The Role of Lateral External Fixation in Paediatric Humeral Supracondylar Fracture
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Abstract

Humeral supracondylar fracture is the most common elbow injury in children. Displaced fractures are often fixed with pinning method after a closed or an open reduction. Recently, lateral external fixation is becoming more popular in the treatment of humeral supracondylar fractures with reported good outcomes. The pros and cons of this new technique are being discussed in this review.

Introduction

Supracondylar fracture of the humerus is the most common type of fracture at the elbow in the paediatric age group [1]. This type of injury classically occurs as a result of fall on an outstretched hand, accounting for 17% of all paediatric fractures [2]. Extension-type injury accounts for more than 90% of all supracondylar humeral fractures and it commonly involves the non-dominant hand [3]. Gartland first described the classification of supracondylar humeral fractures in 1959 to facilitate their management [4]. Since then, a modified Gartland’s classification has been described with good intra-observer and inter-observer reliability [5]. A summary of the modified Gartland’s classification of humeral supracondylar fractures and the respective treatment options are highlighted in Table 1.

Table 1: Types and description of the modified Gartland’s classification of humeral supracondylar fractures and the recommended treatment options [5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Undisplaced</td>
<td>Casting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>Displaced with angulation, intact posterior cortex</td>
<td>Casting or closed pinning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>Displaced with angulation and rotation, intact posterior cortex</td>
<td>Closed pinning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>Completely displaced with no contact over posterior cortex Medial periosteal hinge intact, distal fragment goes posteromedially</td>
<td>Closed or open pinning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>Completely displaced with no contact over posterior cortex Lateral periosteal hinge intact, distal fragment goes posterolaterally</td>
<td>Closed or open pinning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Lack of periosteal hinge, presence of multidirectional instability</td>
<td>Closed or open pinning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fixation using pinning method with Kirchner wires (K-wires) after an open or a closed reduction is considered the “gold standard” for Gartland III and IV supracondylar fractures [6]. Various methods of pinning have been described, including medial, lateral and combined medial and lateral approaches [7]. Biomechanical studies showed that the construct stiffness of two lateral divergent wires is similar to that of the combination of medial and lateral wires [8,9]. Moreover, avoiding medial placement of Kirchner wires also eliminates the risk of iatrogenic ulnar nerve palsy [10,11]. In fractures that are unstable or are not anatomically reduced, either three lateral divergent Kirchner wires or 2 lateral and one medial Kirchner wires can be inserted for fixation [9].

Lateral external fixation

In 2008, Slongo et al. [12] introduced lateral external fixation as a new surgical technique to treat irreducible paediatric supracondylar humeral fractures. In this technique, all the Shanz pins and Kirchner wires are inserted from the radial side to avoid...
the risk of ulnar nerve injury. Intra-operatively, closed reduction of Gartland III and IV humeral supracondylar fractures can be challenging and the resultant conversion to open reduction can leave a bigger wound, causing more pain to the child. The “joystick” technique has been used with success to avoid open reduction [13-15]. In the lateral external fixation technique, both proximal and distal Shanz pins can be utilized as joysticks to achieve a good reduction [16]. Alternatively, another temporary Shanz pin can be placed distal to the deltoid insertion site to facilitate the rotational reduction prior to correction of sagittal and coronal planes using the proximal and distal Shanz pins [17].

Figure 1: A supracondylar humeral fracture which is fixed with cross Kirschner wires. The fixation is unsuccessful due to the rotational instability. The patient subsequently developed limited range of movement and loss of carrying angle of the right elbow. The patient also developed ulnar nerve injury secondary to the insertion of the medial Kirschner wire.

Figure 2: This patient with supracondylar humeral fracture is treated with lateral external fixation and Kirschner wire insertion. He subsequently recovers well with good cosmetic and functional outcomes.

Thirty out of 31 patients who underwent lateral external fixation for supracondylar humeral fractures had achieved good functional range of movement and all 31 of them had excellent cosmetic results [12]. Case series published by Kow et al. [17] also reported good cosmetic and functional outcomes in all bar one of their patients. Lateral external fixation offers a more superior biomechanical stability than the pinning method in flexion, extension, internal and external rotations [18]. Patients who have had lateral external fixation do not require post-operative back slab protection as a contrary to those undergoing pinning due to its more stable construction. Therefore, lateral external fixation will be useful for patients with comorbidities such as epilepsy or spasticity or for those in whom a stable fixation is difficult to be achieved as a step to anticipate unsuccessful pinning [16]. Furthermore, range of movement exercises can be started immediately post-operation when pain is tolerable, hence potentially achieving a better functional outcome. When the injured upper limb is free from the protective back slab, wound care will become easier and better. It is of utmost importance for a limb with multiple wounds.

Precaution

In lateral external fixation of supracondylar humeral fractures, care should be taken to avoid iatrogenic radial nerve palsy. It is
recommended to place the proximal Shanz pin under direct vision with a drill sleeve, 2cm proximal to the fracture line to prevent injury to the radial nerve which crosses the lateral supracondylar ridge of the humerus at the diaphyseal-metaphyseal junction [13,19].

Some surgeons may argue that this new technique poses a higher risk of infection due to the presence of more hardware. However, current evidence has shown that it has similar or reduced rate of infection compared to the closed pinning method [13,19].

**Conclusion**

Although pinning method is still the surgical treatment of choice for the management of supracondylar humeral fracture, lateral external fixation has a role to play in selected patients. It is advisable for orthopaedic surgeons to consider lateral external fixation technique as an effective alternative in the treatment of supracondylar humeral fracture.
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