



Epidemiology of Onychomycosis in Pernambuco, Northeastern of Brazil: Results of a Laboratory-Based Survey



Patrícia Cristina Rodrigues Lima^{1,2}, Ertênia Paiva Oliveira¹, Ana Emília de Medeiros Roberto¹, Maria Daniella Silva Buonafina¹, Melyna Chaves Leite de Andrade¹, Vanessa Marques Barreto Pontes¹, João Vitaliano de Carvalho Rocha², Rejane Pereira Neves¹, Humberto Gonçalves Bertão³ and Reginaldo Gonçalves de Lima Neto^{1,3,4*}

¹Department of Mycology, Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil

²Professor of the Aesthetics and Cosmetics graduation of the Integrated College of Pernambuco, Brazil

³Postgraduate Program in Pathology, Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil

⁴Department of Tropical Medicine, Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil

*Corresponding author: Reginaldo Gonçalves de Lima Neto, Laboratory of Medical Mycology, Department of Mycology, Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil

Submission: 📅: February 09, 2019; Published: 📅: February 25, 2019

Abstract

Onychomycosis is chronic ungual disease that affects people worldwide and whose prevalence has been increasing in recent decades. Among the etiological agents are yeasts, dermatophytes and non-dermatophytic filamentous fungi (NDF). The symptoms and severity can vary widely according to the anatomic site, condition of the individual and geographic location. Laboratory identification of the fungal etiological agents is necessary due to the wide variety of these agents and the varied responses to the existing drugs. This study aimed to diagnose and characterize epidemiologically onychomycosis, besides of to determine the susceptibility profile against evaluable antifungals drugs. Patients of a Dermatological Public Service of reference at Recife city, Northeast of the Brazil, which were suffering from suggestive lesions were evaluated between August 2016 and July 2017. Mycological diagnosis was carried out by direct microscopic examination of clinical samples clarified with 20% potassium hydrochloride (KOH) and by culture in Sabouraud dextrose agar medium supplemented with 2% (v/v) chloramphenicol. After incubation for 15 days at 35-37 °C, the macro and microscopic aspects of the colonies were analyzed for specie identification. The yeasts were identified by proteomic analysis with MALDI-TOF MS. In vitro antifungal susceptibility testing was carried out according CLSI method. Signs and symptoms suggestive of onychomycosis were presented in 196 patients, of which were obtained 224 nail samples. In the mycological tests, 119 samples from 108 patients were diagnosed positively for onychomycosis, of them 77 (39.28%) from females, and the hand (fingernails) was the region most often affected, with 61 cases (31.12%). The etiological agents isolated most frequently were yeasts of *Candida* with 92 cases (77.31%), followed by non-dermatophytic fungi with 19 cases (15.97%), especially the genus *Fusarium* with 17 cases (14.28%), and dermatophytes with 8 cases (6.72%). *Candida* yeasts were the most prevalent etiological agents and the area most affected was the hand, associated with homemakers, who typically have greater contact with humidity. Onychomycosis caused by dermatophytes was least frequent, however terbinafine was the drug of choice for therapy in patients and in vitro more effective against dermatophytes. Most of the patients had been submitted to ineffective treatment. The yeasts were more susceptible to the antifungals and NDF were the more resistant to the antifungals. The prescription of drug combinations can be a solution for treatment of onychomycosis caused by NDF. The patients' reports of longstanding symptoms indicate the chronic nature of the disease and the profile can change frequently, suggesting that periodic analyses are necessary. The frequent recurrences can be related to the high cost and long treatment periods, while public awareness campaigns can help to reduce infection rates.

Keywords: Nail disease; Superficial mycoses; Diagnosis; Susceptibility

Introduction

Onychomycosis is a disease that affects the finger and toenails, of both men and women, but is infrequently found in children. The etiological agents are yeasts, dermatophytes and non-dermatophytic filamentous fungi (NDF). In recent decades the number of cases of onychomycosis has been rising, due to

population growth and extensive therapies with antibiotics and corticoids [1-3]. The clinical aspect of onychomycosis can vary among hyperkeratosis, change in nail color and distal detachment of the nail plate, and it can be misdiagnosed as other diseases, such as paronychia, psoriasis and lichen planus [4].

Onychomycosis causes problems such as low self-esteem, reduced functional capacity and impairment of daily activities [5]. The disease develops based on predisposing factors, such as nail deformities, poor dress and cleanliness habits, diabetes, genetic predisposition, angiopathy and immunosuppression once immunosuppressed individuals have higher rates than immunocompetent people [6]. Studies conducted in various countries have indicated the presence of onychomycosis throughout the world, observing aspects such as demographics (age range, sex), cultural habits and geographic distribution [7-10]. Herein the objective was to diagnose and characterize onychomycosis among patients of a public referral dermatology service in northeastern Brazil. Moreover, the susceptibility profile of the clinical isolates against antifungal drugs used in onychomycosis` therapy were evaluated.

Methods

Type and site of study

A prospective study with observational approach was developed in the period between August 2016 and July 2017 involving incidence description of onychomycosis by analysis of laboratory results. Nail samples were collected from patients with lesions suggestive of onychomycosis at a public dermatology service of the Clinical Hospital of Federal University of Pernambuco (UFPE), Brazil. At the same time, questionnaires were answered by the patients. The diagnosis was performed in the Medical Mycology Laboratory of the Biosciences Center of UFPE.

Mycological procedures

The samples for mycological diagnosis was obtained by scraping of the nail plate with a sterile scalpel and maintained in sterile dishes for direct examination followed by culturing. To observe possible fungal structures, the samples were mounted on slides with 20% KOH and examined under a light microscope. Other samples of the same material were inoculated on Sabouraud

dextrose agar supplemented with 50mg/L of chloramphenicol and kept at an average temperature of 35-37 °C for up to four weeks. The colonies were identified by traditional taxonomy according to the macro and microscopic characteristics. Additionally, the yeasts isolated were submitted to protein extraction for examination by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry [11]. The purified isolates were evaluated *in vitro* by antifungal susceptibility testing (AST) by the broth dilution method [12].

Statistical analyses

Social-demographic, laboratory and clinical data obtained from patients were analyzed with Graphpad Prism version 6.0 (San Diego, CA, USA) software. Categorical variables were analyzed by Fisher's exact test.

Research ethical aspects

This study was submitted and approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Health Sciences Centre (CCS) of Federal University of Pernambuco (UFPE) under CAAE number 57861816.4.0000.5208, integrally following the ethical principles established under the resolution 466/12 of the National Council of Health (CNS). All data was collected and filed after CPE approval, all information was used exclusively in the present study, and individuals' identities were maintained in absolute secrecy.

Results

Causative agents

The laboratory-based diagnosis showed that 108 patients (55.1%) had onychomycosis, 77 of them women and 31 men. The ages of all the patients ranged from 10 to 94 years, while the average age of those with conclusive diagnosis of onychomycosis was 59 years. Figure 1 shows the histogram of the age ranges of the positive patients in 11-year intervals. Fingernails were most frequent affected region, closely followed by toenails, while five patients only presented both regions affected.




Figure 1: Histogram of the age range frequencies.

Candida species were most prevalent and isolated in 92 samples (77.31%), however 28 clinical yeasts only were identified and had AST carried out. *Candida* proteomic analysis by MALDI-TOF MS identified *C. albicans* (4), *C. parapsilosis* (12), *C. tropicalis* (8), and *C. orthopsilosis* (4). NDFF were isolated in 19 samples (15.97%), where 17 were of genus *Fusarium* sp, one *Aspergillus niger* and one *Scytalidium dimidiatum*. Two patients were diagnosed with onychomycosis by *Candida* associated with *Fusarium*. Dermatophytes were identified in eight (6.72%) samples being entirely of genus *Trichophyton*.

Among the variables analyzed by Fisher's exact test, three interactions were significant, namely: profession/sex ($p < 0.001$), etiological agent/sex ($p = 0.001$) and affected region/sex ($p = 0.001$). The most frequent occupation reported by the patients was homemaker, all of them women. Regarding etiological agent and affected area, the greatest incidence of *Candida* isolated were on the fingernails. For toenails, the largest prevalence was *Candida* sp., followed by *Fusarium* sp., *Trichophyton* sp., *Aspergillus* sp. and *Scytalidium* sp., while the only etiological agent affecting both the toenails and fingernails was *Candida* sp.

Table 1: *In vitro* antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* sp. isolated from nail scrapings from patients of a public health service unit.

Patient ID number	Species	MIC ($\mu\text{g/mL}$)			
		Ketoconazole	Fluconazole	Itraconazole	Ciclopirox
36	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.25	(S)2	(S)0.03125	0.5
37 A	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.125	(S)2	(R)16	0.25
79	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	(S)0.03125	(S)0.125	(R)1	2
103	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.0625	(S)1	(S)0.0625	0.5
120	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(R)16	(S)0.5	(S)0.125	0.5
167	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	(S)1	(R)8	(R)1	1
185	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	(S)8	(R)16	(R)16	0.5
185B	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.0625	(S)2	(DDS)0.5	1
191	<i>C. albicans</i>	(S)8	(S)0.125	(R)16	0.25
192	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	(S)2	(R)64	(R)16	0.5
198	<i>C. albicans</i>	(S)0.03125	(S)0.5	(S)0.125	0.25
200	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S) 0.03125	(S)0.25	(DDS)0.25	0.25
206	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.125	(S)0.5	(R)4	2
239	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	(S)1	(R) 64	(R)16	0.25
266	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	R(16)	(S)0.5	(R)16	0.125
273	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	(S)0.5	(S)2	(S)0.03125	0.5
281	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.5	(DDS)4	(R)1	0.25
283	<i>C. orthopsilosis</i>	(S)0.125	(S)2	(S)0.125	0.25
286	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	(S)2	(S)1	(DDS)0.5	0.125
298	<i>C. albicans</i>	(S)0.03125	(S)0.25	(DDS)0.5	0.25
299	<i>C. orthopsilosis</i>	(S)0.03125	(S)0.25	(DDS)0.25	1
306	<i>C. orthopsilosis</i>	(R)16	(S)1	(S)0.125	0.25
625	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.25	(R)64	(R)8	0.25

Antifungal profile

Twenty-eight *Candida* isolated, eight NDFF and four dermatophytes had antifungal profile obtained by CLSI method. The MIC values are summarized in (Table 1). The four dermatophytes isolated showed minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for terbinafine lower than 0.125 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (susceptible), while two *T. mentagrophytes* isolates presented high MIC values for ketoconazole, itraconazole and fluconazole, and was classified as resistant. Others two *T. mentagrophytes* isolate presented the lowest MIC values and was classified as susceptible against four antifungal drugs (Table 2). The same drugs evaluated against dermatophytes were used with eight NDFF. *Scytalidium dimidiatum* was susceptible against ketoconazole, while the other NDFF seven isolates presented higher concentrations, indicating resistance. Two NDFF isolates were fluconazole-susceptible with MIC of 32 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, while four isolates presented MIC values equal or greater than 64 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The sensitivity profile of NDFF against itraconazole was 16 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for four isolates and higher than 16 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for others four isolates displaying resistance (Figure 2).

628	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.03125	(S)0.5	(S)0.125	0.25
669	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.03125	(S)0.125	(S)0.125	0.25
698	<i>C. albicans</i>	(S)0.03125	(S)0.5	(R)8	0.125
711	<i>C. orthopsilosis</i>	(S)8	(S)0.25	(S)0.125	0.25
843	<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	(S)0.0625	(S)2	(S)0.125	0.25

S=Susceptible, DDS=Dose-dependent susceptible, R=Resistant. There is no specific standard for ciclopirox oxalamine, so in these cases we considered resistance to be levels equal to or greater than 1µg/mL.

Table 2: *In vitro* antifungal susceptibility of the dermatophytes isolated from nail scrapings from patients of a public health service unit.

Patient ID Number	Specie	MIC (µg/mL)			
		Ketoconazole	Itraconazole	Fluconazole	Terbinafine
87	<i>Tricophyton mentagrophytes</i>	16	16	64	<0.125
170	<i>Tricophyton mentagrophytes</i>	16	16	64	<0.125
241	<i>Tricophyton mentagrophytes</i>	0.06	1	2	<0.125
263	<i>Tricophyton mentagrophytes</i>	<0.03125	<0.03125	0.5	<0.125




Figure 2: Bar graph of the sex/etiological agent relationship.

The drugs evaluated in the tests with yeasts were ketoconazole, fluconazole, itraconazole and ciclopirox olamine. *C. parapsilosis* showed MIC values between 0.03125-0.5µg/mL in 11 isolated classified as susceptible to ketoconazole, while only one isolated was resistant (16µg/mL). Ten isolates were susceptible to fluconazole (0.125-2µg/mL), one dose-dependent (4µg/mL) and one resistant (64µg/mL). The greatest resistance was to itraconazole, with four isolates having high MIC values (4µg/mL-16µg/mL). There are no standards for ciclopirox olamine, and the CLSI guidelines suggests resistance in MIC values greater than or equal to 1µg/mL. Therefore, two *C. parapsilosis* isolates were resistant to ciclopirox olamine, with MIC values of 1µg/mL and 2µg/mL. The MIC values for *C. orthopsilosis* were 0.03125-8µg/mL for three susceptible isolates to ketoconazole and one only was resistant (16µg/mL). Three isolated were itraconazole susceptible, (0.125µg/mL), and one was dose-dependent (0.5µg/mL). All isolated were fluconazole susceptible with MIC values of 0.25-2µg/mL) and one was resistant

to ciclopirox olamine (1µg/mL). Seven *C. tropicalis* isolates were susceptible to ketoconazole presented MIC values between 0.03125-8µg/mL, while one was resistant (16µg/mL). Five isolated were fluconazole susceptible with MIC values of 0.0125-8µg/mL, one dose-dependent (16µg/mL) and there were resistant with MIC of 64µg/mL. For itraconazole, six isolated were resistance (1-16µg/mL) for six isolates, one dose-dependent (0.5µg/mL) and one was susceptible (0.03125µg/mL). For ciclopirox olamine, six isolates had MIC between 0.125-0.5µg/mL and two were between 1-2µg/mL classified as resistant. All *C. albicans* isolates were susceptible against ketoconazole fluconazole and ciclopirox olamine. One isolate was resistant (16µg/mL) and another was dose-dependent (0.5µg/mL) against itraconazole.

Clinical findings

Examination of clinical type of onychomycosis was not limited to patients with suspected of onychomycosis, but includes all

patients attended in the dermatological service with nail changes of various origins with the aim of excluding. Among 108 patients with onychomycosis diagnosed, 60 patients presented distal lateral subungual onychomycosis (DLSO), 26 total dystrophic onychomycosis (TDO) and 22 onychomycosis of mixed pattern (OM). In DLSO patients, *Candida spp.* were the main etiological agent in 47 patients, followed by *Fusarium sp.* in 9 patients (Figure 3), *T. mentagrophytes* in 2 and 1 infection caused by association of *Candida sp.* and *Fusarium sp.* TDO cases presented *Candida sp.* as agent in 14 patients, NDFD in 6 patients, being 4 *Fusarium*

sp. 1 *Aspergillus niger* and 1 *Scytalidium dimidiatum* (Figure 4), *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* in 2. Among OM patients, 17 were infected with *Candida sp.*, two were infected by *Fusarium sp.*, one by *Candida sp.* associated with *Fusarium sp.* The patients' records showed that 52 had been suffering symptoms between 1 to 4 years, 45 between 5 to 10 years and 11 reported clinical symptoms for longer than 10 years. Moreover, 61 patients report they had undergone empirical treatment in the past without success (Table 3).



Figure 3: Onychomycosis by *Fusarium sp.* showing architecture distorted on fingernails.



Figure 4: Onychomycosis by *Scytalidium dimidiatum* characterized by advanced disease involving several nails.

Discussion

The average age of the patients was 59 years, with the greatest frequency in the 54-64 year range. The higher incidence of the disease in older people is possibly due to the slower nail growth and the greater difficulty of cutting them, as well as the presence of diseases such as diabetes and repeated finger injuries. The disease is uncommon in children, perhaps because of the faster nail growth and smaller size [6,8,13]. In Brazil, studies in the cities of Recife, São

Paulo and São José do Rio Preto have identified roughly the same average age and age range, greater prevalence in older people and rare occurrence in children. The results presented here therefore corroborate those findings [7,8,14].

Excessive contact with humidity and exposure to chemical products are considered aggravating factors for the development of onychomycosis. Among the occupations reported by the women in this study, homemaker was most common, and the leading

anatomical site was the fingernails. The same profile has been found in other areas of Brazil as well as other countries, such as Guatemala, India and United States [7,8,14,15]. With respect to the most common etiological agents, some authors have reported dermatophytes, with *T. rubrum* being the most common isolate in these studies [6,15,13]. In contrast, in this study yeasts of the *Candida* genus were the most common etiological agents, for both sexes and affected regions. Among the studies that have collected similar data, one descriptive study was conducted in São Paulo [7,14,16], in the which, proteomic analysis was applied to isolated and purified yeast samples and 100% were found to be positive for *Candida sp.*, *C. albicans* is commonly found as a causative agent of onychomycosis, having been observed in one study as the main species among yeasts [14]. In our study, the most prevalent

species was *C. parapsilosis*, corroborating the findings of Lone et al. [7] We also identified *C. tropicalis* and *C. orthopsilosis*, both with frequency greater than *C. albicans*, which was identified in three samples. The NDFF formed the second most frequent group, with the most prevalent being *Fusarium sp.*, followed by *Aspergillus sp.* and *Scytalidium sp.* The NDFF have been increasing as agents causing fungal nail infections [13]. The dermatophytes isolated in this study were all of the species *T. mentagrophytes*, different than in some other studies, which have found *T. rubrum* as most common [6,8,15]. In Brazil, surveys conducted in the states of Rio de Janeiro (2004) and Goiás (2005), city of Recife (2009) and city of São José do Ribeião Preto (2015) presented the same pattern of causative agents of onychomycosis, while one study, in the city of São Paulo, found *T. rubrum* to be the main causative agent [7,14-18].

Table 3: *In vitro* antifungal susceptibility of the non-dermatophytic filamentous fungi isolated from nail scrapings from patients of a public health service unit.

Patient ID Number	Genus	MIC (µg/mL)			
		Ketoconazole	Itraconazole	Fluconazole	Terbinafine
11A	<i>Aspergillus sp.</i>	16	16	64	>64
94	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>	16	>16	>64	64
151	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>	4	16	32	64
260	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>	16	16	>64	64
442	<i>Scytalidium dimidiatum</i>	0.03125	>16	32	>64
670	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>	8	>16	>64	>64
825	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>	8	16	>64	>64
879C	<i>Fusarium sp.</i>	>16	>16	>64	32

Each species responsible for onychomycosis produces specific lesions on the nail plate. In this study, according to the morphology, we found DLSO with greatest frequency, followed by TDO and OM, while no cases of superficial onychomycosis white or proximal subungual onychomycosis were observed. The lesions characteristic of DLSO are related to infection by dermatophytes, but these characteristics are identical to those of unguinal candidiasis, making it hard to differentiate the etiological agent only by the morphological pattern. TDO is considered to be an evolution of the other types, where there is total nail destruction, hyperkeratosis and modification of the surface. The data on the clinical types of onychomycosis in this study are similar to those reported by other researchers but differ regarding the etiological agents/clinical pattern [6,13,18,19]. The data collected in this study show profile of onychomycosis in the Northeast region of Brazil. Greater efforts should be made to inform people about the disease and the resources for its diagnosis and treatment [20-22]. Also, more studies should be conducted to refine the diagnosis and select therapies, since the varied species that cause the disease respond differently to the available drugs.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank to the Postgraduate National Program (PNPD/UFPE 1277371/2014) for the scholarship of Humberto Gonçalves Bertão.

References

1. Tchernev G, Penev PK, Nenoff P, Zisova LG, Cardoso JC, et al. (2013) Onychomycosis: modern diagnostic and treatment approaches. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 163(1-2): 1-12.
2. Kim DM, Suh MK, Ha GY, Sohng SH (2012) Fingernail onychomycosis due to *aspergillus niger*. *Ann Dermatol* 24(4): 459-463.
3. Mcauley WJ, Jones AS, Traynor MJ, Guesné S, Murdan S, et al. (2016) An investigation of how fungal infection influences drug penetration through onychomycosis patients nail plates. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* 102: 178-184.
4. Westerberg DP, Voyack MJ (2013) Onychomycosis: current trends in diagnosis and treatment. *Am Fam Physician* 88(11): 762-770.
5. Chan Hhl, Wong ET, Yeung CK (2014) Psychosocial perception of adults with onychomycosis: a blinded, controlled comparison of 1,017 adult Hong Kong residents with or without onychomycosis. *Biopsychosoc Med* 8: 15
6. Ghannoum M, Isham N (2014) Fungal nail infections (onychomycosis): a never-ending story? *PLoS Pathog* 10(6): e1004105.
7. Lone R, Bashir D, Shabirahmad, Syed A, Syedkhusid (2013) A study on clinico-mycological profile, aetiological agents and diagnosis of onychomycosis at a government medical college hospital in kashmir. *J Clin Diagn Res* 7(9): 1983-1985.
8. Montarim DTA, Almeida MTG, Colombo Te (2015) Onychomycosis estudo epidemiológico e micológico no município de são José do rio preto. *J Health Sci Inst* 33(2): 118-121.
9. Velasquez AV, cardona AJÁ (2017) Meta-analysis of the utility of culture, biopsy, and direct KOH examination for the diagnosis of onychomycosis. *BMC infectious diseases* 17: 166.

10. Elewski Be, Tosti A (2015) A risk factors and comorbidities for onychomycosis. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol* 11(8): 38-42.
11. Limaneto R, Santos C, Lima N, Sampaio P, Pai C, et al. (2014) Application of MALDI-TOF MS for requalification of a candida clinical isolates culture collection brazilian. *Braz J Microbiol* 45(2): 515-522.
12. CLINICAL LABORATORY STANDARDS INSTITUTE (CLSI). (2008) Reference Method for Broth Dilution Antifungal Susceptibility Testing of Yeasts. Approved standard-3rd edition M27-A3; Wayne, PA, USA: Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute.
13. Jesús Silva MA, Fernández Martínez R, Roldán MR, Arenas R (2015) Dermoscopic patterns in patients with a clinical diagnosis of onychomycosis-results of a prospective study including data of potassium hydroxide (KOH) and culture examination. *Dermatol Pract Concept* 5(2): 39-44.
14. Ribeiro CSC, Zaitz C, Framil VMS, Ottoboni TSC, Tonoli MSC, et al. (2015) Descriptive study of onychomycosis in a hospital in são paulo. *Braz J Microbiol* 46(2): 485-492.
15. Martínez HEO, Arroyo CS, Tejada GDL, Porras LCF, Arenas R (2015) Onychomycosis due to opportunistic molds. *An Bras Dermatol* 90(3): 334-337.
16. Miranda KC, Araújo CR, Khrais CHA, Lemos JA (2005) Identificação de yeasts do gênero candida nas unhas e em descamação de pele em goiânia (GO), durante o ano de 2003. *Revista De Patologia Tropical* 34(2): 123-128.
17. Queller JN, Bhatia N (2015) The dermatologist's approach to onychomycosis. *J Fungi (Basel)* 1(2): 173-184.
18. Araújo JGA, Souza MAJ, Bastos OMP, Oliveira JC (2003) Onychomycosis por fungos emergentes: análise clínica, diagnóstico laboratorial e revisão. *An Bras Dermatol* 78(4): 445-455.
19. Lima KM, Delgado M, Rego RSM, Castro CMMB (2008) Candida albicans e candida tropicalis isoladas de onychomycosis em paciente hiv-positivo: co resistência in vitro aos azólicos. *Revista De Patologia Tropical* 37(1): 57-64.
20. Pajaziti L, Vasili E (2015) Treatment of onychomycosis - a clinical study. *Med Arh* 69(3): 173-176.
21. Leelavathi M, Noorlaily MN (2014) Onychomycosis nailed. *Malays Fam Physician* 9(1): 2-7.
22. Vasconcelos C, Pereira CQ, Suza MC, Pelegrini A, Freitas RS, et al. (2013) Identification of fungi species in the onychomycosis of institutionalized elderly. *An Bras Dermatol* 88(3): 377-380.



Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

For possible submissions Click Here

[Submit Article](#)



Cohesive Journal of Microbiology & Infectious Disease

Benefits of Publishing with us

- High-level peer review and editorial services
- Freely accessible online immediately upon publication
- Authors retain the copyright to their work
- Licensing it under a Creative Commons license
- Visibility through different online platforms