


Cellular Dust Animation

Seun Ayoade*

Physiology, University of Ibadan, Nigeria

ISSN: 2577-1922



***Corresponding author:** Seun Ayoade, Physiology, University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

Submission:  June 02, 2021

Published:  July 26, 2021

Volume 2 - Issue 4

How to cite this article: Seun Ayoade. Cellular Dust Animation. Open Acc Res Anatomy. 2(4). OARA. 000542. 2021. DOI: [10.31031/OARA.2021.02.000542](https://doi.org/10.31031/OARA.2021.02.000542)

Copyright@ Seun Ayoade, This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited.

Opinion

I declare that it is theoretically possible to replicate every extinct plant or animal that ever was by what I have called “cellular dust animation”. Every living thing that has ever existed has left its genetic footprint on this earth in the form of the ubiquitous cellular dust microzymas [1]. From the Tyrannosaurus Rex to The Neanderthal, from the sabre toothed tiger to the dodo-their remains float around us, invisible to the naked eye. Years ago, Michael Crichton penned the sci-fi novel Jurassic Park. It told of extinct animals brought to life by cloning via blood in mosquitoes entombed in amber. How primitive and cumbersome! If only scientists would study and manipulate the ubiquitous microzymas, exact copies of long extinct creatures can be animated [2].

The Ignored Ingredient in the Miller-Urey Experiment

“There are over fifty organic compounds that are the building blocks (of life). Only two of these fifty occurred among the preferential Miller-Urey products.” Dr. Robert Shapiro, New York University. “The total yield was small for the energy expended.”- Stanley Miller. A few decades ago, in 1953 to be precise, Stanley Miller got less than ideal results when he tried to create life. The amino acids were structurally defective, why? [3-15]. I propose that Stanley Miller’s experiment was only partially successful because he did not consider the microzymas. Seun Ayoade’s Law of Living Things [16] states that the life, wellbeing (or otherwise) and death of all living things is ultimately governed by the state and activity of the microzymas/cellular dust thereof. There were obviously some microzymas in Miller’s contraption (if not he wouldn’t have gotten any results at all). However, these were obviously in a far from ideal state of coordination. I hereby call for a new experiment to be carried out. This time, though, the microzymas will be the only ingredients. But before this experiment is carried out the microzymas must be understood. A good step in understanding cellular dust behaviour will be to utilize Ramanujan’s summation, which is currently being used to understand String Theory. If such an experiment succeeds it will be proof positive of the cellular dust hypothesis/microzymas theory of origin.

The Comparative Necropsy and Inquest of Mister “U”

I present for your consideration the hypothetical case of a very unlucky man. Let us call him Mister “U” [“U” for Unlucky]. Mr. U wakes up one morning and heads to his kitchen to prepare breakfast. As he opens the kitchen door dust hits his face and he starts sneezing. The sneezing gets violently worse as he accidentally spills pepper on his nose. By evening Mr. U is curled up in a ball on his bed, sick as a dog. His medicine cabinet is empty so by dusk Mr. U decides it’s time to go to the pharmacy a few blocks away. It is freezing cold outside, yet all Mr. U wears is a sweatshirt- no muffler, headgear, boots or gloves. “It’s just a short hop” Mr. U says. By the time Mr. U gets to the pharmacy at the mall he has almost lost his voice, but his

problems have just begun. Terrorists are at the mall, and Mr U is taken hostage and locked in a damp basement with no heat or food. Three days later when the police end the standoff, Mr. U is found dead! The inquest mentions sneezing, cold, catarrh, influenza, pneumonia and pneumonitis.

How did Mr U Die?

“The cold virus and the pneumonia bacteria did him in” says the Germ theorist. “The dust and pepper inhalation which irritated his throat and nasal cavity coupled with cold and hunger did him in” says the Terrain theorist. “A complex combination of dust, pepper, cold, exposure, bacteria, viruses, malnutrition (starvation) and the psychosomatic stress of the harrowing kidnapping did him in” says The Germ terrain Duality Theorist [17].

AIDS Enigma

75% of babies born to HIV positive mothers are HIV negative! This is a function of terrain. No other explanation is possible. The reverse has been known to happen also! [18-20]. The Germ-Terrain duality theory of disease states that the etiology of certain diseases/diseased states is better explained as a complex interplay between germs and the inherent anatomical/physiological integrity of the body cells.

It argues that the etiology of certain diseases is not fully explained merely by the presence of germs (Germ Theory) or by a mere loss of cellular integrity (Terrain Theory). As a result, the prevention and treatment of such diseases should focus not just on fighting germs but on maintaining/restoring the anatomical/physiological cellular integrity. The Germ-Terrain duality theory is a harmonization of the current Germ Theory (popularized by Louis Pasteur) and the hitherto discarded Terrain Theory (popularized by Pierre Be champ).

Chaos Theory and Punctuated Equilibrium

Punctuated equilibrium favors cellular dust hypothesis over Darwinian evolution. Microzymas have been proposed as cause of spontaneous human combustion [21]. The hypothetical rapid change proposed in punctuated equilibrium could be carried out by the cellular dust. But then, punctuated equilibrium contradicts Darwinian evolution as Gould should have realized.

Chaos theory too favors the cellular dust hypothesis/microzymas theory of origin vis-à-vis evolution. According to chaos theory, behind apparent randomness and disorder there is a pattern. What better exemplifies this than the indestructible microzymas/cellular dust, the entities behind all life according to the said hypothesis?

References

1. Bechamp A (1990) Blood and its third anatomical element. Kessinger Legacy Reprints, USA, pp. 197-199.
2. Seun A (2017) The future of medicine lies in microzyma research, not in stem cell research; flexner the germ theory fiend. JOJ Nursing & Health Care 5(2): 1-2.
3. Miller SL (1953) A production of amino acids under possible primitive earth conditions. Science 117(3046): 528-529.
4. Miller SL (1955) Production of some organic compounds under possible primitive earth conditions. J American Chemical Society 77(9): 2351-2361.
5. Shapiro R (1986) Origins: A skeptics guide to the creation of life on earth. Summit Books, New York, USA, pp. 99.
6. <https://cw.ua.edu/3655/opinion/scientific-support-for-creationism-strong/>
7. <http://www.ideacenter.org/contentmgr/showdetails.php/id/838>
8. <https://www.truthinscience.org.uk/content.cfm?id=3161>
9. Eric T, James H, Aaron S, Daniel P, Jason P, et al. (2014) Conducting miller-urey experiments. J Vis Exp 83: e51039.
10. <https://www.discovermagazine.com/planet-earth/scientists-finish-a-53-year-old-classic-experiment-on-the-origins-of-life>
11. Eric T, Henderson J, Jason P, Daniel P, Michael C, et al. (2011) Primordial synthesis of amines and amino acids in a 1958 Miller H₂S-rich spark discharge experiment. PNAS 108(14): 5526-5531.
12. <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/primordial-soup-urey-miller-evolution-experiment-repeated/>
13. <http://www.eniscuola.net/en/argomento/beginning-of-life/the-beginning-of-life/millers-experiment/>
14. <http://www.nasonline.org/publications/biographical-memoirs/memoir-pdfs/miller-stanley.pdf>
15. Jerry B (2004) Why the miller-urey research argues against abiogenesis. Journal of Creation 18(2): 28-36.
16. Ayoade S (2019) A new natural law proposed-S.A.L.L.T. Emerg Infect Dis Diag J
17. Seun A (2017) The differences between the germ theory, the terrain theory and the germ terrain duality theory. JOJ Nursing & Health Care 4(2): 18-20.
18. <https://www.plannedparenthood.org/learn/teens/ask-experts/is-it-possible-for-a-baby-not-to-have-the-hiv-virus-even-if-the-mother-is-hiv-positive>
19. Mohammed M, Raveen P, Andiswa N (2009) HIV-negative mother with an HIV-infected child: A diagnostic dilemma SAMJ S AFR MED J 99(7): 513-514.
20. Newell ML (1998) Mechanisms and timing of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1. AIDS 12(8): 831-837.
21. Seun Ayoade (2019) Spontaneous human combustion-are the microzymas the culprit? Res & Rev Health Care Open Acc J 3(3): 276-277.

For possible submissions Click below:

Submit Article