fibers. Trends Textile Eng Fashion Technol. 12(1). TTEFT. 000778. 2026.
DOI: [10.31031/TTEFT.2026.12.000778](https://doi.org/10.31031/TTEFT.2026.12.000778)

Copyright@ Weibo Ru. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Weibo Ru*

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering/State Key Laboratory Incubation Base for Green Processing of Chemical Engineering, Shihezi University, Shihezi 832000, P. R. China

Abstract

The rapid adoption of biodegradable fibers in the textile industry is often presented as an unequivocal solution to microfiber pollution and landfill accumulation. However, this commentary argues that the current emphasis on biodegradability overlooks a critical trade-off: the inherent performance limitations of many biodegradable fibers-lower tensile strength, lower abrasion resistance, and higher moisture sensitivity-can lead to shorter product lifespans. Life cycle assessment evidence suggests that premature replacement may offset or even outweigh end-of-life biodegradation benefits. Furthermore, most commercial "biodegradable" claims are based on industrial composting conditions that do not reflect real-world disposal scenarios. This commentary therefore calls for a differentiated, application-specific strategy: prioritizing durability and recyclability for long-lived products while reserving high-biodegradability designs for short-lived applications, alongside more ecologically relevant testing standards.

Introduction

The rapid growth of the sustainable textile market has placed biodegradable fibers-such as polylactic acid (PLA), regenerated cellulose, and bio-based polyesters-at the forefront of eco-friendly fashion. Many studies and industrial claims promote biodegradability as the ultimate solution to microfiber pollution and landfill accumulation [1]. However, this commentary argues that the current obsession with degradability overlooks a critical trade-off: in many real-world applications, high biodegradability often compromises mechanical performance and product lifespan, potentially leading to even greater environmental burdens. A more balanced, application-specific approach is urgently needed.

Performance loss in biodegradable fibers is non-negligible

Numerous comparative studies have shown that biodegradable fibers typically exhibit lower tensile strength, lower abrasion resistance, and higher moisture sensitivity than conventional synthetic fibers (e.g., polyester, nylon). For example, PLA fibers have a tensile strength of approximately 40-60cN/tex, while standard PET fibers reach 60-80cN/tex. Moreover, under humid conditions or repeated washing, bio-based fibers undergo accelerated hydrolysis, resulting in rapid loss of shape and durability [2]. In high-performance applications such as sportswear, outdoor gear, and automotive textiles, this performance gap is still unacceptable.

Premature degradation can increase, not reduce, environmental impact

The ultimate goal of using biodegradable fibers is to reduce long-term environmental persistence. Yet if a garment loses its functional integrity after only a few months of use, the consumer is forced to replace it more frequently. Life cycle assessment (LCA) studies have demonstrated that the use phase contributes the majority of environmental impacts for

apparel - especially through water, energy, and dyeing processes. A shorter product lifespan caused by inherent fiber weakness may offset the end-of-life biodegradation benefit [3]. In other words, a T-shirt that degrades in a landfill within six months is not “greener” if it has already generated twice the carbon footprint due to multiple replacements.

Current biodegradability testing standards do not reflect real disposal conditions

Most commercial claims of “biodegradable” are based on controlled industrial composting conditions (e.g., 58 °C, 50-60% humidity, abundant microorganisms). However, the vast majority of textile waste ends up in landfills, open dumps, or marine environments - conditions with widely varying temperature, oxygen availability, and microbial activity. Under such realistic scenarios, even certified biodegradable fibers can persist for years [4,5]. Therefore, marketing products solely on the basis of laboratory-accelerated degradation is misleading. A more honest and ecologically relevant assessment should include field-simulated tests.

Towards a hybrid strategy - “design for durability first, biodegradability second”

Instead of pursuing maximum degradability for all textile applications, I propose a differentiated strategy:

For short-lived products (e.g., single-use wipes, agricultural mulch fabrics, packaging textiles) - high biodegradability is justified, and moderate mechanical strength is acceptable.

For long-lived products (e.g., clothing, upholstery, industrial textiles) - priority must be given to durability, repairability, and recyclability. Biodegradability should be considered only after a sufficiently long useful life, or be triggered by specific end-of-life treatments (e.g., enzymatic or chemical recycling).

This “durability-first” mindset does not reject biopolymers; rather, it encourages fiber engineers to develop blends or coatings that protect the biodegradable core during use but allow controlled breakdown at the end of life.

Conclusion

The enthusiasm for biodegradable textiles is understandable and valuable, but it should not come at the cost of ignoring performance - lifespan trade-offs. A balanced perspective is required: biodegradability is not an absolute virtue, but a property that must be matched to the intended application and real-world end-of-life scenario. Future research should focus on creating textile fibers with programmable lifecycles - strong enough to serve for years, yet capable of benign disintegration when intentionally disposed in appropriate environments. Until then, the industry should avoid oversimplified eco-claims and adopt a more nuanced, lifecycle-based definition of “sustainable.”

References

1. Chen Y, Wang L (2023) Mechanical performance of PLA and PET fibers under accelerated washing. *Textile Research Journal* 93(5): 1123-1135.
2. European Environment Agency (2024) Microplastic release from biodegradable versus conventional textiles-A comparative life-cycle assessment. EEA Report No. 12/2024.
3. Gupta R, Bhardwaj S (2022) Biodegradation of cellulosic and polylactic acid textiles in marine and landfill conditions. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment* 30: 2456-2470.
4. Muthu SS (Ed.), (2025) Sustainability in the textile and apparel industries: Consumerism and fashion sustainability. Springer Nature.
5. Thompson RC, De Falco F (2024) Biodegradable plastics in the environment: Where do we stand? *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 49: 175-202.