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Abstract

Objectives: The measurable goals of this paper are twofold. One, it seeks to review population ageing in the Caribbean and by extension in Jamaica, and to show some of the likely issues surrounding this phenomenon. Two, accompanying the ‘greying’ of the Jamaica’s population are problems associated with their health, and the fact that their quality of life should be in keeping with the mind, body and socio-cultural conditions.

Result: The ageing of Jamaica’s population is as a result of the its decline in fertility which began in the 1960s coupled with the reduced mortality that owes itself to improvements sanitation, public health and the discovery of the pen insulin. It is primarily not the scientific invention of pen insulin that accounts for the lowering of mortality in Jamaica and around the world, but it is widespread use and acceptance of the product by the publics. With these conditions, the ‘greying’ of the Jamaica’s populace means that significantly more burden will be levied on the working age population because there will be more elderly to take care of. Such a situation will be problematic in the future, as this is associated with increased pension payouts, higher health-care expenditure, along with the accompanying demand changes that are inevitable in meeting the needs of the ageing population.

Method: The approach is the use of statistical reports, artifacts and records along with employing of the historical comparative method of data analysis.

Conclusion: Ageing is not a recent phenomenon. It goes back centuries, from time immemorial. Importantly, the aged are on the upper end of the ageing spectrum; and this affects the population dynamics of the society. Ageing inevitable means longer life, that affects the population composition and structure. Therefore, as the population ages, the base of the population pyramid narrows, while the upper portion expands, and this is dependent on fertility reduction and mortality declines. If reduced fertility continues without any major catastrophe in the future, what we are likely to experience is people living longer; and the death rates at older ages will begin to increase thereby changing the population age structure further. Among the challenges of population ageing is not the least being changes in the population pyramid but what it is the health conditions that arise from biological ageing.
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Table 1: Observed & Forecasted percentage of elderly 65 years or over in selected regions, and the world countries: 1950, 1975, 2025, and 2050.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; the Caribbean</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, by 2030, [1] in every 8 (i.e. 12.5% of the globe’s population) humans will be 65 years and older, and this is coming from 6.9% in 2000. But there is a discourse as to whether or not ‘old age’ begins are 60 or 65 years; hence, we will present the figures as if we were using 60 years. Thus, if we are to use 60 years and older, the trends are relatively similar to those for ages 65 year or over. As in 1950, the world’s population aged 60 years and older was 1 in 15 (8.2%); but in 2007 (Table 2), the figure rose to 1 in 9 (10.7%), and the projected 21.7 percent (or 1 in 5) by 2050 [1].

Based on percentages, the world’s elderly population (≥60 years) between 1950 and 1975 increased by 0.4%. However, between 1975 and 2007, the percentage of ‘old people’ rose by 2.1% but for 2025-2050, the increase is expected to be 6.6%. Presently, China, United States, Germany, India, Sweden, Italy, and Japan have in excess of 50 percent of the world’s population who are 65 years or older. But, does populating ageing stop with those societies only? The yardstick for measuring ageing population is having 8-10% of population reaching at least 65 years. As of 2025, the Caribbean will have an estimated 11.4% of its population ≥65 years. Statistics show that the percentage of Caribbean population ≥ 65 years is more than for the combine Latin American the Caribbean. Since population ageing refers to changes in the entire age distribution, any single indicator might appear insufficient to measure it [2], which appears to have befallen many Caribbean states. This is evident in the political landscape of Caribbean nations as the issue of demographic ageing has not taken on as a serious issue as debt burden, inflation, unemployment, crime and international relations.

The rationale for this delay is embedded in perception that critical as this time. But this position is further from the truth. Because apart from the demographic transition that is taken place globally and equally within the Caribbean, there is another aspect to this phenomenon. As the implications of ageing range from pension schemes problems, higher health care costs and programmers. These do not cease there, as there are two important issues that we have yet to address, how we will be dealing with production and productivity within the context of an ageing nation (‘shrinking labour force because of ageing’; ‘possibly the bankruptcy of social security systems’). One media has written that two-thirds of people ≥65 years are alive today [3], which strengthens the issue of taking population ageing to the forefront of national debate. Thus, it is clear that population ageing is global phenomenon; but is the extent of this in Caribbean states? To further comprehend this phenomenon or to explain this unbounded demographic reality; I will contextualize this paper within a global framework, with particular emphasis on selected Caribbean and more so on Jamaica.

Ageing Defined

Ageing is a significant but neglected dimension of social stratification and the life-course is an essential component of the analysis of status [4]. “Where ‘Old age’ begins is not precisely defined, the onset of older age is usually considered 60 or 65 years of age” [5]. The indelicacy to reach consensus on a definition of ageing in spite the United Nations’ perspective on the elderly, which is chronological ageing that begins at 60 years, yet demographers and many statisticians continue to conceptualize this variable as beginning at age 65 years [6-11]. This discourse will not be settled in this paper, but what will happen here is that the various perspectives will be presented to the readers. As a demographer, however, I will primarily be using the chronological age of 65 years and older to present the commencement of ‘old age’ (or ageing). But one should keep in mind as Turner outlines that ageing is a ‘social stratification’ which is neglected within the discourse of social stratification.

Table 2: Observed & Forecasted Percentage of elderly 60 years or over in selected regions, and the world countries: 1950, 1975, 2025 and 2050.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: United Nations [1].

How to cite this article: Paul A B. Population Ageing and the State of the Elderly, with Emphasis on Aged Jamaicans. Gerontol & Geriatric Stud. 2018. DOI: 10.31031/GGS.2018.03.000570
In medieval times, Thane [12] notes that ‘old age’ were defined as 60 years and older. She justified this by forwarding an argument for the established age. In medieval England, men and women ceased at 60 years to be liable for compulsory service under labour laws or to participate in military duties. Ancient Rome, on the other hand, ‘old age’ began from early 40 to 70 years, with 60 years being “some sort of annus climacterius”. Demographers see the seniors - the elderly or the aged (old people) - as individuals 65 years and older and not an individual who is 60 years of age. Western societies use 65 years and older to represent the elderly (seniors) as this is the period when people become fully eligible for Social Security benefits. Irrespective of the commencement age of the elderly, there is a wholesale agreement that the aged at the beginning of the next generation will be a real social challenge. One scholar emphasized that there is no absoluteness in the operational definition of the “elderly” [13]. She commended that from the World Assembly of Ageing (which was held in Vienna in 1982), the “elderly” is using the chronological age of 60 years and older ‘as the beginning of the ageing process’. Jamaica having signed the Vienna Declaration of Ageing, which defines ageing to begin at 60 years, Elder mire questioned academics and other scholars for their rationale in using 65 years. I will now classify the ageing in two main categories,

A. Chronological
B. Biological ageing

**Chronological ageing**

Within the study of demography, the elderly begins at the chronological age of 65 years - using the unit of analysis of time, based on the number of years and months that has elapsed since birth [9, 14, 15]. However, based on the monographs from other scholars [16-22], the issue of the aged begins at 60 years. Hence, the issue of the aged continues to battle from non-standardization. For those who use 60 years, they adopt this value because of the World Assembly on Ageing (in Vienna, Austria: July-August 1982), which forwards that ageing begins at the chronological of 60 years.

The Canadian statistical agency used age 65 years as the dividing line between “young” and “old” [9, 15, 23]. The issue of using the chronological age of 65 years to measure older adulthood according to one academia comes from the minimum age at which the Social Security System begins disbursing payment for pension to people living with the United States [14]. It is argued that in 1935, the U.S. government modeled this from the German’s retirement system. This explains the use of 65 years of age by many scholars, practitioners and non-professionals ever since. This approach subdivides ageing into three categories. These are

A. Young-old (ages 65 through 74 years),
B. Old-old (ages 75-84 years) and oldest-old (ages 85 years and beyond). However, is there a difference between biological and chronological ageing?

**Biological ageing**

Organism aged naturally, which explains biological ageing. This approach emphasizes the longevity of the cells, in relation to the number of years the organism can live. Thus, in this construction, the human body (an organism) is valued based on physical appearance and/or state of the cells. Embedded in this apparatus is the genetic composition of the survivor. This occurs where the body’s longevity is explained by genetic components. Gompertz’s law in Gavrilov & Gavrilova [24] shows that there is fundamental quantitative theory of ageing and mortality of certain species (the examples here are as follows - humans, human lice, rats mice, fruit flies, and flour beetles [25]). Gompertz’s law went further to establish that human mortality increases twofold with every 8 years of an adult life, which means that ageing increases in geometric progression. This phenomenon means that human mortality increases with age of the human adult, but that this becomes less progress in advance ageing. Thus, biological ageing is a process where the human cells degenerate with years (i.e. the cells die with increasing in age), which is explored in evolutionary biology [26]. But studies have shown that using evolutionary theory for “late-life mortality plateaus”, and fail because of the arguably the unrealistic set of assumptions that the theory uses to establish itself.

Reliability theory, on the other hand, is a better fitted explanation for the ageing of humans than that argued by Gompertz’s law as the ‘failing law’ speaks to deterioration of human’s organisms with age [24] as well as non-ageing term. The latter based on Gavrilov & Gavrilova [2] can occur because of accidents and acute infection, which is called “extrinsic causes of death.” While Gompertz’s law speaks to mortality in ageing organism due to age-related degenerative illnesses such as heart diseases and cancers, a part of the reliability function is Gompertz’s function as well as the non-ageing component.

When the biological approach is used to measure ageing, this may be problematic as two different individuals with the same organs and physical appearance may not be able to perform at the same rates, which speaks to the difficulty in using this construct in measuring ageing. Nevertheless, this construct is able to compare and contrast organisms in relation to number of years, a cell may be likely to exist. Erber [14], argues that this is undoubtedly subjective, as we are unable within definiteness predict the life span of a living cell [14]. Interestingly, the biological approach highlights that the ageing process comes with changes in physical functioning. The oldest-old categorization is said to be the least physical functioning compared to the other classification in chronological ageing. The young-old, on the other hand, are more likely to be the most functioning as the organism is just beginning the transition into the aged arena [14, 27].

In order to avoid such pitfalls in constructions that may arise with the use of the biological approach, ergo, for all intent and purposes, given the nature of policy implications in effective planning, the researcher is forwarding the perspective that seniority in age commences at age 65 years using the chronological ageing approach.

In summarizing the ageing transition, both chronological and biological ageing have a similar tenet. In that, as we move from young-old to oldest-old, the body deteriorates and what was of
low severity in the earlier part of the ageing process becomes of critical mass in the latter stage. Hence, at the introductory stage of the ageing transition, the individual may feel the same as when he/she was in the working age-population, but the reality is the body is in a declining mode. Because humans are continuously operating with negatives and positive, as he/she becomes older - using the ageing transition (i.e. 65 years and older) - the losses (or negatives) outweigh the positives. This simply means that the functionality limitation of the body falls, and so opens the person to a higher probability of becoming susceptible to morbidity and mortality. Secondly, the environment, which may not have been problematic in the past, now becomes a health hazard. One University of Chicago scholars summarizes this quite well in (Table 3).

Table 3: Characteristics of the Three Categories of Elderly, and Ageing transition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>The Ageing Transition</th>
<th>Young-old</th>
<th>Aged</th>
<th>Oldest-Old</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heath problems</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical disability</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand for medical care</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand for public service</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demands on children</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency on other</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social isolation</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: This is taken from Essays in Human Ecology Bogue [28]

Historical Issues on Population Ageing: Global Perspectives

Ageing has emerged as a global phenomenon in the wake of the now virtually universal decline in fertility and, to a lesser extent, of increases in life expectancy [28]. In the earlier centuries, pandemic and pestilence would destroy millions of lives. An example here is, in the fourteenth century, the ‘Black Death’, killed approximately 40 million people worldwide. One scholar argues that this disease ‘wiped out’ about one-third to one-half of Europe’s and Asian’s human population [29]. As during the 1700s, smallpox killed an estimated 100 peoples worldwide. This reality explains why population ageing was not a phenomenon then, as the deaths were high and widespread. Therefore, the person was not likely to live beyond fifty years. Following those pandemics and plagues, the discoveries of peninsulin along with proper sanitation and public health have seen a significant reduction in mortality. Whereas low mortality is not synonymous with all nations, low death rates have been the experience of a plethora of the developed societies. This reality is also happening in many developing and emerging nations. Accompanying mortality decline is the issue of the fertility transition that began in the France in the 19th century. It is argued, that reduction is fertility is primarily a cause of population ageing today as well as steady decline in mortality rates.

Even though, the ageing process is lifelong and though this may be constructed within each society differently, many decades have elapsed since Galton’s study on the health status of people. Despite changes in human development and the shifts in world population toward demographic ageing - people living beyond 65 years [30,31], the issues of the aged and their health status, in particular general wellbeing, have not taken front stage on the radar of demographers unlike many other demographic issues.

The 20th century has brought with it massive changes in typologies of diseases where death have shifted from infectious diseases such as tuberculosis, pneumonia, yellow fever, Black Death (i.e. Bubonic Plague), smallpox and ‘diphtheria’ to diseases such as cancers, heart illnesses, and diabetes. Although diseases have shifted from infectious to degenerate, chronic non-communicable illnesses have arisen and are still lingering within all the advances in science, medicine and technology. One demographer showing the extent of human destruction due to the Black Death mentioned that this plague reduced Europe’s population by one-quarter [29]. Accompanying this period of the ‘age of degenerative and man-made illnesses’ is life expectancies that now exceed 50 years. So, while people aged 70 years and beyond in many developed and a few developing states, the question is - Are they living a healthier life - how is their wellbeing within the increases in life expectancy? Alternatively, is it that we are just stuck on life expectancies and diseases as primary predictors of wellbeing - or health status?

Before the establishments of the American Gerontology Association in the 1930s and their many scientific studies on the ageing process [14], many studies were done based on the biomedical model (i.e. physical functioning or illness and/or disease-causing organism) [27]. Many official publications used either

A. Reported illnesses and ailments
B. Prevalence of seeking medical care for sicknesses.

Some scholars have still not move to the post biomedical predictors of health status. The dominance of this approach is so strong and present within the twenty first century, that many doctors are still treating illnesses and sicknesses without an understanding of the psychosocial and economic conditions of their patients. To illustrate this more vividly, the researcher will quote a sentiment made by a medical doctor in The Caribbean Food and Nutrition Institute Quarterly, 1999. A public health nutritionist, Dr. Kornelia Buzina, says that “when used appropriately, drugs may be the single most important intervention in the care of an older patient and may even endanger the health of an older patient [32,33].

A demographer, Alain Marcoux, measured population ageing in an article titled ‘Population ageing in developing societies: How urgent are the issues?’ as a specified valuation of the general population being 60 years and older. The benchmark that was used to establish this situation is the proportion of the population who is aged 60 years and over exceeds 10% [16] whereas another group of scholars Gavrilov & Heuveline [2] used 65 years and beyond that.
exceeds 8-10%. These include for example - Germany, Greece, Italy, Bulgaria and Japan; USA; Sweden [34]. Interestingly, Greece and Italy’s aged population (people 60 years and older) in 2000 stood at least 24% of the total population [35], which indicate completion of the fertility and mortality transition, and the high burden being placed on the working population. Those societies’ fertility decline began early and their mortality at older ages has been declining; this justifies their ageing population. This is not only confined to developed societies as it is spreading to the entire world.

**Demographic Trends: The Global perspective**

Globally, trends in population ageing are such that demographic ageing is seen as a fundamental phenomenon of concern both inside and outside of the intelligentsia class. I will display the issue in great details below, as the figures will speak of the trends that we have seen more so since the 1990s. And that this progression will continue in the next 50 years. The age persons >65 years and older in 1950 was 5.2%, and by 1995 the figure rose to 6.5%. But, during the 1950s-1960s, the 65+ age cohort rose by 0.1% (Table 4), which may be marginal, but it earmarks the beginning a demographic phenomenon.

**Table 4:** Percentage world population estimates of aged persons 65 yrs and older.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In 1999, persons aged 65 and older were 410.5 million, and one year later the figure rose to 420 million, which is a 2.3 percentage increase over the previous year. And during 2000 to 2030, it is estimated that aged person >65 years, will rise from an approximated 550 million to a projected 973 million (i.e. 76.9%). By 2050, the persons aged 65 years and beyond, will be some 13.8% of the world’s population. Currently, the developed nations share disproportionately more of the aged persons >65 years, this is not projected to change in the future. However, by 2030, the absolute number of aged >65 years in the developing societies is expected to triple, which will not be the same for the developed nations (i.e. from 249 million in 2000 to 690 million by 2030). In summary, during 1950-2000, the elderly population (i.e. persons 65+) increased by 1.7%. However, from 2000-2050, the same aged cohort will rise by 6.9%, which denotes a 100% increase in 50 years.

The statistics reveal that come 2050 most of the aged population will be residing in developing countries. In addition, by 2030 the population 65-and older in developing societies would have increased by 140 percent, which is 40% more elderly in developing nations than in the world. Importantly, the aged are on the upper end of the ageing spectrum; and this affects the population dynamics [2] of the society. The total human population, within any geographic area, constitutes children, youth, working aged people and the elderly. With this said, the “graying” of a population is caused by fertility decline, reduced mortality and migration of the young and return of retirees coupled with increases in life expectancies. Where the elderly population out grows the younger population, this constricts the population structure at younger ages and expanding it at older ages [29]. This is referred to as demographic transition [3]. It is the experienced of many developed countries that started with France, but it has increasingly become a phenomenon for many developing nations.

The demographic development of the world is not limited to the increase in persons 65 years and older but their education children population (person0-14years). In 1950, the children population was 34.3% of the globe’s population, and in 1975 the figure rose to 36.8%, and in 2007 the United Nations [1] writes that this is expected to be 27.6% and come 2050, 20.2%. Accompanying this reduction in the children population is the increase in the median age of the world’s population [4]. As at the state of the1950, this was 23.9 years, it fell to 22.4 years in 1975 and is estimated to rise to 28.1 years in 2007 and project to reach 37.8 years, which is an indication of population ageing. The increase in proportion of people ≥65 and changes in the median age can be simply explained by mortality changes, which demographers use life expectancy to explain. In life expectancy at birth during 1950-1955 was 46.6%, in 1975- 1980, 59.9 years, and 2005-2010, 66.5 years and come 2045-2050 it is expected to reach 75.1 years.

In the more developed nations, currently (in 2007) estimated by the United Nations, 2007:74; 20.7% of the population are persons ≥60 years, 15.5% are persons ≥65 years, and 3.9% are persons ≥80 years. The life expectancy for people in these regions is more than the world’s figure, as the United Nations [1] writes that during 2005-2010, it is 76.2 years. However, in Northern Europe, it is 78.7 years, Southern Europe; it is 79.1 years, Western Europe, 79.6 years, and in Northern America, 78.2 years. Thus, population ageing is indeed a global phenomenon and more so in developed nations, but what about the Caribbean and in particular Jamaica?

**Demographic Trends: Selected Caribbean Nations**

Ageing inevitable means longer life, that affects the population composition and structure. In that as the population ages, the base of the population pyramid narrows, while the upper portion expands. Demographers argue that this is substantially due to fertility transition and reduced mortality at older ages. If reduced fertility continues without any major catastrophe in the future, what we are likely to experience is people living longer, and the death rates at older ages will begin to naturally increase thereby changing the population age structure further. Global life expectancy has risen...
from 47 years in 1950-1955 to 65 years and beyond in 2000-2005 and 2005-2015, which is similar for Jamaica, Trinidad and Tobago, Bahamas and Barbados [36-38]. One of the probabilistic results of age in register educational the working aged and they outfall populations.

These provide shifts in the population pyramid as it contracts at younger ages and expand at older ages. This is reiterated in a publication of the Caribbean Food and Nutrition Institute [32] that stated, “By the year 2050, there will be older persons than children in the world, the majority of whom will be females and widowed or without a partner. The Caribbean is likely to mirror this phenomenon…” [32]. The Statistical Institute of Jamaica pointed out that those societies that were at the early stage of the demographic transition in which fertility remains high and mortality decline are now experiencing increasing in younger population. However, for those that at the late stage, where fertility is declining, and mortality is stationary, the younger sector of the population is smaller than the segment 60 years and older [39,40]. This is in keeping with the global perspective on demographic transition.

Demographic development in the Caribbean has taken a similar path like the rest of the world [36,41,43]. Over the years, the movement as being such that mortality and fertility has been declining, and the population 65 years and older has been increasing proportionately more than proportion who are children (Table 5 & 6).

Table 5: Percentage of caribbean’s aged persons 65 years (yrs) and older, children: 1950-2050.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-14 yrs</td>
<td>60+ yrs</td>
<td>0-14 yrs</td>
<td>60+ yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad &amp; Tobago</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 1: Proportion of children and elderly population of the caribbean and the world: 1950-2050.
reductions eventually lead to reduction of the proportion of children and young persons in a population and a corresponding increase of the proportion in older groups [1].

The United Nations’ perspective has highlighted the importance of including fertility in demographic transition discourse as well as mortality. Statistics reveal that the total fertility rate (TFR) for 1970-1975 for the world was 4.49 and for 2000-2005, it fell to 2.65; whereas in Latin America and the Caribbean between 1970-1975, it was 5.05 and this was further reduced to 2.55 in 2000-2005 [36]. Concurrently, in 2005, total fertility in The Bahamas is 2.2, in Barbados it is 1.5, for Jamaica 2.3 and for Trinidad and Tobago, 1.6 [37]. Barbados and the twin islands of Trinidad and Tobago are experiencing below replacement level fertility (Total Fertility Rate - TFR of 2.1 - United Nations 2000, 4), a problem presently faced by many developed nations such as those in Southern and Easter Europe and the United States [36]. I have presented (Table 7), for a more detailed assessment of the total fertility trends of selected Caribbean States, the Caribbean and Latin America, in an effort for us to see the trend in this phenomenon, and the implications of this for population ageing come 2050.

**Table 7:** Total fertility rate for selected caribbean nations, Caribbean, and Latin American: 1950-1955 to 2045-2050.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Rep</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad &amp; Tobago</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Table 8:** Life Expectancy at birth of both sexes for selected caribbean nations, the Caribbean, and Latin American.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbados</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Rep</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyana</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suriname</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>78.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad &amp; Tobago</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America &amp; Caribbean</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Another determinant of the demographic transition is mortality. The mortality statistics are used to compute the life expectancies, and so I will use the latter as it is an indicator of the former. Mortality in the Caribbean has been falling and this can be seen from the increased life expectancies, which are highly comparable with those in developed nations, which is beyond 71 years [1] (Table 8).

### Demographic Trends: Jamaica

The use of life expectancy, mortality, and total fertility rates are just some of the ways with which demographic development can be shown. Instead of show both mortality and life expectancy, for this section of the paper I will use life expectancy. As mortality rates
are used to calculate the life expectancy at various ages (Table 9). Another way of depicting population changes is through the use of a population pyramid. In this section, I will use Jamaica’s population pyramid since 2000 to depict the demographic transition occurring in this society, and then percentages of the elderly people with regard to the total population. It should be noted that the nation’s population pyramid in the 2000 showed a narrow top and a broad base. But by 2025, the population narrows at the base and begins to expand at the middle, and come 2050, the note how the population contrast at the base as we move toward an ageing population (Figure 2).

From the US Census Bureau, International Data Base, Jamaica’s population in 2000 showed a relatively young population, which is because of the broad base. This triangular age profile is an indication of the high proportion of children, which means that Jamaica in 2000 had a high fertility and high mortality. However, the young population that was observed in 2000 from all indication is shifting as the base of the age profile narrows and the middle expands. Within the demographic transition, this is a representation where the young population is falling and shifting toward the middle ages, and old type of profile.

While the demographic transition is not necessarily obvious in the 2025, Jamaica’s age type population profile will definitely be contracting at the base and expanding at middle and old ages. Such a profile denotes is as indication of low fertility and death rates. A careful look at the diagram reveals that the come 2050 and beyond, Jamaica’s oldest elderly will substantially more females. The “graying” of the Jamaica’s population is coming, and has already made its way within the society [44]. From a demographic perspective, relatively speaking a society is said to be old whenever the population of person aged 60 or over (and some scholars use 65 years or over) exceeds 8-10%, which is the case in Jamaica (Figure 3). This is not the only indicator as life expectancy can be used to show population ageing. Jamaica’s life expectancy at birth for males between 1879 and 1882 was 37.02 years and for females it was 39.80 years, between 2002 and 2004 males’ life expectancy rose to 71.26 years and that of the females to 77.07 years, which is a clear indicator of demographic ageing (Table 9).

### Table 9: Life expectancy at birth of Jamaicans by sex, 1880-2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1880-1882</td>
<td>37.02</td>
<td>39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890-1892</td>
<td>36.74</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910-1912</td>
<td>39.04</td>
<td>41.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1920-1922</td>
<td>35.89</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945-1947</td>
<td>51.25</td>
<td>54.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950-1952</td>
<td>55.73</td>
<td>58.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959-1961</td>
<td>62.65</td>
<td>66.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-1970</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-1981</td>
<td>69.03</td>
<td>72.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989-1991</td>
<td>69.97</td>
<td>72.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999-2001</td>
<td>70.94</td>
<td>75.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002-2004</td>
<td>71.26</td>
<td>77.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Demographic Statistics (1972-2006)
From records of the Population Division of the United Nations, Jamaica’s population 60 years and older in 2050, using the medium variant, is likely to be 24% of the entire population, with 18.1% being 65 years and older, compared to approximately 5% being 80+ years. These shifts mean more degenerated conditions at older ages, increased disability and diminished quality of life. The disparity in gender composition speaks to the higher morbidity in women and higher mortality for men.

In 2004, Jamaica’s old-age population stood at 7.7 percent. This is not atypical; according to WHO/SEARC [45], India’s elderly population was 7.7 percent. During 2004-1991, the elderly population of Jamaica rose by 3.28 percent. When the elderly is strictly operational zed within a demographer’s space (65 years and beyond), on an average the elderly population grew by 3.62 percent. The data reveal that for every 100 working-aged of the population there are approximately 13 elderly that is dependent on them. This is within approximately 30 percent of the population being children. Over the same period, the number of child-to-total-population grew by 4.4 percent and by 10.08 percent for the youth. Within this context, there is a need to analyse the labour force participation of aged Jamaicans as there would be socio-economic implications if this were to be declining in the nation.

Table 10: Jamaica: Selected demographic variables, labour force participation (in %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total (% of Population)</th>
<th>1950</th>
<th>1975</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80+</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nations, 2007: 308-309</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is little debate within the public arena about the increasing decline of the labour force participation rate of aged Jamaicans. In 1980, the labour force participation rate (in %) was 46.4% and it is estimated that this to be 26.6% in 2007. This represents a 43% reduction in the number of aged person’s≥ 65 years who were actively involved in the labour force. When the labour force participation rate is decomposed by sexes, the figures reveal a more telling disparity. As for females, in 1980, there were 30.4% of women actively involved within the labour force, but it is estimated to be 13.8% in 2007, which is a 55% reduction in the number of employed females. With respect to males’ involvement in the labour force, it is projected to fall to 41.4% in 2007, which is coming from 65.3% in 1980. The labour force participation rate for men will fall by 23% compared to that of females that will decline by 55%. This is within the context of females living longer than their male counterparts, and that the retirement age for females is 60 years and not 65 years (Table 10). Therefore, if we are to extrapolate a reduced 5 years for females, the labour force participation rate will increase further by at least a percentage point.

Another variable that can be used to indicate population ageing is the median age. The median age denotes a value that where one-half of the population is above or below that age. Continuing, the median age for Jamaica’s populace in 1950 was 22.2 years and it is estimated to reach 24.9 years in 2007 and come 2025 31 years, and by 2050 it should increase by another 8.6 years. It should be note here, that demographers use a median age of 30 years to indicate an ageing population. Thus, population ageing is without a doubt a Jamaican phenomenon like the National debt problem and other social issues such as crime and teenage pregnancy.

Without effective population planning for the elderly, come the next four decades, the old-age population will become a burden to the working aged-populace in respect to medical care, nursing care, pension, other social insurance and survivability cost. With this impending social reality, there is a high probability that the old-aged will be called on to provide increasingly more of their needs for themselves within the construct limited resources from developing societies. The physiological changes with ageing such as loss of hair, wrinkling of the skin, decrease in height, and loss of teeth are not the only issue of old age but other critical factors that affect their wellbeing which goes to the crux of protecting the rights of the elder [46].

State of the Elderly, With Emphasis on Caribbean and Jamaica

The Caribbean like many developed countries is now faced with the daunting task of addressing the “graying” of its population, because of mortality and fertility decline, which began in the 1960s. To show that this is a challenge to geographic topography, the region launched its first forum titled ‘The Caribbean Symposium on Population Ageing’ in November 2004 in Port of Spain, Trinidad and Tobago, in order to strategize about this inevitable demographic transition, which began in earnest in developed societies. This is a precursor to its predecessor which was held in Vienna in 1982 called ‘The First Assembly on Ageing’ and another named ‘Second World Assembly on Ageing’, which was in Madrid 2002.

Like the developed world, the Caribbean islands are cognizant that policy implementation and mechanism are needed to forge an equitable solution for this phenomenon. With the Symposium comes...
the recognition that ageing is not limited to its call but that it affects
the general society, future generations and political decisions. Ergo,
what is the state of the grayed population in Caribbean and more so
within Jamaica.

A study reveals that there is a statistical causal relationship
between socioeconomic conditions and the health status of Barbadians. The findings reveal that 5.2% of the variation
in reported health status was explained by the traditional
determinants of health. Furthermore, when this was controlled for
current experiences, this percent fell to 3.2% (falling by 2%). When
the current set of socioeconomic conditions were used they account
for some 4.1% of the variation in health status, while 7.1% were
due to lifestyle practices compared to 33.5% that was as a result
of current diseases [47]. It holds that importance place by medical
practitioners on the current illnesses - as an indicator of health
status - is not unfounded as people place more value on biomedical
conditions as responsible for their current health status. Despite
this fact, it is obvious from the data - using 3.35% - that there are
other indicators that explain some 67.5% of the reason why health
status is as it is.

Furthermore, with an odds ratio of 0.55 for number of illness,
there is clearly suggesting that the more people reported illness,
the lower will be their health status [47], and this was equally so
for more disease symptoms - odds ratio was 0.71. Accompanying
the reduction in physical functioning which is a feature of biological
ageing [14] is the fact that the Jamaican elderly spend the most
number of days receiving medical care for illnesses and/or injuries.
In addition, they experience the highest rate of protracted illness
the country, with the "... very young and the elderly being the most
vulnerable" [48]. Embedded in this finding is the poor health status
of the elderly despite living longer. Essentially, this particular group
is suffering from ill health caused by diabetes, stress, psychiatric
disorder and chronic diseases, which translates into lower quality
of life while their life is prolonged [49,50], which means they are
living longer but suffer more - the cost of longevity.

A Ministry of Health (MOH) report notes that the prevalence of
chronic illnesses has also increased with ageing and that this is even
more pronounced for those 65 years and older, with more males
than females spending more time in health care facility [51], using
the discharge rate - 975.1 per 10,000 for males compared to 817.1
per 10,000 females. Interestingly, when a detailed analysis was
done of the data, seniors who reside in rural areas were suffering
more than their counterparts who live in other zones [52]. The
report summarizes the wellbeing status of those 60 years and older,
when they say "... our 60 year olds exhibited the highest prevalence
of protracted illness/injuries" The situation is speaks a state of
well-being for the elderly that is not in keeping with the positives
of the advancement in medicine and medical technology. There is
definitely a disparity between the seniors’ wellbeing reality and
their lived years, which reiterates the need to measure wellbeing
outside of the traditional biomedical model.

From the findings of a cross-sectional study conducted by
Powell, Bourne & Waller [53] of some 1,338 Jamaicans, 19.0% of
respondents perceived that their economic well-being to be 'very
bad'. In addition, when they asked, "Does your salary and the total
of your family's salary allow you to satisfactorily cover your needs",
57.4% of them felt that this "does not cover" their expenses [53].
What is the situation on the elderly seeing that this group is even
more (or equally) vulnerable than other age cohorts? The answer
to this is embedded within JSLC reports. The JSLC (1997) makes it
clear that the aged population (22.6%) and the children (less than
five years - 14.7%) reported the highest number of illness/injury,
with those who resided in the rural areas being more vulnerable
than those in other zones are. In order to capture the severity of
the issues faced by the Jamaican aged, if we are to convert the
mean number of days of reported illnesses into monetary terms,
then the medical expenditure of the elderly would have helped to
erode their well-being, along with the illnesses and their severity.

Then, when retirement, loss of income, the cost associated with
protracted ailments, and the psychological challenges associated
with ageing are included in the daily life of the elderly, within the
context of a shrinking economy, rising prices, the poor and the
elderly in particular the poor aged would be more vulnerable than
other age groups within this society.

There is an interconnection between economics and
demography. In that, economists are concerned about human
economic decisions at the micro and the macro level. The
demographer, on the other hand, invests time in studying the
science of human population. Therefore, while the demographer
is not interested in the costing of decisions, the economist requires a
thorough understanding of the principles of the human population,
in an effort to effective comprehend how people within a
particular geographic area are probable able to make decision. The
interconnectivity is evident that at the London School of Economics,
the department of demography is one subsection with the school.

Figure 4: Population pyramid of Jamaica, 2050.
A study on the elderly published in the Caribbean Food and Nutrition Institute’s [32] magazine Cajanus found that 70% of individuals who were patients within different typologies of health services were senior citizens [32]. Among the many issues that the research reported on are the six major causes of morbidity and mortality identified by the Caribbean Epidemiology Centre that is of paramount importance to this discussion. The influence of cerebrovascular, cardiovascular, neoplasm, diabetes, hypertension and acute respiratory infection (Figure 4). The diagram below depicts the ranked order of the five leading causes of death for people 65 and over of selected Caribbean countries in 1990.

![Figure 5: Ranked Order of the five leading causes of mortality in the population 65 years and older, 1990 Source: adopted from Caribbean Food and Nutrition Institute [32]: 222.](image)

In seeking to explain severity of the health status of Caribbean national, using Barbados and Jamaica, Caribbean Food and Nutrition Institute [32] presents the 5-leading causes of morbidity as reported by seniors. The data reveal that the primary cause of illnesses in Barbados and Jamaica was hypertension. In both countries, hypertension was a female phenomenon-in Barbados, females reporting 44.6% compared to 33.1% for males and in Jamaica it was 55.4% for females and 30.3% of males (Figure 5).

The data in (Figure 6) shows that hypertension and arthritis are morbidities that significantly plague both men and women in both Caribbean countries. These chronic non-communicable diseases continue to interface within the functional lives of the elderly, which mean that they are indeed living longer but are faced with lowered wellbeing. Secondly, if they are poor with proper and adequate health care coverage - which could be private or public-the implications of the cost of care along with the daily living could further add stresses to the status of life experienced by the elderly. Hence, living longer although it is directly related to reduced mortality, this does not speak to the lifestyle changes and their positive influences on the wellbeing of seniors. A study conducted by Costa, using secondary data drawn from the records of the Union Army (UA) pension programme that covered some 85% of all UA, show there is an association between chronic conditions and functional limitation - which include difficulty walking, bending, blindness in at least one eye and deafness (Costa 2002). Among the significant findings is - (i) the predictability between congestive heart failure of men and functional limitation (i.e. walking and bending). Although Costa’s study was on men, this equally applies to women as biological ageing reduces physical functioning, and so any chronic ailment will only further add to the difficulties of movement of the aged, be it man or woman.

![Figure 6: Leading causes of self-reported morbidity in the population of seniors, by gender in Barbados and Jamaica. Source: Figure taken from Caribbean Food and Nutrition Institute [32]: 225.](image)
Like many developed countries, Jamaica is able to boast of its notable achievement in progress made toward advancing the health status of its populace, during the twentieth century - the postponement of death, lowering fertility, high nutrition and sanitation and more importantly the increasing life expectancy [50]. Analyzing data on life expectancy indicate that the country’s health status is reasonably good, as the values for life span is similar to those in some First World societies - over 70 years.

Nevertheless, those positives are not sufficient to outweigh the increases in chronic non-communicable diseases-hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, neoplasm, depression and arthritis. These diseases are on the rise in the world and are no different in Jamaica. They continue to plague those who are more so 60 years and older, of a particular socioeconomic status, and who live in rural Jamaica [51,54,55]. In an article published by Caribbean Food and Nutrition Institute, the prevalence rate of diabetes mellitus affecting Jamaicans is higher than in North American and “many European countries”. [56]. Diabetes Mellitus is not the only challenge faced by patients, but Mc Carthy [57] argues that between 30% and 60% of diabetics also suffer from depression, which is a psychiatric illness. Such a situation further complicates the woes of the elderly as they seek to balance other psychosocial conditions with the diabetes and hypertension along with the stress which is frequently associated with the illness [49].

Furthermore, in attempting to contextualize the state of the Jamaican elderly, the researcher will provide a diagram depicting the five main causes of death by different age groups between 2002 and 2004. The diagram shows that while life expectancies are increasing, that mortality from non-communicable diseases such as heart diseases, cerebrovascular diseases and diabetes are indeed high for the elderly and are thereby lowering their wellbeing (Figure 7).

![Figure 7: Percentage distribution of 5 main causes of deaths by age: 2002-2004. Source: Adopted from the demographic statistics 2005 [38].](image)

In 2003, data presented by the Ministry of Health Jamaica in its ‘Annual Report’ show that of the patients who are 65 years and older, 29.7% of them were discharged from inpatient care because of ‘circulatory system diseases’, and nutrition and endocrine ailments accounted for 12.6%. While it is true that these diseases influence physical inactivity, the conditions of coping with these as well as the cost of care undoubtedly should be aiding to lower the wellbeing status of these people.

Findings from studies by the Planning Institute of Jamaica show that while the general health status is commendable, increases in chronic illnesses are undoubtedly eroding the quality of life enjoyed by people who are 65 years and older [48,55]. The report reveals, “In 2000, the survey also demonstrated the importance of recurrent (chronic) illness as the cause of ill health among the elderly” [55]. How is the status of elderly within general setting of higher recurrence of chronic on-communicable diseases and their severity among senior citizens? Within the macho culture of Jamaica, generally, men do not seek preventative care because it is seen as weak. Such a position is learnt from the culture, which states that boys should “suppress reaction to pain” [58].

**State of the Elderly: Disparity in the Sexes**

Chevannes provided the explanation for this behavior by men, that it is embedded with social learning theory. In which the young imitate the roles of society members through role- modeling of what constitute acceptable and good roles which is supported by reinforcement [58]. The gender role of sexes is not limited to Jamaica or the Caribbean but a study carried out by Ali & Muynck [59] of street children in Pakistan found a similar gender stereotype in that nation. It was a descriptive cross-sectional study carried out during September and October 2000, of 40 school-aged street children (8-14 years). The sample was substantially males (80%), with a mean age of 9 years (±2 years). The methods of data collection were

- A. Semi-structured interviews, and
- B. A few focus group discussions.

Ali & Muynck [59] found that the sampled population would seek medical care based on severity of illnesses and financial situation. Another finding was that they referred to use home remedy. The reason being that mild ailment is not severity enough to restrict them from physical functioning, which mean that they
are okay; and so, some morbidities are not for-hospital, which was so the case in Nairobi slums [60].

PIOJ & STATIN [39] report that “The difference by gender was significant, with percent of females reporting illness, compared with 8.5 per cent of males” [48], which is the case even in 2002, that is the rate was 14.6% for females and 10.4% for males, and in 2004 it was 13.6% for females and 8.9% for male [42,52]. From statement in the JSCL 2000 “Women have traditionally utilized health care services more than men and these interactions have allowed closer monitoring and earlier diagnosis of health conditions among women” [52], then this begs the question - Are the aggregate data reported reflecting the views of the elderly or more so the females? However, what is true is that they [men] will visit health practitioners because the states of their chronic impairments are severe. This is evident in the higher number of treated cases in some ailments over that of females-from the hospitalization discharge rate for the persons 65 years and over; the rate for men is 975.1 per 10,000 compared to 817.1 per 10,000 females [51]. The elderly, on the other hand, are more responsive to their ill-health and seek medical attention readily, but what about the psychological state of this age cohort from things such as - loss of partner, reduction in social support, fear of being victimized and so forth.

As a result, it should not be surprising that the elderly Jamaicans seek more medical attention than other age cohorts, which is captured in them indicating more self-reported illnesses and injuries and a higher mean number of days spent in medical care [42,48]. Hence, is the state of the elderly worse than that which is reported in the JSCL? It should be noted that the data presented in all the official statistics on the health status of Jamaicans are still measuring health using the old biomedical model (using reported and treated illnesses and/or injuries) [51]. This approach is single focused as it omits the role environment, social exclusion, fear of crime and victimization as well as depression, and stress among other factors as determinants of individuals’ well-being.

Conclusion

This paper responds to the underlining concerns of the continuous increase in population ageing in the world. The fast ageing of populations, unless managed in a proactive manner, could impose serious challenges for policy makers in the Caribbean and Jamaica. Note-worthy is that a particular level of economic development is needed in order to deal with the challenges of this demographic transition. The demographic composition and structure of future world population and subpopulation must be understood within policy framework. The challenges that are likely to arise from an ageing population on public expenditure, on pensions and health care, particularly in the absence of reforms in pensions and health services, could lead to a build-up of public debt in developing countries in specific Caribbean islands.

In conclusion, the graying of population is not restricted to developed societies such as Japan, Germany, Canada, China, United States and Italy to name a few, but it is a current reality for nations like Barbados, Trinidad and Tobago and Jamaica. Currently Jamaica does not see the demographic transition of ageing as an issue but come 2030 or beyond, it will be a problem for many developing states including that of Jamaica. The yardstick that is used as a symbol of impending problem in demographic ageing is if a state’s population 60 years or over is between 8 to 10 percent and beyond.

The early signals of demographic ageing, in Jamaica, began as early as in the 1960s, when the society began experiencing mortality and fertility declines. With the introduction of family planning in the 1970s, the high fertility in the 1960s has been reduced by some 300%. Statistics reveal that the aged population of Jamaica is in excess of 10 percent as of 2005, within the context of an increasing decline in the population 0 to 14 years. This population (age cohort 0-14 years) stood at 40.3 percent in 1980 and in 25 years (2005), the population has been reduced to 31.2 percent. The conditions of ageing in Jamaica are not only a demographic issue but are disproportionately becoming a social, economic and political matter. In keeping with public health measure in the form of better sanitary, food and water security and quality and vaccination, mortality was cut, which is explanation for the high life expectancy of in excess of 75 years since 2004, to the best of my knowledge, no study has sought to examine the likely socioeconomic costs of ageing come 2015 to 2050 and beyond.

Despite all the gains of technology, public health, education, lifestyle behavioral practices and high life expectancy, non-communicable diseases are on the rise and continue to plague people age 60 years or over. Thus, accompanying population ageing is more ill-well senior citizens. Within this general setting, there is a need for medical research on the way forward in-patient care as well as a demand exists for advanced quantitative assessment of the model, which will evaluate wellbeing of the Jamaican elderly. This will foster a comprehensive understanding of how health should be operationalize, and we then would be able to plan for ageing in more informed manner than what presently obtains in our society.

One of the socioeconomic and political challenges that the Caribbean in particular Jamaica faces is the difficulty with which population ageing will become an economic cost. Population ageing does not simply mean “graying” of population (or proportionately more persons ages 60 years or older or 65+) but with living longer comes the responsibility of paying social security like pension for a longer period of time. Another issue that we have failed to address in all of this discussion is the lowered taxes that are going to be collected as a result of demographic ageing. Within the same construct is the dwindling of the children population and lowered fertility, which means that come 2010 and beyond the elderly dependency ratio will be increasingly more than in previous years. These developments will mean challenges for public budgets, and health care expenditures. The reality is, demographic ageing is here in the Caribbean and equally so in Jamaica. Systems and structures are needed to addressing the new demand for this age cohort, along with the biopsychosocial state of ageing.

A. Notes

1This is also called demographic ageing (or ageing of the population): It is the shifting of the age distribution (i.e. age structure) of the population towards older ages (i.e. ages 65

How to cite this article: Paul A B. Population Ageing and the State of the Elderly, with Emphasis on Aged Jamaicans. Gerontol & Geriatric Stud. 3(4). GGS.000570.2018. DOI: 10.31031/GGS.2018.03.000570
years and beyond). Demographers measure this using elderly dependency ratio:

A. This is the number of people who are 65 years and older compared to the number of people who are of working ages (i.e. 15 to 64 years);

B. The proportion of the population aged 65 years and beyond (8%-10%) and/or increase in the median or mean age of a population.

C. One scholar [16] uses 60 years and older instead of 65 years, which is the valuation used by many demographers for old age (or elderly or senior citizens).

Population Dynamics: This is subdivided into three main areas:

a. Population change
b. Population growth and decline
c. Age-sex composition. Population Change

Address conditions relating to birth and deaths, and migration of human population. Population Growth. Changes that affect the total population because of movements in natural increases (difference between births and deaths) and net migration (difference between arrivals and departures of a particular geographic locality). Age-sex composition. Inclusive of the age-sex composition are age distribution of people with a population, sex composition, along with the dependency ratios and the types of age structures.

The shifting of the patterns of high fertility and high mortality rates to low fertility and delayed mortality

Population - for this paper, the term denotes the ‘collection of persons alive at a specified point in time who meets certain criteria’ [9].
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