Cognitive Impairment in Patients Operated for Non-traumatic Intracranial Hemorrhage
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Summary

The article is devoted to the peculiarities of cognitive status in patients operated for non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhages. An assessment of the severity of cognitive impairment was carried out in the observations of different etiology hemorrhages. An analysis of the relationship between the localization and the hematoma, and the severity of disorders of higher cortical functions was carried out. Understanding the cognitive deficiency patterns in neurosurgical patients is important for effective rehabilitation measures.
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Topicality

Blood stroke is the most important cause of disability occurrence in the population of developed countries. Non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhages compose 10 to 20% of cerebral circulation disorders [1]. Only 12% - 39% of patients can subsequently return to full-fledged life after a blood stroke [2]. One of the adverse effects of non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage is the impairment of higher cortical functions. Post-stroke cognitive disorders are moderately pronounced in 37-71% of cases, and they reach the degree of dementia in 4-40% of cases [3]. At that, they are heterogeneous in a pathogenic and clinical way, which affects their curability and prognosis. This study objective is to assess the severity of cognitive impairment in patients operated for non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhages of different etiology and localization.

Materials and Methods

The results of neuropsychological testing of 54 patients (18 men and 36 women, mean age of 47.56±13.54 years old) aimed at rehabilitation treatment after non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage was analyzed. Among them, 16 patients underwent aneurysm clipping (29.63%), 9 ones (16.67%)-aneurysm embolization, 18 ones (33.33%)-hematoma removal at hypertensive hemorrhage, 1 patient (1.85%)-angioma removal, and 10 ones (18.52%) - embolization of arteriovenous malformation (AVM). The state evaluation of higher cortical functions was carried out by a neuropsychologist applying MMSE, FAB scales on the day of admission.

Results and Discussion

The MMSE scale testing revealed that patients scored from 28 to 30 points (normal variant) in 9 (16.67%) cases, from 24 to 27 points (predementing violations) in 23 (42.59%) cases, from 20 to 23 points (mild dementia) in 8 (14.81%) cases, and, finally, from 11 to 19 points (dementia of moderate severity) in 14 (25.93%) cases. The average MMSE score demonstrated 23.04±6.15 points. When assessing the degree of frontal dysfunction using the FAB scale, the following results were obtained: 21 (38.89%) subjects of the study scored from 16 to 18 points, which corresponded to the norm value, 19 (35.19%) subjects of the studied scored from 12 to 15 points, which corresponded to moderate frontal dysfunction, 14
(25.93%) subjects scored less than 12 points, which corresponded to severe frontal dysfunction. The average FAB score totaled 13.91±3.98 points.

Like for any focal brain lesion, in case of non-traumatic intracranial hematoma, the presence and localization of intracerebral hematoma plays an important role in the formation of cognitive deficiency. In this study, intracerebral hematoma occurred in 44 (81.48%) cases, and isolated subarachnoid hemorrhage occurred in 10 (18.52%) cases. Table 1 reflects the testing results for the patients with non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage of different localization.

The Table 1 shows that the most pronounced cognitive impairment was observed in patients with thalamic and subcortical non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage, upon admission, depending on its location.

**Table 1**: The cognitive status of patients operated for non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage, upon admission, depending on its location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment of Cognitive Status by Scale Methods M (25%; 75%)</th>
<th>Thalamic Hematoma (n=5)</th>
<th>Subcortical Hematoma (n=33)</th>
<th>Cerebellar Hematoma (n=5)</th>
<th>Hematoma of the Trunk (n=1)</th>
<th>Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Without Hematoma Formation (n=10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>19.0 (18.5; 22.0)*</td>
<td>23.5 (17.25; 27.0)*</td>
<td>26.5 (25.25; 27.0)*</td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
<td>25.0 (22.5; 27.0)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAB</td>
<td>15.0 (12.0; 16.0)</td>
<td>15.0 (11.0; 17.0)</td>
<td>17.0 (15.75; 18.0)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.0 (11.0; 17.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *** - reliability of statistical differences (p <0.05).

Table 2 shows the results of the cognitive status comparison of patients with different etiologies of non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhages. As can be seen from Table 2, the mildest cognitive deficiency was described in those who underwent hemorrhage from AVM. This is consistent with literature data, according to which a more favorable outcome for hemorrhages from AVM compared with those with aneurysmal and hypertensive disease can be explained by a slight pressure inside the vascular formation, and a low frequency of vasospasm [7]. The most pronounced cognitive impairment was accompanied by hypertensive hemorrhage. The severity and disabling consequences of hypertensive hemorrhage are largely due to the high incidence of dislocation syndrome. In addition, hypertension with poorly controlled high blood pressure numbers is an independent risk factor for cognitive deficiency. In patients with aneurysmal hemorrhages, moderate impairments of higher brain functions were found during the admission, corresponding, on average, to the level of predementing disorders.

**Table 2**: Cognitive status of patients with different etiologies of non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhages during admission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment of Cognitive Status by Scale Methods M (25%; 75%)</th>
<th>Cause of Hemorrhage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aneurysmal (n=25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>25.0 (23.0; 27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAB</td>
<td>14.0 (11.0; 17.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The severity of the patient’s condition, as well as the incidence of dislocation syndrome in the presence of hematoma, is largely determined by its volume. According to the literature, hematoma volume in excess of 30 cm³ is an adverse prognostic factor [2]. Table 3 shows the results of the cognitive status comparison of subjects with intracerebral hematomas of different volume. As Table 3 demonstrates, there is a fairly clear relationship between hematoma volume and cognitive impairment severity. Hematomas of small volume were accompanied by a significantly less pronounced cognitive deficiency than hematomas with a volume of more than 50cm³. When comparing the volume of hematomas with the test indicators, a strong positive correlation was found: r =+.83.

**Table 3**: Cognitive status of patients depending on intracerebral hematoma volume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment of Cognitive Status by Scale Methods M (25%; 75%)</th>
<th>Hematoma Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;20 cm³ (n=24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>27.5 (25.5; 28.0)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAB</td>
<td>18.0 (16.5; 18.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * - reliability of statistical differences (p <0.01).
Conclusion

Thus, the formation and severity of cognitive impairment in non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhages are affected by a number of factors, including the etiology of hemorrhage, localization and size of hematoma.

References


