The Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation Reduces Isometric Strength in Apparently Health Women
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Muscular strength is considered one of the principal physical aptitude and healthy components [1] and its evaluation through manual grip, besides being relatively simple, does not involve considerable financial expenditures and possesses good predictive capacity. Therefore, it can have great value for triage in programs and researches considering physical activities and sports [2]. Suitable levels of strength and flexibility are important for good physical aptitude and healthy1 thereby previous works report stretching capacity in sport performance reduction [3], by neural and structural modifications due to muscular stretching [4]. Thus, this work aims to investigate the acute effect of PNF stretching method on the isometric strength of muscles used in manual grip of healthy women.

Twenty seven (n=27) subjects started the study. However, after going through inclusion and exclusion criterias, thirteen (n=13) women apparently healthy (with menstrual cycle controlled) were used as sample of the present study. This research project was submitted and approved by Comitê de Ética na Pesquisa da Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro (protocol number: 23083.006771/2011-02).

1st Visit: Individuals signed the written consent forms, underwent an anthropometric evaluation for the respective measures: body mass, stature, body composition (percentage of body fat) and evaluation of flexibility levels through goniometric evaluation (Lafayette Goniometer, EUA). Stature was measured with standing participants, barefoot, using a stadiometer (Sanny, 0.1cm, Brasil). Body mass was measured by a digital scale (Plenna, 0.1kg, USA). Body composition and flexibility were also evaluated and lastly, familiarization to the isometric strength test was done using a hand grip (Jaymar 5030JI, USA).

2nd Visit - Using a hand grip, three consecutive attempts were done, with a 60 seconds rest in between, the higher value was being considered as 1RM [5].

3rd Visit-Sample was randomly divided in 2 situations: 1) with pre-exercise stretching (PNF) and other with no stretching (NS). PNF subjects performed 1RM manual grip maximum test preceded by stretching wrist flexor muscles through PNF method, according to Costa e Silva et al. [6].

4th Visit - Respecting 48 hours between visits, protocols were randomly repeated until all subjects had their tests done in the 2 whole proposed situations. Always before tests, all individuals had their body temperature measured by forehead digital thermometers (Microlife MFT-FR1DMI, USA) and had shown an average of 35.85±1.07°C. Visits were conducted in laboratory and, previously to tests, average values of air humidity were written down (55-60%) and room temperature (20-23°C).

In order to determine treatment effects on a dependent variable (maximum strength of manual grip maximum) test t Student significance level adopted was p≤0.05. Calculation on isometric strength changes after PNF has been realized through effect impact
(effect size) (difference between experimental average and control, divided by control standard deviation, proposed by Rhea [7]).

Results found in the present study have shown statistically significant differences between PNF and NS (21.53±6.38 vs 23.53±6.06 Kg/N; p=0.039) (Figure 1). Between situations NS vs. PNF, the impact effect (0.33) proved trivial magnitude of isometric strength alteration (Table 1). Our study has demonstrated that stretching through PNF reduces significantly the capacity to produce isometric strength in muscles related to manual grip.

**Table 1: Effect size and magnitude of change in the static muscle strength between situations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NS vs PNF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effect Size</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude</td>
<td>Trivial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: No Stretching Situation; PNF: Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation

According to our results, 30 seconds of stretching by PNF method is already enough to occasion sensibility decrease in muscular proprioceptors, tendinous and articular, as well as in nociceptors, that constitute fundamental mechanisms for protection and organization of structures related to human movement, such strength reduction after stretching in different insistence times can be, partially, explained by an autogenic inhibition and reciprocal inhibition caused by stretching due to Golgi tendon organs activation that can caused ecrease in alpha motoneurons excitability. However, corroborating with Chagas et al. [9], we recommend further studies involving the long-term effects of stretching using different stretching methods and neurophysiological variables. In summary, it was verified that acutely stretching method by PNF causes reduction on muscular isometric strength of muscles involved in manual grip.
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