The Glycemic Control Difference in Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetic Patients
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Abstract

Effective treatment and follow-up for type 1 diabetics have resulted in significant increase in the number of adult type 1 diabetic patients. Psychological adaptation problem and impairment in glycemic control have been observed in type 1 diabetic patients that have been referred from pediatric endocrinology clinics to adult endocrinology clinics. Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes are clinically different from each other in terms of age of onset, ketosis tendencies, family history and metabolic differences. We investigated whether there was a difference between glycemic controls of type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients who were referred to our hospital endocrinology and diabetes outpatient clinics, and probable causes for his condition. 17,985 patients who applied to our hospital for the last 5 years were included. Age, sex, glucose, A1C, triglyceride, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL and TSH levels of all patients were recorded retrospectively. Patients were divided into two groups, Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes.

Glucose (p=0.0001) and A1C (p=0.0001) values were found to be statistically higher in type 1 diabetic patients. In Type 2 diabetic patients total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides (TG) levels were significantly higher, while there was no statistically significant difference in HDL and TSH levels. Oral anti-diabetic use rather than frequent insulin injections in our Type 2 diabetes group may be one of the factors that increase treatment compliance. Relative high incidence of hypoglycemia due to absolute insulin deficiency in type 1 diabetics may lead to weight problems and increase in A1C as the dose of insulin may be skipped. In type 1 diabetics, prolonged duration of the disease may be one of the factors that leads to impaired glycemic control and increased diabetic complications.
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Introduction

Type 1 and type 2 diabetes are heterogeneous diseases in terms of clinical onset patterns and prognosis. It has been assumed that type 1 diabetes begins with acute hyperglycemia or diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) in children and adolescents, whereas type 2 diabetes begins with mild and relatively slow course in adults. There is a reduction of β-cell mass and function both in type 1 and type 2 diabetes due to genetic and environmental factors [1,2]. In type 1 diabetes which usually starts before the age of 30, the cause is an absolute deficiency of insulin secretion. Type 1 diabetes is ketone prone diabetes. Symptoms such as polydipsia, polyphagia, polyuria, weight loss and fatigue suddenly appear in type 1 diabetic. Type 1 diabetics are often underweight or normal weight [3].

Decreased insulin sensitivity and decreased glucose uptake due to post-receptor cell deficiency leading to intracellular hypoglycemia play very important role in type 2 DM pathophysiology. Type-2 diabetes present with diminished glucose transport and disposal especially in muscles and adipose tissue. Insulin resistance begins before Type-2 diabetes and it dominates the picture for many years. On the other hand, an abrupt decrease in insulin secretion may occur during the later stages of diabetes or in case of intervening diseases. Type-2 diabetes usually occurs after the age of 30. Especially over the last 10-15 years as a consequence of the increased incidence of obesity, type-2 DM is more common in childhood and adolescence. There is a strong genetic predisposition. The stronger family history gets, the greater risk of diabetes in subsequent generations and the disease begins to appear earlier in life. Patients are often obese or have a high BMI [Body mass index (BMI)> 25kg/m2]. Actually patients are not prone to diabetic ketoacidosis. However, DKA may be seen in prolonged periods of hyperglycemia or when beta cell reserve declines. The onset of Type 2 diabetes is insidious and is usually recognized only 5-12 years after hyperglycemia develops. Many patients have no symptoms at initial period of Type 2 DM. Some patients may present in outpatient clinic with blurred vision,
Type 2 diabetes. Blood samples are taken following 12 hour fasting, put into gelled dry tubes and left for approximately 30 minutes and then centrifuged at 4000rpm for 10 minutes. Biochemical analyzes were performed on the same day using original kits on Beckman Coulter brand AU5800 model biochemical auto-analyzer (Beckman Coulter inc., U.S.A). HbA1c were put into EDTA tubes, using HPLC (High performance liquid chromatography) and Arkray Adam HA-8180V model analyzer. SPSS 17 was used as a statistical method.

Results and Discussion

In Type 1 (2.8%) diabetic patients, 270 (54.1%) were female, 229 (45.9%) were male; while in Type 2 (97.2%) diabetic patients, 11126 (63.6%) were female, 6360 (36.4%) were male Figure 1. Results can be seen in Table 1. Glycose (p=0.0001) and Hb A1c (p=0.0001) values were found to be statistically higher in type 1 diabetic patients. In Type 2 diabetic patients total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and TG levels were significantly higher, while there was no statistically significant difference in HDL and TSH levels. 54.1% of Type 1 DM patients and 63.6% of Type 2 DM patients were female. Statistically significant difference was found between these two groups (p=0.0001).
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Table 1: The difference between glycemic control of type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Type 1 n=499</th>
<th>Type 2 n=17486</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n=17985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>46.64±17.51</td>
<td>55.29±12.82</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>201.70±97.1</td>
<td>155.92±75.10</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb A1c</td>
<td>8.80±2.26</td>
<td>7.47±2.05</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>195.23±45.83</td>
<td>208.03±48.28</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride</td>
<td>157.24±125.85</td>
<td>175.29±148.21</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>50.38±15.88</td>
<td>48.78±14.35</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>119.01±39.09</td>
<td>128.13±40.36</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>2.83±5.46</td>
<td>2.75±5.68</td>
<td>0.626</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation; Min: Minimum; Max: Maximum; Hb A1C: Glycosylated Hemoglobin; HDL: High Density Lipoprotein; LDL: Low Density Lipoprotein; TSH: Thyroid Stimulating Hormone
**Discussion**

A1C reflects average glycemia over approximately 3 months and has strong predictive value for diabetes complications. Thus, A1C testing should be performed routinely in all patients with diabetes at initial assessment and as part of continuing care. Measurement approximately every 3 months determines whether patients’ glycemic targets have been reached and maintained. It’s a test to assess glycemic control [5]. T1DM is an autoimmune disease caused by the interaction of genetic, environmental and immunological factors. The traditional concept is that some environmental factors can trigger an immune response against pancreatic B cells in genetically susceptible individuals [6]. It is well known that glycemic control is influenced by the emotional, psychological, behavioral and socioeconomic factors that influence treatment compliance in the long-term course of T1DM [7]. Also studies have suggested that before the onset of chronic complications, patients with T1DM experience relatively small reductions in their health-related quality of life (HRQOL). Studies examining the effects of treatment, including comparisons of insulin type, frequency of injections, and pump use, have not shown consistent effects on HRQOL [8-12].

Similarly, variations in the level of glycemic control and/or exposure to severe hypoglycemia were not consistently associated with HRQOL level [13]. A small number of largely crosssectional studies have indicated that diabetes complications are more strongly and consistently associated with lower quality of life [14]. Consistent with prevalence studies, in our study, type 1 diabetic patients is outnumbered by type 2 diabetic patients. Although Type 1 diabetics are younger, they appear to be worse in glycemic control. Due to the fact that Type 1 DMs are diagnosed at a younger age, the duration of diabetes may be longer, compliance problems may develop. Psychosomatic incompatibilities following diabetes, starting at an earlier age, can be an important factor in terms of micro and macro-vascular complications that may occur in the process. Frequent follow-up, long-term medical and psychosocial support may be more important in diabetic patients during childhood and adolescence.

T1DM and T2DM have different underlying pathophysiology. T1DM is usually characterized by early onset of insulin-producing cells leading to insulin deficiency, which is the underlying cause of autoimmunity and destruction. In contrast, T2DM is characterized by the onset of an adult hyperinsulinemia as a consequence of insulin resistance and the slow progression of hyperglycaemia. T2DM is associated with obesity and its incidence increases with age [15,16]. Holzman et al. [17] showed that, T1DM was associated with a doubling of mortality, but also that patients with T2DM had only a minimally increased risk of death in comparison with non-diabetic patients after coronary by pass-grafting surgery (CABG) [17]. Also they found that , patients with T1DM were more likely than patients with T2DM or without diabetes to have comorbidities, such as chronic kidney disease, end-stage renal disease, peripheral vascular disease, or heart failure, which all have been associated with a worse prognosis in diabetic patients who undergo CABG [17].

Similarly Olafson et al. [18] found that HbA1c levels were higher in patients with T1DM than in those with T2DM, revealing poorer glycemic control in patients with T1DM. The association between glycemic control and micro- and macroangiopathy seems to be more significant in T1DM than in T2DM [18,19]. Oral anti-diabetic use rather than frequent insulin injections in our Type 2 diabetes group may be one of the factors that increase treatment compliance. Relative high incidence of hypoglycemia due to absolute insulin deficiency in type 1 diabetics may lead to weight problems and increase in A1C as the dose of insulin may be skipped. In type 1 diabetics, prolonged duration of the disease may be one of the factors that leads to impaired glycemic control and increased diabetic complications. This study was retrospective, and type 2 diabetics using oral anti-diabetics weren’t excluded. These are our study’s limitations. We believe that future studies that compares Type 1 and Type 2 diabetic patients just using insulin therapy in the same age group, will be shown more details.

**Conclusion**

In type 1 diabetics, prolonged duration of the disease may be one of the factors that leads to impaired glycemic control and increased diabetic complications. Moreover, in type 2 diabetics, oral anti-diabetic use rather than frequent insulin injections may be one of the factors that increase treatment compliance.
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