Successful Treatment of Neglected Clubfeet in 10 Cases Using Ponseti Method, with Outcomes after 8 Years
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Abstract

Background: Children with untreated clubfeet (CTEV) aged one year or older are usually walking on grossly deformed feet. Typically, they have been regarded as being ‘too old’ for treatment with the ‘gold standard’ Ponseti method. These children will rarely receive surgical correction, associated with poorer long-term results, and have a high probability of remaining disabled and impoverished for life. Treatment of older children with Ponseti method has been reported, and this case series shows the possible and positive outcomes in 10 Bangladeshi children, with minor modification of the original Ponseti method.

Aims: This case series aimed to report the results of treatment of neglected clubfoot in older children in rural Bangladesh, with follow up extended to eight years

Methods: Ten children with untreated/neglected clubfeet aged between 1-11 years were availed a modified Ponseti method treatment by a WFL physiotherapist in a rural region of Bangladesh.

Results: All children had functioning feet enabling them to walk, and had disabled lives transformed with application of low-cost treatment beginning at a later age. More resources were required (plaster bandage) for the longer legs, but compliance was enhanced by children’s understanding and desire for correction. Pictorial posters were aspirational for both the parents and children.

Conclusion: WFL has successfully treated over 25,000 babies and young children with CTEV since 2009. The results of treating neglected cases in Bangladesh have not been previously recorded. Whilst treatment for CTEV is ideally commenced prior to six months of age, neglected CTEV in older children should be managed with Ponseti method principles as a first line approach. Full correction may be achieved at low cost and low risk.
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Introduction

Globally, the number of children with congenital clubfoot deformity (congenital talipes equino varus, CTEV) receiving treatment has increased in the last decade [1]. A biennial survey of 58 low-and-middle-income-countries (LMICs), where 90% of CTEV births occur, found that in 2009 approximately 5,000 children with CTEV received treatment, increasing to 32,000 by 2017. Whilst impressive growth, the portion of children with CTEV in LMICs receiving treatment, was estimated at just 15 percent [2,3]. Of the 32,000 children enrolled for treatment in LMICs in 2017, 78% were aged less than one year, and 95% were aged less than two years. The number of children with CTEV receiving treatment in LMICs has increased, with most beginning treatment at a younger age. Overall, however, less than 20 percent of affected children accessed treatment, and enormous need and preventable disability continues [2].

Children with untreated dubfeet who are older than one year of age and walking on grossly deformed feet, have typically been regarded as having CTEV deformity that is too rigid for management with the non-surgical Ponseti method [4,5]. These same children will rarely receive surgical correction, due to both cost and access. Given that surgery is associated with poor long-term results [6], a dilemma arises when presented with older children in LMICs with neglected clubfeet.

In addition to advancing disability and impairment, it has been reported that neglected dubfeet in LMICs, is associated with less employment options, and reduced marriage prospects, a situation which is magnified for females [7]. In LMICs the parents' education
level, and literacy are often low, especially in women and girls which is very relevant for infant and maternal health, and can result in neglect of clubfoot deformity, which is ideally treated before six months of age [8,9]. This may also be impacted by especially scant health care services in rural regions of LMICs.

Walk for Life (WFL) is the National Clubfoot Project of Bangladesh, a not-for-profit aid project, which has conscientiously shared findings by publication [9-13]. Since 2009, WFL have treated over 25,000 Bangladeshi children with CTEV, by establishing 32 clinics across Bangladesh to enable access to clubfoot care, which was provided at no cost [14]. Neglected cases of clubfoot deformity in children aged between one and 11 years living in rural Bangladesh, have been treated using modified Ponseti method, enabling these older children to walk. This case series aimed to report the results of treatment of neglected clubfoot in older children in rural Bangladesh, with follow up extended to eight years.

Case Series Presentation

Table 1 presents 10 cases of healthy children treated for clubfoot deformity with mean age of 3.8 years (range: 1 to 11 years). Seven of the 10 cases were male, and eight cases had bilateral clubfeet. None had received any form of prior treatment when they presented at the WFL clinic at Bhola General Hospital in southern Bangladesh. All cases were assessed and treated by a WFL physiotherapist, who adopted the Ponseti method principles in these older children, with extended manipulation time (2 to 3 minutes) before plaster cast application. Each cast was changed weekly, as feasible.

Table 1: The age range categories of the 10 cases at the beginning of clubfoot treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range (years)</th>
<th>1-2</th>
<th>3-7</th>
<th>8-11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. cases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Proxy indicators of affluence, housing materials and father’s occupations.

Table 1 further displays the clubfoot case series by age at first visit. Table 2 collates proxy indicators of affluence, including house construction material, and father’s occupations. Table 3 displays the clinical data. The mean number of corrective plaster casts was 6 (range 4 to 8). An Achilles tenotomy was performed bilaterally in 6/10 cases, unilaterally in 1/10 cases, and 3/10 cases did not undergo a tenotomy. The time from application of the first corrective cast to beginning the maintenance foot abduction brace (FAB) use was 3.1 months (range: 1 to 7 months). The average initial severity assessment (Pirani score) was 5.4/6.0 (range: 5.0 to 6.0). The average severity assessment prior to the tenotomy was 2.1/6.0 (range: 1.5 to 3.0). The average final severity assessment was 0.5/6.0 (range: 0.0 to 1.5).

Table 3: Clinical data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Initial Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex M/F</th>
<th>Foot Type</th>
<th>No. Casts</th>
<th>PAT</th>
<th>1st Cast to FAB (Months)</th>
<th>Review Age (Years)</th>
<th>Initial Severity Score</th>
<th>Severity Score at PAT</th>
<th>Final Severity Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>2/5</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>1.5/1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.5/5.5</td>
<td>2.5/2.5</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>0/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.5/5.5</td>
<td>2.5/2.5</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.5/5.5</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.5/4.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.5/0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PAT: Percutaneous Achilles Tenotomy; FAB: Foot Abduction Brace

NB: n/a-data not relevant for 2 unilateral cases; n/r-data not recorded.

Figure 1 depicts a girl aged four years with neglected and marked dubfoot deformity (Figure 1a & 1b). The family were very poor, living in a mud house, and the father pulling a rickshaw to support the family. Initial casting was applied weekly after sustained manual stretching and corrective manipulation of the foot (Figure 1c). Six serial casts were required, following which the initial severity score 6/6 was reduced to 3/6 bilaterally. Following PAT and stabilisation casting for 3 weeks, the severity score was 1.5/6, and the first FAB was fitted (Figure 1d). Ten follow up visits have occurred since the end of FAB use, and she has been able to...
walk to school and become literate and numerate (Figure 1e). This case had eight year follow up overall. The final image (Figure 1d) shows the girl at age 12 years, standing on plantigrade and flexible feet, adjacent to her 'before and after' treatment pictures on a WFL public education poster.

**Figure 1:** Neglected CTEV presentation in a girl aged 4 to 12 years

- a. Four year old girl at initial presentation
- b. Neglected clubfeet at initial presentation
- c. First corrective casts
- d. Fitted with FAB
- e. Walking to school with corrected feet at age 7 years
- f. Review of feet at age 12 years
Figure 2 shows an impoverished boy aged four years with neglected clubfoot deformity (Figure 2a). He lived with his family in a small village in a house made from tin. His father is a labourer. This case was instrumental in the authors’ learning for management of neglected clubfoot deformity in older children. Six serial casts following stretch/manipulation (Figure 2b), preceded the PAT and FAB use (Figure 2c). The initial severity score of 5/6 reduced to 2/6 with casting, and following PAT was 0/6. Figure 2d at the two year follow up shows the boy’s corrected feet at age six years.

Figure 2a: A boy with neglected CTEV aged 4 to 12 years
a. Four year old boy at initial presentation with neglected clubfeet
b. First corrective casts applied
c. FAB fitted for maintenance of deformity correction
d. A happy and mobile 6 year old boy with full correction of his neglected clubfoot deformity

Figure 3a shows two six-year-old boys. The boy from Figure 2, following his clubfoot treatment, and a boy from the same village with neglected clubfeet. This boy’s father was another rickshaw puller, and the family lived in a tin house. The treatment commenced when aged six years, and required only four corrective casts, and no PAT before the maintenance FAB was fitted. The initial severity score 5.5/6 was reduced to 1/6 when the FAB was fitted. Figure 3b shows the boys aged 11 and 12 years, walking with functional feet. They play soccer and wear normal shoes.
Figure 3: A boy whose neglected clubfeet were treated from ages 6 to 11 years

a. Two 6 year old boys; neglected clubfeet (left), and corrected neglected clubfeet (right, case from Figure 2)
b. The same boys, five years later. Both attend school and play soccer. Both neglected cases had assured lifelong disability prior to treatment, rendering them active and potentially productive.

Discussion

The minimally invasive Ponseti method is globally accepted as the first line of treatment for CTEV and has achieved excellent results in children with idiopathic CTEV aged≤2 years [15-17]. However, the upper age limit for the Ponseti treatment has not been defined [18,19]. A recent systematic review examined the efficacy of the Ponseti method in older children with neglected CTEV concluding that neglected CTEV should trial of ponseti treatment, irrespective of the severity of the deformity, and that the upper age limit for successful outcomes remains uncertain [20]. The main modifications that have been incorporated when using the Ponseti method in older children are increased manipulation time [18,21], longer cast duration [21], and reduced foot abduction with the FAB [18].

This clinical case series of 10 cases with neglected clubfoot from a remote WFL clinic in Bangladesh, identified the following main points:

a) increased manipulation time (2 to 3 minutes) before each cast application
b) much more plaster was required/case due to increased leg length/size/cast strength, and 7/10 cases required ≥6 casts
c) very good treatment compliance and understanding, despite the children being less mobile with leg casts. Posters depicting other older children ‘before and after’ treatment of neglected clubfeet were encouraging.
d) the authors also posit the benefits of weight-bearing activity post-casting may assist ankle range, dorsiflexor strength, musculoskeletal loading forces.

Previous studies addressing the older child with neglected clubfoot and results of Ponseti method include a cohort aged 1 to 9 years, which used Ponseti method with modified manipulations (5 to 10 minutes) for more stretching of the soft tissues, which reduced the number of corrective casts [21]. Further, casts were changed every two weeks, and corrected the foot to 30 to 40 degrees abduction (rather than 70 degrees). The final correction was good for the forefoot and midfoot, but five cases required a posterior release of the hindfoot.

Despite the Ponseti method being known for 50 years, the upper age limit at which the Ponseti method can be successfully applied remains uncertain. It has been demonstrated that the Ponseti method achieves good results in children with neglected CTEV at an older age [18,19]. However, the protocol for such older cases has not been standardised for repeatable outcomes.

Future considerations to define the upper age limit for Ponseti method effectiveness will include:

a) standardised clinical protocol, with modifications defined by age, eg
b) manipulation times
c) cast type (long or short leg)
d) duration of each cast
e) equinus correction (tenotomy or Achilles lengthening)
f) FAB (or ankle foot orthosis)
g) increased sample sizes
h) longer term follow-up, with treatment failure defined.
While the Ponseti method is designated ‘non-surgical’, those experienced in the field find that some surgery may be required, viz. tenotomy (90%), tibialis anterior tendon transfer (15 to 40%), repeat tendo Achilles lengthening (TAL), and plantar fasciotomy for some relapsed cases [22]. Ponseti treatment for neglected CTEV has had encouraging results in terms of attaining a functional and visually acceptable foot and lessening the extent of surgery in cases where full correction is not achieved. Thus, neglected CTEV in children presenting at an older age should undergo Ponseti treatment initially, irrespective of the severity of the deformity. Finally, the upper age limit at which successful outcomes can be reached, remains uncertain [20].
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