Behavior of Polymer Films and its Blends for Leather Finishing
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Abstract

In the final stage of leather processing, many products are applied to form a protective coating. These coatings include resins such as polyacrylates, polyurethanes and casein. The composition of these materials was compared to physical-mechanical properties, as tensile strength resistance, elongation at break, toughness, hardness and thermal characterization by DSC and FTIR analysis. Tensile strength tests showed that the polyurethane resins, in general, have a higher tensile strength when compared to acrylic resins, as well as the values of toughness, indicating that these films support higher impact before the rupture of the material. The preparation of polymer-blend film had intermediate characteristics with respect to the pure components. Acrylic films tested had a higher elongation. With respect to hardness, the resins that were more flexible showed the lowest hardness. The characterization from FTIR and DSC analysis indicated the chemical groups and characteristic temperatures peaks of polyacrylates and polyurethanes.
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Introduction

The leather finishing comprises a set of treatment steps made at final stage in the process of leather manufacturing in tanneries and fills the important role of ensuring the final appearance and appropriate resistance properties in finished leather and leather goods. Depending on the article produced, specifications and characteristics related to physical- mechanical and chemical characteristics and minimization of environmental impacts by the leather finishing are required [1,2].

The principal requirement to formulate a leather finishing preparation is a composition of chemical with binder material properties to form a continuous film after drying. The products applied over the leather surface form a protective layer [3] which promotes the necessary resistance to leather and reach the technical specifications.

Polymer (binders or resins) based emulsions are widely used as coating materials for textiles, automotive paints, construction materials, leather, etc. [4,5]. In leather finishing, these resins are employed to produce films which protect the leather from mechanical damage, increase its stability when in contact with moisture and give the desired appearance, covering, color, brightness, texture and other visual and surface characteristics [6]. The resins are divided according to its application over the leather surface: the resins used for the base layers that can be combined with pigments and should be softer and more flexible and the resins used for the top layers that are responsible for physical properties [7,8,9]. The base layer is a soft layer applied in contact with the leather surface. Top layer is harder and applied over base layer.

The pre-combination of resins appears as an alternative to the existing binders, since the "polymer blends" assemble distinctive features according to the combined or mixed resins. Blends allow to reach a very special skill sets, because expand the range of specifications demanded by the leather goods and overcome technical limitation from each type of binder. Among the resins used in leather finishing, emphasis is given to casein, polyacrylates and polyurethanes [10-12]. A very wide range of polyurethane polymers are selected [13] and they are known to offer unique performance to surface coatings, such as high abrasion resistance, superior toughness, elastomeric properties, good chemical resistance, tensile strength, high extensibility at low temperature and properties that are simple to modify [14]. Polyacrylates are particularly noted for hardness, heat retention, gloss, light fastness [10] and high viscosity [15], they are available at economical cost and are therefore used more often [16].

Casein, which is a natural protein binder, promotes a transparent, higher brightness, vitreous, less plasticized and more natural film appearance [14,17]. Furthermore, due to their specific qualities including some restrictions involved in applying this material [18], caseins are used with combination to other binders...
for improvement of mechanical properties and water resistance. Modified casein is widely used as film binding component, and so it has a wide range of applications such as in papermaking, printing, coating, leather finishing and other fields [19]. The polyurethanes have the disadvantage of a high cost, but this can be substantially reduced by using acrylic and vinyl co monomers. Polyurethane dispersions offer similar performance compared to polycrylates, but with higher toughness and durability [20]. Due to the environmental-friendliness, waterborne polyurethane (WPU) is widely studied as an important film-forming material [21,22].

New polymer films with various monomeric compositions (polymer blends including polycrylates, polyurethanes, polybutadienes, etc.) and developments in application technologies and machines on the leather surface, receive attention for their importance on offer advantages compared to results from individual monomers [23-25]. Thermal properties [11,26-29] and physical-mechanical properties [4,7,10,30-32] of coatings applied on the leather surface are evaluated. Adhesion performance of various polymer types may be improved significantly by blending with other polymers [33-37].

Many authors analyze polymer binders by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). FTIR analysis were used to study molecular structures of polymer blends composed of casein, polyurethane and acrylic dispersions application in base layer for leather finishing [6,19,26,27,38-41]. With differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermo-analytical technique, the difference in the amount of heat required for increase the temperature of a sample and reference is measured as a function of temperature. Authors [6,26,27,41-44] studied the thermal stability of polyurethane and polyacrylates copolymers applied on the leather surface.

Materials and Experimental

Polymer films were prepared from resins samples in Petri dishes to obtain emulsions with 30g and 10% total solids. The physical-mechanical properties of the films were checked by measurements of hardness, tensile strength, elongation at break and toughness tests and were analyzed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).

Materials

The samples of polyurethane, acrylic resins and casein were provided by the Brazilian Units of the Companies TFL and Corium. These resins are commercial products prepared for application at top and base layer in the leather finishing. Casein produced films were too brittle, so it was not possible to prepare pure casein films. The influence of casein was studied only in combined films, by mixtures with polyurethane or acrylic resins. The resins that were used for the films preparation and characterization of their properties for leather finishing are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Polyurethane, acrylic and casein resins selected for films preparation and polymer-blend compositions.
PU8 20 PU aqueous dispersion/Top 50/50* 8 Blends: (1) PU5 + PU8; (2) PU6 + PU9; (3) PU7 + PU10; (4) Ac2 + Cas1; (5) Ac2 + PU8; (6) Ac2 + PU11; (7) Ac3 + Ac4; (8) PU8 + Cas2

PU9 19 PU aqueous dispersion/Top 75/25* 3 Blends: (1) Ac2 + Cas2; (2) Ac2 + Cas1; (3) PU8 + Cas1

PU10 30 Aliphatic PU in aqueous dispersion/Base 90/10* 3 Blends: (1) Ac2 + Cas2; (2) Ac2 + Cas1; (3) PU8 + Cas1

95/5* PU8 + Cas1

75/20/5* PU8 + Cas1 + Ac2

90/7/3* PU8 + Cas1 + Ac2

95/3/2* PU8 + Cas1 + Ac2

**Film preparation**

A methodology for film building was developed establishing the final percentage of solids required. The solid content of the resin was calculated based on ABNT 11062 [45]-Determination of Total Solids and the film preparation was based on ABNT 11033 [46]-Film Preparation. Pure films were prepared only with one type of resin and water, while polymer blend films were obtained from two or three resins and water.

For the preparation of films, the solids content in 30g of suspension was set to 10% total solids (TS) in water. In Petri dishes of 120mm diameter and 20mm height, the resin was diluted with the water volume calculated until the amount of solids reached the dilution needed for obtaining the desired concentration. Equation (1) indicates the calculation of the quantity in grams of resin (x) necessary to prepare the film. The water quantity is the difference (30g - x).

\[
x = \frac{(10\%)}{(%\ ST\ Resin)} \times (30g) \text{ (Eq.1)}
\]

**Figure 1**: (a) Petri dish with the suspension of water and PU11 resin before drying. (b) Polymeric film with PU11 resin after drying.

The films were prepared from combination of two or three resins in proportions of 50/50, 75/25, 90/10, 75/20/5, 90/7/3 and 95/3/2. The equation for polymer-blend films preparation (Resin 1+Resin 2) or (Resin 1+Resin 2+Resin 3) was the same as the one used for pure films. The Petri dishes were then placed in oven for 24h at 60 °C (Figure 1a) until the mixture was dried and the film was formed (Figure 1b). Figure 2 illustrates pure films prepared from acrylic and polyurethane resins.

**Figure 2**: Pure films prepared from resins after drying for 24h at 60 °C: (a) Ac1, (b) Ac2, (c) PU3 and (d) PU5

**Analysis and characterization of the polymer films**

**Hardness analysis**: Hardness measurement was performed in accordance with ASTM D 2240 [47] - Standard Test Method for Determination of Hardness. The films had the thickness of 0.3mm and they were cut in 2.5cm x 2.5cm squares. So, that “test specimens” of films had the thickness of 0.3mm and several of them had to be overlapped in order to attain the minimum height of 6.0mm recommended in the standard. The tests were performed using a digital durometer-Shore A Woltest, model GS 709 with support for SD durometer Woltest 300. The procedure consisted of subjecting the material to a defined pressure applied using a calibrated spring acting on a conical indenter. Then, a device measured the depth of indentation. The hardness value was given by the penetration depth in the material under test, for an application time of 1 second.

**Tensile strength, elongation and toughness of films**: The tensile strength testing of the polymer films was performed according to ASTM D882 [48] tensile properties of thin plastic
in order to verify the chemical composition. The films were also characterized by differential scanning calorimetry in DSC Q20 equipment from TA Instruments. The samples were cut with a specific device and placed in Aluminium Hermetic brackets. The gas used was ultra pure nitrogen at a flow rate of 50mL/min. The analysis began at a temperature of -85 °C with isotherm of 3 minutes. Two heating ramps with a cooling rate of 20 °C/min were used. The first heating ramp up to 200 °C was followed by an isotherm of 3 minutes. Then cooling was performed to -85 °C with an isotherm of 3 minutes and the second heating ramp went again up to 200 °C. ASTM D3418 [51] standard specifies that the appropriate heating rate for the determination of Tg is 20 °C/min.

Results and discussion

Analysis of physical-mechanical properties

Table 2 shows hardness values of some films prepared from polyurethane and acrylic resins. Polyurethanes and polyacrylates hardness didn’t differ from each other. In general, base layer resins are softer and more flexible than top layer resins. The acrylic base layer resins Ac3 and Ac4 had the lowest hardness. In polyurethanes, this difference was not so evident, base and top layer resins showed high hardness, with PU10 and PU11 (base layer resins) harder than most of the PU top layer resins. From the tensile tests, the characteristic toughness values for each type of finishing product/sample (Figure 4), the tensile strength resistance (Figure 5) and the percentage of elongation (Figure 6) were obtained. In the graphics, the films were grouped according to the nature of the resin (acrylic or polyurethane) and its use (top or base layer). The toughness values were calculated from the area under the stress-strain curve. These toughness values for polyurethane films were overall higher than the values for acrylics, so these films support greater impact before the material breaks. As Canevarolo [52] showed toughness and Tg are related. Polymers with higher molecular mobility show increased flexibility and consequently increased toughness.

Table 2: Hardness of pure films produced from resins used in leather finishing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ac2</th>
<th>Ac3</th>
<th>Ac4</th>
<th>PU2</th>
<th>PU3</th>
<th>PU4</th>
<th>PU5</th>
<th>PU7</th>
<th>PU9</th>
<th>PU10</th>
<th>PU11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>Base</td>
<td>Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness (Shore A)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Toughness of pure films prepared from resins used in leather finishing.
Figure 5: Tensile strength of pure films prepared from resins used in leather finishing.

Figure 6: Elongation of pure films from resins used in leather finishing subjected to tensile strength. Ac1, Ac3, Ac4, PU2, PU3 and PU5 exhibited elongation higher than 350%.

Figure 7: Toughness of polymer-blend films produced from resins used in leather finishing.

With regard to tensile strength tests, the comparison among the polyurethane and acrylic resins didn’t exhibit specific behavior for each film. According to their application as top or base layer, the types of resin didn’t show distinct difference and the tensile strength values were more representative in polyurethane samples (Figure 5). The elongation values (Figure 6) were higher in tests performed on acrylic films, because the most of films studied reached values above 350% (limit of the tensile machine), while for polyurethanes some films didn’t reach the maximum elongation without rupture. The resins that had higher tensile strength value had lower elongation at break value (ex. PU7) compared to the resins rupturing under lower stress that had higher elongation values (ex. PU5), as observed when comparing Figures 5 & 6. It was also compared the hardness values (Table 2) and the elongation
(Figure 6), observing that more stretchy resins were, in general, less hardness, being softer and more flexible. It is important to note that the films analyzed were able to support sufficiently high stress, which can provide a wide range of resistant finishing films, especially in cases where finished leather has to be subjected to higher stress during its useful life. Resins with higher tensile strength give films with greater resistance and durability.

Polymer-blend films were prepared in order to analyze the influence on properties of each resin to final combined film. For films prepared from a mixture of resins, the properties were intermediate (ex. PU5-50/PU8-50) or superior (ex. PU7-50/PU10-50) (Figure 7) to those of the respective films from pure resins (Figure 5). In relation to caseins, was observed a decrease in toughness, since casein forms a hard film, but brittle [53], which reduces the toughness of the film. Examples as Ac2/Cas2 and Ac2/Cas1 (at different ratios) polymer-blends (Figure 7) showed properties that were equivalent (ex. Ac-90/Cas-10) or lower (ex. Ac-75/Cas-25) than the pure film of Ac2 (Figure 4). It is interesting to note that for each different composition, toughness increases as the proportion of casein in the film decreases. The PU8/Cas1 blend was one of the cases in which the toughness increased. In addition, in the blend with PU8/Cas1/ Ac2 all combinations of resins ratios showed increased toughness.

The comparative analysis of the rupture stress supported by the blend films when subjected to stress (Figure 8) in comparison to the pure films (Figure 5) showed intermediate values for blends, which was expected. Once more, the presence of casein in the combination of PU8-50/Cas2-50 resulted in decrease in tensile strength of the film with respect to the PU8 pure film. The addition of casein, both in the polyurethane and in the polycrylate blends, led to a decrease in the rupture stress when the percentages of Cas1 and Cas2 were higher than 10%, but this tensile strength resistance increased in percentages up to 10%. In blends containing PU8/Cas1/Ac2, regardless of the ratio of resins used, the supported tensile strength also increased. The protein binders, such as casein, form hard, brittle and fragile films when dried, benefiting often from the addition of plasticizers [54].

The comparison among the elongation of blends (Figure 9) and pure films (Figure 6) showed, in general, intermediate values for films prepared from combinations of resins, and the same happened when there was a combination of three resins (Cas1/PU8/Ac2). In films containing Cas1, all combinations showed an increase in the elongation of the specimen, the most pronounced increase being exhibited by the film containing 25% casein. For films containing Cas2, the elongation was reduced for films with 25% casein and showed increase in the case of the film with 10% casein.

![Figure 8](image1.png)
**Figure 8**: Tensile strength of polymer blend films prepared from resins used in leather finishing.

![Figure 9](image2.png)
**Figure 9**: Elongation at break of compact films prepared from resins used in leather finishing when subjected to tensile strength.
Thermal characterization of films prepared from resins used in leather finishing

The films were characterized by FTIR and the thermal behavior was characterized using DSC. Figure 10 shows the curves of the second heating ramp for PU10 and PU4 resins. PU4 presented a peak probably associated to the melting temperature (Tm), whereas this was not observed for PU10, suggesting that the resin was completely amorphous. As the sample didn’t show melting peak, this means that it had no crystallization peak either. The glass transition temperature (Tg) for the resin PU10 (base layer) was of about -55 °C, while for PU4 (top layer) was at -40 °C. Base layer resins are typically softer than the top layer resins. The Tg of a polymer is related to its smoothness, the more negative its Tg, the softer, or less hard will be the polymer. As showed by Agnelli [55], Tg range from -50 to -30 °C, depending on the urethane linkers or the physical blending.

Regarding the polyacrylates, Figure 13 shows the very similar behavior of two acrylic films prepared from Ac3 and Ac4 resins. This result was in accordance with the other tests results in this study, which were similar for these two films. The Tg for these samples was approximately -25 °C. The Tg value observed for these films corresponds to ethyl acrylate, according to reference values for different types of polyacrylates described in the literature [53,57-60].

The infrared absorption spectra for the Ac3 resin (Figure 14) and the Ac4 resin (Figure 15), also similar, showed the peaks as follows: the peak at 2975cm⁻¹ corresponds to the CH stretching vibrations of CH₂. The peak at 1723cm⁻¹ corresponds to the C=O stretching band; the peak at 1440cm⁻¹ corresponds to the CH bending vibration of CH₃; the peaks at 1240cm⁻¹ and 1150cm⁻¹ are due to the symmetric and asymmetric vibration of the C-O bond, respectively. In both spectra, the peaks were identical, with small variations, indicating the same absorbance related to polyacrylates.

By analyzing the DSC curve for the Ac1 film (Figure 16) its Tg observed was -52 °C. Comparing to literature values [53,58,59], it is deduced that the resin is butyl acrylate, which has the same range of glass transition temperature. Since the resins are likely to observed the characteristic absorption peaks of polyurethanes according to literature data [7,11,39,40,44,56]. The peak at 3330cm⁻¹ is characteristic of the NH stretching band. The peak at 2928cm⁻¹ corresponds to the stretching vibrations of the aliphatic CH from CH₃ or CH₂ groups. The peak at 1700cm⁻¹ is the C=O stretching band and the one at 1100cm⁻¹ is characteristic for the backbone polyl (COC) or ether group. The spectrum shows the specific peaks of polyurethanes, but with the difference that the peak at 1700cm⁻¹ is much more intense in the PU4 spectrum. When comparing to the DSC analysis (Figure 10), it was noted that the PU4 sample had the most intense absorption peak at 1700cm⁻¹ and had a Tm peak, while the PU10 sample, with a lower absorption peak, had no Tm. The difference in the intensities of the peaks can be related to the thickness of the sample or some grouping that is conferring a degree of crystallinity to the film. Still, it may be due also to the influence of some additive, as they are commonly used in finishing formulations.
have additives in their composition, these may interfere with the accurate Tg measurement or some other characteristics, such as viscosity, softness, etc. They may also consist of a physical mixture of acrylates or copolymers of acrylates with various monomers, thus differing from the homopolymers described in the literature.

In the FTIR spectrum (Figure 17), the absorption peak at 2940 cm\(^{-1}\) may be due to the asymmetrical vibration of CH\(_2\) in butylacrylate [61]. The absorption peak near 973 cm\(^{-1}\) is characteristic for butylacrylate [56].

**Conclusion**

Tensile strength, toughness and elongation showed differences between polyurethane and acrylic films. Tensile tests showed that the films from polyurethane resins, in general, have higher tensile strength resistance when compared with films from acrylic resins, as well as higher toughness values, indicating that these films can sustain a greater impact before the material breaks. In contrast, acrylic films tested had an increased ability to stretch. There wasn’t a distinct difference in behavior between the films of base layer or top layer resins, showing variable behavior from the point of view of tensile strength, toughness and elongation. With respect to hardness, the resins that were more flexible and more stretching showed the lowest hardness. The addition of casein, in polyurethane and in polyacrylate blends, in general, reduced the toughness, tensile strength resistance and elongation, but in some combinations was observed an increase.

In order to achieve technological and innovative results and production requirements, in line with lower cost, the industry is constantly developing polymer-blends. This alternative combine individual characteristics, providing innovations that expand the possibilities for use and reach the widest possible range of application and specifications of these products. Blends showed, in general, intermediate values for the physical-mechanical characteristics tested when compared to pure films.

The characterization of FTIR confirmed the specific absorption peaks of the characteristic chemical groups of polyacrylates and polyurethanes. They were complemented by the differential scanning calorimetry curves, indicating the glass transition temperatures of the studied material.

Resins used for the films preparation in leather finishing present a wide range of properties, so it is important a previous knowledge about these materials to obtain the best results in each purpose of use. This study emphasizes that a resin is not better than other, but has its application more appropriate depending on the desired case.
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