A Biomechanical Paradigm Shift: Part I:
Transforming Lower Extremity Biomechanics
Terminology, Nomenclature and Science as an Upgrade
to “STJ Neutral” and “Normal” Biomechanics

Hypothesis

Biomechanical Obstructions to Biomechanical Research and Practice need to be enshrined as Iconic Precursors and Replaced with a Paradigm Shift in order to allow Lower Extremity Biomechanics to Acculturate Onwards and Upwards as an Evidence Based Science.

Mission Bullets

A. Let’s lay to rest current belief that custom foot orthotics (CFO’s) work independently or medicinally. At their useful best, custom foot orthotics are a prop that can foster the body to adapt to new architectural and force stresses that force more optimal stability, support, strength, symmetry and balance when underfoot the right subject.

B. Let’s admit that current mainstream custom foot orthotics as a stand-alone product do not “Fix” or “Correct” anything. Picture a person putting CFO’s underfoot and then never moving, exercising or training.

C. Let’s enshrine the iconic biomechanical terminology and nomenclature (that has served its purpose) that is now inert, unscientific, poorly defined, poorly evidenced, poorly understood that is acting as a wedge to growth of the science. For decades, there have been few if any high level peer reviewed clinically applicable additions to the literature. This is due to the inability for researchers to develop cohorts that produce evidence that is clinically viable and applicable and the lack of consensus surrounding CFO’s in the biomedical engineering community.

D. Let’s enshrine the iconic “wet test” when it comes to foot typing and develop a foot typing method that classifies all feet into subgroups that can be researched foot type-specific in order to develop better cohorts.

E. Let’s enshrine as iconic the current goals/ceiling for researching and marketing custom foot orthotics such as “complaint relief”, they make one “feel good” and “they work”.

F. Let’s set the biomechanical goals/ceiling for custom foot orthotics higher by installing and marketing nomenclature like “improving functionality”, making muscle engines better leveraged.

G. Let’s eliminate the less than iconic unproven and exaggerated myths and false promises regarding custom foot orthotics. Terminology regarding CFO’s such as “they place feet in healthy or optimal positions” they “slow down bunion progression”, they “correct pronation”, they “enhance athletic performance”, they “improve functioning of muscles” they “reduce pathological movement”, they “enhance foot support” and especially the anti-Newtonian myth that current custom foot orthotics “realign and position feet properly” should be ensconced forever.

H. Let’s upgrade our goal set when it comes to CFO’s to maintaining and improving weight bearing stance, optimizing body support and improving body movement and performance, injury free, efficiently. The myths listed above that are being disproven in the literature depend on the definition of a custom foot orthotic to be a device that reduces abnormal motion or abnormal position of the foot. A device that controls abnormal foot functions and/or accommodates painful areas of the foot as a standalone product. These claims are myths because an orthotic can never be a stand-alone product unless it is acting as an accommodative device such as a crutch, cane or walker with a heroic goal to maintain already poor biomechanical architecture and engineering at an accepted low level [1].
The Given

a. The underutilized tenets with biomechanical architecture and engineering of the lower extremity is that there are three constant forces (the “crippling constants” in a civilized society), Earth’s gravity, ground reaction force (grf) and the atrophic and deforming pressures of civilized shoes (Figure 1) whose stresses must be adapted to from birth until death successfully as a given when developing a dynamic force equilibrium for living our weight bearing lives upright and bipedal.

b. Custom Foot Orthotics cannot supply, as stand-alone devices, enough force to accomplish this.

c. In closed chain upright stance and function the most important locations of the body needing optimal stability, support, strength, symmetry and balance are the feet.

d. Once the degenerative/draining (as suggested, changed from negative as more descriptive) energy of the crippling constants is neutralized with muscle engine power, the body must then have available additional energy in order to move about, lift objects and perform tasks and absorb additional stress supplied by internal and external factors such as additional body weight and inclines and obstacles within to ground surface.

The Current Biomechanical Force Equilibrium Formula

Force of the Crippling Constants+Force of Standing, Moving and Performing Tasks+Force of Internal and External Factors=The Forcs Produced/Available to Perform Injury, Deformity, Degeneration Free, Efficiently

When the force produced/available internally is insufficient to offset the stresses produced by the crippling constants and those emanating from living life in closed chain, injury, deformity, degeneration and poor performance are the result.

Fact

Current nonsurgical biomechanics and custom foot orthotics cannot produce [2-8] the force needed to overcome these constants nor can they stimulate adaptation of the muscle engines of the body to help provide the additional force to increase the force produced/available internally in the equation.

Hypothesis

The Conversion of a Custom Foot Orthotic from a Fix-It-Product (Stand Alone) to a Make-the-Body-Fixable-Product (A Prop) May be the Answer. We need to have a foundational pedal posture and a neuromuscular system that is both optimally structured and mechanically engineered that it will produce enough internal force to create a dynamic force equilibrium formula for living life upright and active healthier, longer, holistically.

Let’s begin with a new Biomechanical Force Equilibrium Formula:

Force of the Crippling Constants+Force of Standing, Moving and Performing Tasks+Force of Internal and External Factors=The Force Produced/Available Internally+the Force of Props+the Force of Therapy, Training and Coaching.

Is there literature describing the function of props? Not that I am aware. There are noncommercial publications with pictures or illustrations of prop usage, but there is not a descriptive reference. However, let’s take an overview of prop function. The way CFO props are used architecturally will depend upon the clinical experience, the ability to develop teams of biomechanically oriented practitioners and researchers willing to accculturate to a new paradigm. Utilizing props (straps, pads, OTC orthotics and CFO’s) is the safest way to teach your body to achieve proper alignment in any pose. Props free one up to master tougher poses, to control stability and flexibility internally safely and efficiently (Figure 2). Then to be weaned away, props are the way to offset the crippling constants thereby leaving existing internal architecture and engineering to be prodded towards more optimal structural and functional force equilibrium (think orthodontics optimizing the structure and function of the arches of the mouth).
poses (either collapsed or too stable) that if allowed to exist lead to predictable injury, deformation, degeneration, weakness, stiffness and poor performance. The foot must move from and be returned to what in athletic disciplines is called “a ready, optimal or critical position” from which it is best suited to perform its static and functional tasks [9-13].

Whole Body Compensation

Due to proprioceptive and muscle twitch nerves, the central nervous system (CNS), the myofascia and the body’s ability to use its muscle engines perform and compensate in various locations along the posture for supportive and physical needs while maintaining structural integrity. We have built in sensors, a computer and engines wired together. The problem is that once any area within the posture fails to be well posed or perform optimally, other areas adjust for the good of the whole body. A problem in one location of the body produces problems in other body locations as compensation. The areas of the body that fail most often are the weight bearing joints of the lower extremity, led by the feet and lower back. The Dystopian Posture starts in the feet and goes up the postural chain over time, with increased weight and increased activity (Figure 3).

The Foot as the Foundation of Closed Chain Function

Since the foot is the foundational organ of the closed chain posture, it is of major importance that the foot be kept within its range of optimal functional positions as early as possible and for as long as possible. The primary thing we can provide a human foot with as far as its “optimal functional position” in closed chain is some qualitative position, n=1, from which support and tasks can be performed most efficiently, injury and deformity free over its lifetime on a case to case basis as it morphs and changes its poses and axes [16,17].

Concession

Because Biomechanics and Custom Foot Orthotics are lacking in consensus; language and terminology needed to be invented to begin to define the subject for discussion and further research and trial. I have conceived of and defined such language for consideration and review of the reader and welcome your comments and edits at this point.
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