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Abstract
Aquatic sediments can absorb metals to levels many times higher than the water column concentration, so it is considered a sink and reservoir of contaminants, such as metals. After a series of natural processes, the water borne metals would deposit in the sediment finally. Hence, the quantity of metals contained in the sediment reflects the degree of pollution for the water body. There were number of models purpose to evaluate the ecological risk due to accumulation of metals in sediment among others, work carried out by Muller is widely accepted internationally to evaluate the ecological risk.
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Introduction
An ecological risk assessment is the process for evaluating how likely it is that the environment may be impacted as a result of exposure to one or more environmental stressors such as chemicals, and heavy metals etc.Heavy metal pollution is world-wide environmental problem. The concentration of trace metals in different water bodies get rise due to high inputs from natural, as well as anthropogenic sources. Thus, understanding the transport and distribution of trace metals in water bodies is an important goal of environmental chemists [1,2].

One of the most distinguishing features of metals from other toxic pollutants is that, they are not biodegradable. Many metals entering bodies of natural water can get incorporated and accumulated in the sediments after a series of natural processes, the water borne metals would deposit in the sediment finally. Hence, the quantity of metals contained in the sediment reflects the degree of pollution for the water body.

The favorable physicochemical conditions of the sediments can remobilize and release the metals back to the water column. There are many instances where specific local sources such as discharge from smelters (Cu, Pb, Ni), metal based industries (Zn, Cr and Cd from electroplating), paint and dye formulators (Cd, Cr, Cu, and Zn), petroleum refineries (As, Pb), as well as effluents from chemical manufacturing plants are some of the major contributor of metals into the water bodies. Once heavy metals enter into the environment, their potential toxicity is controlled to a large extent by their physicochemical form [2]. The total metal ion concentration in an aquatic environment is distributed between particulate and soluble forms.

Metals beyond a point are toxic to aquatic organisms and further they could threaten the aquatic ecology system [2,3]. Therefore, many studies effort has been directed toward the distribution of metals in aquatic. It is believed that organisms in different trophic levels are suffering from metal toxicities. Accumulation of heavy metals in organisms shows different tolerant capabilities among aquatic food webs and human health is under threats from exposure to heavy metals through seafood intake. There were number of models purpose to evaluate the ecological risk due to accumulation of metals in sediment and thereby their constant release in the aquatic environment and impacted the life of flora and fauna. Among many studies work carried out by Muller is widely accepted internationally to evaluate the ecological risk [3].

The geo-accumulation index ($I_{geo}$), Enrichment Factor (EF), and modified pollution index (MPI) were applied to estimate the degree of metals contamination. The $I_{geo}$ values for the metals to be monitored can calculated using the Muller expression.
\[ I_{geo} = \log_{2}\left( \frac{C_m}{1.5B_m} \right) \]

where \( B_m \) is the background content of metals in the earth’s crust.

The EF is carried out by normalizing the metal concentration based on geological characteristics of the sediment. It is defined as follows,

\[ EF = \left( \frac{C_m / C_{Al}}{C_m / C_{Al}} \right)_{crust} \]

where \( C_m \) and \( C_{Al} \) are the metals and Al content in sediments or in earth crust, respectively. Aluminum is a major metallic element found in the earth’s crust; its concentration is somewhat high in sediments and is not affected by man-made factors in general except area having bauxite deposit. Thus, Al has been widely used for normalizing the metal concentration in sediments. The MPI is calculated using the formula developed by Brady et al. [4]:

\[ MPI = \sqrt{\frac{(EF_{average})^2 + (EF_{max})^2}{2}} \]

Where \( EF_{average} \) and \( EF_{max} \) are average and max value, respectively, in all the EF of metals studied. The MPI can provide a qualitative assessment of site pollution with multiple metals [5]. The mean effect range median quotient (m-ERM-q) and potential ecological Risk Index (RI) were employed to assess the biological effects and potential ecological risk in sediments. The RI can be calculated from the

\[ RI = \sum Er_m \]

\[ Er_m = CF \times T_{m} \]

Where \( Er_m \) is the potential ecological risk factor for metal, CF is the contamination factor; \( CF = C_m / B_m \). \( C_m \) is the measure concentration of metals in sediment, \( B_m \) is the background concentration of metals, and \( T_{m} \) is the biological toxicity factor.

The MPI is a comprehensive index that can be employed to conduct an overall assessment and comparison of the heavy metal contamination of different areas. The derivation of MPI is calculated from EFs, moreover the classification of MPI is also based on the EF thresholds as a basis to conduct the pollution level assessment [4]. The 6 MPI classes are: Class 0: unpolluted for MPI<1; Class 1: slight for 1≤MPI<2; Class 2: moderate for 2≤MPI<3; Class 3: moderate to heavy for 3≤MPI<5; Class 4: heavy for 5≤MPI<10; Class 5: severe for MPI≥10 [2].

The worked carried out by Hakanson proposes that potential ecological risk associated with metals in the surface sediments can be assessed by \( E_{rm} \) and RI index [6]. \( E_{rm} \) and RI that were proposed by him can be used to evaluate the potential risk of one metal and combination of multiple metals, respectively. The calculated \( E_{rm} \) values can be categorized into five classes of potential ecological risks: low risk (\( E_{rm}<40 \)), moderate risk (\( 40≤E_{rm}<80 \)), higher risk (\( 80≤E_{rm}<160 \)), high risk (\( 160≤E_{rm}<320 \)), and serious risk (\( E_{rm}≥320 \)). The calculated RI values can be categorized into four classes of potential ecological risks: low risk (RI<150), moderate risk (\( 150≤RI<300 \)), considerable risk (\( 300≤RI<600 \)) and very high risk (RI≥600).
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