Maximum Oxygen Uptake Prediction Model Based on Heart Rate Variability Parameters for Young Healthy Adult Males at Rest
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Abstract

The assessment of aerobic fitness through the measurement of maximum oxygen consumption (VO2 max) is an objective parameter that integrates cardiovascular, respiratory and metabolic responses, providing a reliable assessment of exercise capacity and health status, as well as being useful information for exercise training prescription. The purpose of this study was to determine a model for predicting Maximum Oxygen Uptake based on HRV parameters estimated at rest in 70 young physically active adults. After recording the resting tachogram with a cardio-frequency meter to calculate HRV parameters, a maximal cardiopulmonary incremental test was performed to measure the VO2 max. The model for predicting VO2 max was obtained by stepwise multiple linear regression assuming as independent variables the mean RR interval, pNN50 index, and a proposed cardiac deceleration rate. The models were cross-validated by K-fold method, and the best model accounted for 76% of data variance, with a standard error of estimate 4.40mL·kg-1min-1. In conclusion, the obtained model might be tested as a tool for predicting the aerobic fitness in adult males in rest based on the mean RR interval and the pNN50HRV parameters. Thus, the findings are not only interesting but important in that they can be performed without the need of applying a stress test and extend the HRV applicability in the evaluation of aerobic capacity and athletic performance.
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Introduction

The gold standard method to assess maximum oxygen consumption (VO2 max) is the maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) [1], providing a reliable assessment of exercise capacity and health status, as well as being useful information for exercise training prescription [2]. However, CPET is not indicated for some high-risk groups, and it represents an expensive procedure due to safety requirements of medical attendance and emergency apparatus [3]. Consequently, several equations (Table 1) have been proposed to estimate maximal and submaximal oxygen uptake based on body mass, age, gender, height, perceived exertion, frequency of exercise, heart rate, walk time, run time, and load in watts [4-11]. Nevertheless, none of these studies investigated the use of HRV indexes at rest to the development of a consistent method to predict aerobic fitness.

On the other hand, the analysis of heart rate variability (HRV) is frequently used to assess the autonomic control of the heart rate fluctuations due to its wide range and cost-effective application [12-14]. It is well known that aerobic fitness promotes cardiac remodeling, leading to increased parasympathetic tone at rest [15]. Previous studies have associated HRV and VO2 max during exercise [16-18], with the objective of stratifying groups [19], in order to measure physical fitness [20-24] and muscular fatigue [25]. However, other studies based on HRV have found contradictory results, indicating that physical fitness is not associated with vascular autonomic control [26,27]. Additionally, none of these studies investigated the use of HRV parameters at rest in order to predict aerobic fitness, which is still an open field for the investigation.
Table 1: Summary of prediction models to estimate of the VO$_2$max for men.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>SEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nielson et al. [4]</td>
<td>gender, body mass, perceived functional ability, work rate and steady-state heart rate</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George et al. [5]</td>
<td>gender, body mass index, treadmill speed and treadmill grade</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George et al. [6]</td>
<td>gender, body mass index, perceived functional ability and physical activity questionnaire</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heil et al. [7]</td>
<td>physical activity questionnaire, age, body fat percentage and gender</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George et al. [8]</td>
<td>gender, body weight, time for 1-mile test and heart rate</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George et al. [8]</td>
<td>gender, body weight and time for 1.5 miles</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ainsworth et al. [9]</td>
<td>frequency of exercise, age, body mass index and gender</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>4.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebbeling et al. [10]</td>
<td>speed (2,3,4 or 4.5mph), heart rate, age and gender</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>4.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kline et al. [11]</td>
<td>age, gender, body weight, time for 1-mile and ending heart rate</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed model</td>
<td>resting heart rate variability parameters</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recently, Pan and colleagues [28] introduced the phase-rectification signal averaged (PRSA) approach to HRV analysis that consists in separately assessing the accelerating and the decelerating phases of RR interval series in order to estimate the sympathetic and the parasympathetic contributions to heart rate control. Particularly the decelerating capacity index is being used to predict mortality after myocardial infarction [29,30] and dilated cardiomyopathy [31], to estimate physical conditioning [32,33], and to determine autonomic control status in Chagas disease patients [34]. On the other hand, it is still unknown how these parameters relate with VO$_2$max.

The achievement of the objectives of this study points to promising applications in the health area, when estimating aerobic conditioning the parameters derived from HRV at rest. A simple, safe, and accurate procedure for estimating the VO$_2$max would be a benefit to aerobic fitness prediction without the need for cardiopulmonary exercise testing using only HRV indexes at rest. Additionally, in longitudinal processes of physical conditioning, individual gains can be monitored frequently, avoiding the need for repetition of the maximum tests. The purpose of the present study was to determine and validate a model for predicting VO$_2$max based on parameters derived from HRV and PRSA at rest.

Materials and Methods

The sample consisted of 70 male subjects 19 to 29 yrs of age. All subjects were physical education students with different levels of aerobic fitness, nonsmokers, with no history of cardiopulmonary disease, and none was taking any medication. The study protocol was approved by a local Ethical Human Research Committee of Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (protocol: 1230.276.2007), and an informed written consent was obtained from all subjects. This study was conducted in accordance with the instructions of the Helsinki Declaration of 2008.

Anthropometric Measurements

During an orientation session, the testing procedures and time commitment required for participation in this study were verbally explained to the potential volunteers. They were assessed for height, body mass, age, and skin fold measurement. The height was measured in centimeters while body mass was measured to the nearest 0.1kg using a mechanical scale with stadiometer (Filizola, Brazil). A skinfold caliper (Cescorf, Brazil) was used to take skinfolds measurements. Body density was estimated based on skinfolds [35] and the percent body fat was determined based on body density [36].

Experimental Procedures

The tests were conducted in a quiet room with temperature maintained at 22 °C. All subjects were instructed to avoid strenuous activity in the 24hrs prior to each testing session and to avoid alcohol, caffeine, and the consumption of large meals for at least 3hrs prior to testing. In the first visit to the lab, all subjects were instructed to lie in the supine position for 10min at rest while breathing normally. A heart rate monitor Polar RS810 (Polar, Finland) working at a sampling rate of 1000Hz was used to record RR intervals (RRI) during this period. The tachograms of RRI were transferred using an infrared interface device to the Polar Precision Performance SW software v.3.0 (Polar, Finland), which automatically corrects RRI based on moving average filter. All records of sample data showed less than 2% error and were then saved to “txt” files.
In a second visit to the lab performed on a different day, a maximal cardiopulmonary exercise test was performed using a mechanically braked cycle ergometer 167 (Ergolift, Germany). The seat height was adjusted according to the lower limb length and the handlebars matched to the subject's own riding position. The protocol was divided into three phases:

1. Rest-4 min seated rest.
2. Test-incremental workload until exhaustion (25W·min⁻¹, maintaining 50 to 60rev·min⁻¹).
3. Recovery-15min recovery, the first 3min consisting of active cycling (12.5W) followed by a 12-min passive rest.

Throughout the tests, the pulmonary gas exchange variables were determined breath-by-breath using a VO₂ 000 metabolic analyzer (Med Graphics, EUA), which was calibrated in automatic mode before each test. The oxygen consumption and other variables were continuously drawn from the facemask connection that was sampled at intervals of 20sec. The aerobic fitness of the subjects was expressed by the values of VO₂ max at peak conditions. For safety concerns, electrocardiogram was monitored during the test using a multi-parametric monitor (Dixtal, Brazil).

**Data Analysis**

The HRV analysis in the time domain was performed by the Sinus Cor [37] Matlab package software (Pulmonary Engineering, PEB/COPPE/UFRJ, Brazil) to obtain the classical parameters

1. pNN50 - the percentage of times in which the change in consecutive normal sinus (NN) intervals exceeded 50ms.
2. SDNN-The standard deviation (SD) of all NN intervals (SDNN).
3. RMSSD-The root mean square of SD between adjacent NN intervals.
4. Mean RRi-The mean of NN intervals. The RRi series was interpolated by cubic splines and resampled with a frequency of 4Hz to obtain equally sampled signal.

The spectral analysis was then performed using the Welch Periodogram Method (segments of 256 points with 128 points of overlap using Hanning window), to obtain the spectral indexes:

1. LF - low-frequency (0.04-0.15Hz).
2. HF - high-frequency (0.15-0.40Hz).
3. LF/HF ratio. All parameters were computed as recommended by the Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology [38].

Following the original proposal of Bauer et al. [30], the decreasing and increasing phases of heart rate were also analyzed separately, in order to better estimate the contributions of the parasympathetic and sympathetic control, respectively. In the present study, a simplification was proposed. First, a vector was created of the differences between successive elements of RRI series and, then, the Cardiac-Deceleration Rate (CDR) was defined as the mean of the positive values, and the Cardiac Acceleration Rate (CAR) as the mean of the negative values. All signal analysis procedures were performed with programs written in Matlab version 6.5 (The Math Works, USA).

**Statistical Analyses**

Descriptive statistical analyses of the data were expressed as means±standard deviation and 95% confidence interval. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test confirmed the normality of distribution and the size effect of the sample was considered large with actual power of 0.99. The correlation between all parameters (HRV and PRSA) VO₂ max were tested by the Pearson correlation test. The experimental model for predicting VO₂ max was obtained through the stepwise multiple linear regression technique set for both forward and backward directions, using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), and assuming as independent variables the HRV parameters, including CDR and CAR indexes. The model accuracy was determined by the adjusted R² value and the Standard Error of the Estimate (SEE) between the measured and predicted VO₂ max. The SEE was calculated as SDy/(1-R²), where SDy is the standard deviation of the measured VO₂ max and R² is the coefficient of determination. The model obtained was cross-validated by K-fold method [39] with K=5 and N=70. The sample was randomly divided into five data sets and model estimation was repeated five times, taking 56 subjects data for training the other 14 for test set. Each test set was composed of 14 randomly selected subjects, being seven above and seven below the median VO₂ max. Each resulting model consisted of a free intercept and a different coefficient for each variable selected during the respective fold. Thus, it was tested to predict VO₂ max values of each case in the respective independent test set, which were compared to the measured ones. Finally, the five partial results were combined to obtain the resulting adjusted R² and SEE values.

For generalized results, the best model was applied in 70 subjects. The measured and estimated values for VO₂ max were correlated and the model reliability was expressed by analyzing the residues. All procedures assumed P≤0.05 for statistical significance and were processed in the R statistical software v.3.3.1 and Mat lab v6.5 (Math works, EUA).

**Result**

Anthropometric and physical characteristic of the subjects were very similar. They presented low SD values and confidence intervals with high precision (Table 2). The correlation values between the independent parameters and VO₂ max are summarized in Table 3, which shows significant linear associations between VO₂ max and Mean RRI, RMSSD, pNN50, and CDR and CAR parameters. After excluding no significant co-variables, all five models included Mean RRI, CDR, and pNN50 parameters (Table 4). The adjusted R² and SEE values were similar, ranging from 0.69 to 0.76 and from 4.34 to 4.74, respectively, with average values 0.72±0.02 and 4.49±0.15, respectively. As all models showed adequate prediction capabilities,
including exactly the same variables. The best model according to \( R^2 \) (Fold #1) was chosen and applied to predict the VO\(_2\) max of all 70 subjects. Considering this model, all the added variables have significant additional contribution (Table 5) that increases \( R^2 \) and decreases SEE, thus increasing the predictive power of the model.

**Table 2:** Physical and anthropometric characteristics of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>22.0±2.6</td>
<td>21.6-22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>174.8±5.8</td>
<td>173.9-176.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass (kg)</td>
<td>71.5±8.1</td>
<td>69.4-73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat Percentage (%)</td>
<td>11.7±4.3</td>
<td>10.3-12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting Heart Rate (bpm)</td>
<td>58.0±8.2</td>
<td>56.0-59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO(_2) max (ml.kg(^{-1}).min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>40.7±8.5</td>
<td>39.5-43.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where SD is standard deviation

**Table 3:** Correlations between independent parameters and VO\(_2\) max.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRV Variables</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNN</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNN50</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RRI</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Cross validation for five models to estimate of the VO\(_2\) max.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fold</th>
<th>Model Parameter Coefficient</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>SEE</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Intercept Mean RRI CDR pNN50</td>
<td>-13.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-6.00 0.04 0.11 0.09 0.73 4.34</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-10.88</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-8.00 0.04 0.11 0.09 0.69 4.51</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-6.11 0.03 0.12 0.08 0.71 4.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean RRI is the mean of NN intervals; CDR: Cardiac Deceleration Rate; pNN50 is the percentage of times in which the change in NN intervals exceeds 50ms

**Table 5:** Cumulative \( R^2 \), standard error of the estimate and p values of the parameters added to the selected model to estimate VO\(_2\) max.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>SEE</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean RRI</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RRI + CDR pNN50</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean RRI is the mean of NN intervals; CDR: Cardiac Deceleration Rate; pNN50 is the percentage of times in which the change in NN intervals exceeds 50ms

**Figure 1:** Analyzing the residues of the prediction model for VO\(_2\) max.
The best model explained 76% of original data variance, with a standard error of estimate of 4.40 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. The average measured \( V_\text{O}_{2\text{max}} \) (41.6±8.2 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) and predicted \( V_\text{O}_{2\text{max}} \) (41.9±8.2 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) values showed no significant difference (P>0.01) 0.3±4.43 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. The measured and predicted \( V_\text{O}_{2\text{max}} \) max values obtained for all 70 subjects presented a good correlation (r=0.87, P>0.01). The reliability of the regression model was studied by analyzing the residuals (Figure 1) in which it is observed that the data dispersion does not present an apparent pattern (Figure 1), which characterizes the homogeneity of the variance since the data are close to zero (Figure 1) showing the linearity of the data (Figure 1). Furthermore, in the Cook Distance graph (Figure 1) illustrates the presence of few outliers (subjects 1, 38, and 41).

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to predict the maximum oxygen uptake of young healthy male subjects by multiple linear regression based on parameters derived from the resting heart rate variability. The linear regression model was successfully fitted to the subjects’ data, and the model parameters are expected to be related to aerobic fitness. Previous studies [15,40-43] have associated HRV and aerobic fitness. High aerobic fitness subjects trend to present higher values of HF power and increase in time domain parameters of the HRV related to parasympathetic activity. In accordance, all three indexes included in the model proposed in the present study are also related to parasympathetic activity as follows.

Current findings indicate that subjects with higher values of Mean RRi are associated with high aerobic fitness. Several investigations have studied the mechanisms responsible for the resting bradycardia in subjects with high aerobic fitness [41,44]. Changes in the intrinsic mechanisms acting on the sinus node and alterations in the autonomic nervous system control of the heart have been reported to contribute to this phenomenon [45,46]. These findings lead to the hypothesis that high aerobic conditioning is related to high cardiac efficiency with an improved ejection fraction. In this condition, lower heart rates (due to the increase in vagal activity) are required to maintain the arterial blood pressure. To better investigate this hypothesis, the phase rectified HRV analysis was performed.

In the original PRSA method proposed by Bauer et al. [30], each positive change of RR time series was used as the anchor for the coherent average of the surrounding RR interval segment, and the resulting step was defined as the decelerating capacity index. Similarly, the accelerating capacity index corresponded to the respective step obtained from the negative RR changes. Nasario et al. [32-33] proposed a change in this method, by considering as anchor for the coherent average only the steepest change in each period of positive or negative changes of RR time series. This approach was successful for stratifying athletes with high aerobic fitness from normal subjects.

In the present study, the proposal was to simplify the method by avoiding the calculus of coherent averages, since the only interest was on the height of the resulting step. The mean value of positive changes was taken as a cardiac deceleration rate. Therefore, as the occurrence of lower resting heart rate was associated with high aerobic fitness in some subjects, it was assumed that they were prone to higher vagal tonus, and thus are able to present higher values of CDR, as observed. The result of the linear regression model supports the hypothesis that CDR adequately represented the parasympathetic control of the subjects’ heart rate, and thus are appropriate in estimating the positive adaptations that associated with a higher level of cardiorespiratory fitness [20-24], or the autonomic control of post-exercise heart rate [40-46] and the increase in vagal activity [47-49]. Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that regular aerobic exercise, with a sufficient intensity to cause an increase in \( V_\text{O}_{2\text{max}} \) could be evaluated by the CDR that express changes in heart rate mainly due to parasympathetic control [15,40,41]. Additionally, the pNN50 is also associated with increased parasympathetic modulation, since it is directly related to aerobic fitness [42,43].

The need to assess aerobic capacity in the general public has led to the development of various exercise and non-exercise prediction models. Several studies have developed submaximal run test in order to predict the \( V_\text{O}_{2\text{max}} \) based on 1.5 mile run [8], Rockport walking test [11], the 1-mile Jog test [8]. Single-stage submaximal treadmill test [10], non-exercise tests [6,7,9] or the use of both exercise and non-exercise data [4,5]. The SEE and R² values in these models ranged from 3.0 to 5.64 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ and 0.66 to 0.88, respectively. Thus, the model proposed in the present study, using resting parameters, showed the lowest SEE value (4.40 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) of all models based on resting data, which is comparable to the models based on the submaximal tests. Such models are effective for use in large epidemiological cohorts in which an exercise tests to predict or measure \( V_\text{O}_{2\text{max}} \) would be impractical.

To the extent of our knowledge, the presented model is the first to predict aerobic fitness from parameters derived only from heart rate variability at rest. It was validated with a homogeneous sample of young (22.0±2.6 yrs) healthy male subjects, which is characterized as physically active college students, but not athletes, since the subjects presented a limited range of \( V_\text{O}_{2\text{max}} \) values with levels of aerobic fitness (41.6±8.2 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) near the population mean of 40 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ for young people [50]. The correlation obtained between estimated and measured values for such a uniform sample is suggestive of applicability to larger epidemiological cohorts. However, the sample characteristics could be viewed as a limitation, and the potential application to other samples, including both genders and subjects who could not be submitted to a maximal cardiopulmonary test should be investigated.

**Conclusion**

A model based on the mean RR interval at rest and the pNN50 HRV parameters and a novel cardiac deceleration rate was proposed and validated to predict the \( V_\text{O}_{2\text{max}} \) in young healthy adult male subjects. These results emphasize the importance of evaluating heart rate variability at rest and the potential to estimate the physical performance of subjects without the need for a maximum or submaximal test.
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