Copper Compression Wear: No Better Than the Sum of its Parts
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Abstract

Copper compression clothing is a seemingly unstoppable fitness trend, despite several articles in popular literature debunking their claims of enhanced performance, reduced recovery time, and even pain management. Despite copper compression wear companies' claims of science-proven effectiveness, search for scholarly literature on compression clothing left the authors empty-handed. The authors reviewed the current literature on both copper and compression wears separately and arrived at the conclusion that the absence of research in this field appears justified.
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Opinion

In 2015, fitness clothing company Tommie Copper was sued by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) for false claims regarding their copper compression wear. According to the FTC's Bureau of Consumer Protection, Tommie Copper deceived consumers by claiming that the pain relief provided by their copper compression wear was so effective that it represented a legitimate alternative to medicinal or surgical interventions [1]; ultimately, the company agreed to pay 1.35 million in fines to settle the suit. That same year, articles appeared in popular magazines Time and Consumer Reports debunking the claims surrounding copper compression gear. Even when faced with such high profile criticism, sales of copper compression sportswear persisted. Besides Tommie Copper, other copper compression brands such as Copper Fit, Copper Compression, and Copper Joint benefited from this trend, as did their celebrity spokes people, like retired NFL champion, Brett Favre. What is copper compression clothing and how does it differ from traditional compression wear? While there does exist a history of medical-grade compression wear designed to improve circulation and lymphatic system functioning, that is not of interest here; the focus of this article is on commercial copper-infused compression wear which is readily available to consumers, over-the-counter. Copper compression performance clothing is not limited to compression sleeves or stockings; companies have expanded their arsenal to include shorts, shirts, socks, and even underwear made of form-fitting elastic material which is infused with copper fibers. These compression garments are designed to deliver graduated pressure, in addition to other benefits, as articulated in the Table 1. The chart is a list of claims made by the specific companies mentioned earlier, regarding the benefits of their copper compression wear. These assertions regarding the benefits of their brands come directly from their websites, as of July 2017, and are recorded here verbatim.

Table 1: Purported benefits by specific copper compression companies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Claims</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper Joint</td>
<td>• Enhance performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Speed recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provide relief from injury, inflammation, and arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Copper pulls the electricity from your body to relieve your pain and allows you to heal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Fit</td>
<td>• Provide support for muscle stiffness, soreness, and pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduce recovery time of muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Support improved circulation and oxygenation of working muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Prevent strain and fatigue by keeping muscles warm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The article discusses at length the promise and potential of copper through a process known as "contact killing"; when microorganisms come into contact with copper surfaces, they are efficiently killed. The article examines claims by Tommie Copper, a company that markets copper-infused compression clothing, and reviews research on copper's antimicrobial qualities and its potential benefits for athletes. It challenges the company's claims and highlights the need for more rigorous research to support these assertions.

**Discussion**

**Research on analgesic properties of copper**

The FTC suit against Tommie Copper alleged that the company's claims to provide pain relief were unsubstantiated. Since then, the company has pulled pain relief language from their ads. Copper Fit and Copper Joint continue to make such claims, however, and Copper Joint goes so far as to claim that the copper in their clothing "pulls the electricity from your body to relieve your pain and allows you to heal." Unfortunately, the science does not support these claims. A 2009 study of magnetic and copper bracelets as a treatment for Osteoarthritis patients found that copper was ineffective at preventing the growth of bacteria. Finally, there have been several studies which have investigated copper; in the form of a bracelet, as a treatment for pain in patients suffering from arthritis. However, to date, none of the professional literature has investigated copper and compression simultaneously.

**Research on antimicrobial properties of copper**

Can copper-impregnated fibers help fight odors, as Tommie Copper claims? On their website, the company cites a 2011 review by Grass & Solioz which confirmed the antimicrobial qualities of copper, discussing its power to kill bacteria, yeast and viruses through a process known as "contact killing"; when microorganisms come into contact with copper surfaces, they are efficiently killed. The article discusses at length the promise and potential of copper as a self-sanitizing material. In a 2004 study of the antibacterial, antiviral, and antifungal qualities of copper-impregnated fibers, Borkow & Gabbay gave socks containing 10% copper-coated fibers to 50 individuals suffering from the fungal infection athlete's foot. With 1-2 days of wearing the socks, all 50 individuals reported the disappearance of the burning and itching that accompanies athlete's foot and within 2-6 days, the blistering and fissures characteristic of athlete's foot had disappeared. Since body odor is the result of bacteria breaking down protein into certain acids on skin, it makes sense that the elimination of said bacteria by copper clothing could help prevent odor. However, as Adrian de Novato points out in his 2014 editorial about copper compression clothes, whether this is true for these particular items would depend entirely on the amount and purity of the copper used in clothing, which is something we don't actually know [6]. Even when specific companies make claims about the percentage of copper within their clothing, we do not have a context in which to make sense of those numbers.

**Research on compression garments and recovery**

In 2013, Born & Holmberg published a systematic review of the literature on the effects of compression clothing on athletic performance and recovery. In their analysis of 31 articles, they found evidence that the benefits of compression clothing were strongest when their purpose was for recovery, with small to moderate effects felt when applied 12 to 48 hours after significant amounts of muscle-damage-inducing exercise. Benefits included reductions in muscle swelling and perceived muscle pain as well as blood lactate removal. Also published in 2013, Driller and Hanson's study of highly-trained cyclists found that wearing compression garments between cycling bouts might aid in reducing perceptions of muscle soreness by assisting in the clearance of metabolic waster and/or lessening the inflammatory response. Most recently, a study found that runners experienced a 6% improvement in their recovery parameters when using graduated compression socks for 48 hours after exhaustive exercise [8]. The research supports compression company claims then some of their gear can potentially improve recovery and delay the onset of muscle soreness. It should be noted that the aforementioned studies looked at lower-limb compression gear (knee-high socks, tights, shorts, or leggings) and cannot support claims for gear worn on the upper body (or underwear).
lactate removal and improved proprioception. While they calculated small effects on improving short-duration sprints and vertical-jump height, there were no effects on peak leg power, maximal-distance throwing, balance, or arm tremble during bench press. Where endurance exercises were concerned, they could not confirm a benefit. None of the physiological markers (oxygen uptake, blood lactate concentration during continuous exercise, blood gases, or cardiac parameters) were affected by compression wear, and yet they found a small percentage of studies that demonstrated positive effects where time-to-exhaustion and trial-time performance were concerned. The authors noted that time-to-exhaustion are less reliable as a test, as well as considered the possibility of placebo effect, when considering these contradictory findings. More recently, a 2015 study found that compression garments had no effect on the performance of endurance runners; wearing lower-leg compression did not alter running mechanics or running economy in highly trained distance runners during sub maximal running [9]. Like Born et al. [7], the Stick et al. [9] study considered the possibility that responses to compression were psychological in nature, noting that the 2 subjects in their study with the greatest improvements in running economy were the only subjects who had worn compression sleeves prior to the study and already believed that compression could improve their performance and recovery.

Conclusion

The absence of scholarly inquiry into the effectiveness of copper compression sportswear appears intentional and justified. While research continues to offer limited support that compression wear in general can aid in recovery, there is not any evidence yet to support the notion that the user benefits more from copper-infused compression clothing than from non-copper alternatives, beyond the potential aesthetic benefits related to odor. Further, claims related to performance-enhancement appear to be overstated and limited to only lower-limb compression wear at this time.
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