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Abstract

A cross sectional study was carried out to estimate the prevalence of chicken coccidial infections and assessment of the associated risk factor in backyard chickens in and around Debre Tabore town, South Gondar Zone, Northwest Ethiopia from October 2015 and May 2016. Floatation techniques were used for qualitative study of coccidial oocysts. Descriptive statistics was used to express prevalence while chi-square test used to assess if there was statistically significant association between targeted host factors and coccidial infection. The degrees of these associations were quantified using crude and adjusted odds ratio. Statistical significance was assumed if the confidence interval (CI) did not include one among its values or whenever p-value was less than 5%. The overall animal prevalence for coccidial infections was 21.4% (48/224) and the prevalence was significantly associated with breed (p=0.019), age (p=0.028) and sex (p=0.004) of the study animals. In a multivariable logistic regression analysis, female (Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR)=0.3; 95%CI:0.17-0.68) and exotic breeds (AOR=0.46; 95%CI:0.23-0.93) were found less likely infected with coccidial oocysts as compared to male and local chickens. However, increased risk for coccidial infection was recorded in adult chicken (AOR=2.04; 95%CI:1.02-4.08) as compared to the grower chickens. In conclusion, this study showed coccidial infection could be important in the backyard chickens in the study area even though the overall prevalence was relatively low. Moreover, the prevalence was higher in adults than the growers, which alerts the need to undertake suitable and practically applicable control and prevention measures in the parent stocks.
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Introduction

Poultry is now by far the largest livestock species in the worldwide (FAO, 2000), accounting for more than 30% of all animal protein consumption Permin & Pedersen [1]. Poultry are the sole means of livelihood for the overall well-being of the household of the human being and provide a major income-generating activity from the sale of birds and eggs Jordan et al. [2]. Coccidia are host specific Leite [3] and occur universally, most commonly in animals housed or confined in small areas contaminated with oocysts Radostitis et al. [4]. Climatic factors, age of the host and management determine the pattern of presentation of coccidiosis in different regions Acosta et al.[5]. Coccidiosis is one of the most important economically poultry diseases and coccidial infections are usually most common and important in chickens younger than 1 year Abebe et al.[6]. Coccidiosis is known to be the most prevalent and most expensive disease of poultry in the worldwide Gari et al. [7]. It is one of the most alarming problems in poultry rearing industry and is responsible for morbidity and mortality Pandit [8]. Coccidiosis is an economically important disease in chicken caused by the Eimeria species Taylor et al. [9,10]. There are nine important species of Eimeria which appear to be distributed throughout the world: Charlton [11], Conway & Mckenzie [12]. Coccidiosis still continues to be one of the most expensive and common diseases of poultry inspite of advance made in prevention and control through chemotherapy, management, nutrition and genetic improvement Vegad [13]. The production of poultry protein has greatly expanded in many developing countries in the recent past. Nevertheless it has been adversely affected by variety of constraints, of which, poultry disease continues to play the major central role in hampering its development Jordan et al. [2], Safarvet al. [14]. In Ethiopia, poultry production is categorized in to traditional (backyard and free-range), small and large scale oriented sectors, which is based on the objective of the producer, the type of the input used, the number and the type of chicken kept Alemu [15]. The rural poultry sectors constitute about 99% of the total chicken population and managed under the traditional village poultry production systems Tadell et al. [16]. Coccidiosis is endemic in Ethiopia and causing great economic losses in poultries in all production system (FAO 1995).
Mathusela [17] and Safari et al. [14] had reported that coccidiosis contributes to 8.4% and 11.86% losses in profit in traditional poultry production system in Ethiopia, respectively. Losses due to mortality following severe outbreak may be devastating and incidence rates as high as 80% was also reported in another study conducted in Ethiopia Gari [7].

Despite several research have been undertaken in the area of poultry coccidiosis in different regions in Ethiopia Guale [18], Ashenafl [19], Mathusela [17], Lobago et al. [20], Safari et al. [14], Gari et al. [7] and Mersha et al. [21] the disease still a major problem in Amara Regional particularly in South Gondar Administrative Zone, and demanding much research and investigation. Therefore, the objectives of the present study were to estimate the prevalence of coccidial infections in backyard chickens in and around Debre Tabor Town and to identify risk factors associated with coccidial infection in the area.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted in and in the vicinity of Debere Tabor Town from November 2015 and April 2016.

Study animals

The study animals were backyard chickens from both sexes and different age groups. The majority was exotic breed chickens but small numbers of local breeds were used.

Sample size estimation and sampling of the study animals

The sample size was estimated using the formula indicated hereunder, which is used to estimate prevalence in a given population accordingly; a total of 224 chickens were calculated to be enough to estimate the prevalence by considering the expected prevalence of 17.7% with the precision of 5% and 95% confidence in the estimate. To recruit 224 chickens, volunteer households with registered and used as a sample frame. Simple random sampling technique was applied to select chickens from the available sample frame.

\[
N = \frac{1.96^2 \times (p \times (1-p))}{d^2}
\]

Where: \(N\): Equired Sample Size; \(p\): Expected Prevalence; \(d\): Absolute Precision

Data collection

Facal sample from each chicken was collected from plastic sheet placed under each chicken separately kept overnight with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host Factor</th>
<th>Examined</th>
<th>Positive (%)</th>
<th>COR (95% CI)</th>
<th>AOR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20(35.1)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>28(16.8)</td>
<td>0.37(0.19-0.73)</td>
<td>0.30(0.17-0.68)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Exotic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>20(31.8)</td>
<td>28(17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.45(0.23-0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.46(0.23-0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n: Number of Positive; COR: Crude Odds Ratio; AOR: Adjusted odds ratio; BCS: Body Condition Score; CI: Confidence Interval.

Discussion

The overall prevalence of coccidial infections in chickens in the present study was 21.4%, which is comparable to the previously reported prevalence of 22.58%, 25.8%, 22.3% and 25.24% by other studies in different sites of Ethiopia Gari et al. [7], Ashenafi et al. [19], Alemayehu [22] and Amare [23] but significantly lower than the previously reported prevalence of 61.25% and 38.5% in Ethiopia Gariet al. [7], Netsanet [24] and 41.43% in South Africa Mwale & Masika [25]. However, the present finding was slightly higher than the previously reported prevalence of 11% reported in Central Ethiopia Lobago et al. [20]. The variation observed among the studies might be attributed to the sample size, epidemiology of coccidial infection in the comparison study sites, season of the year, agro ecology and differences in management systems of the chicken.

In the present study higher prevalence was recorded in adult chickens (30.4%) than the growers (17.4%). This finding disagrees with the reports of Ashenafi et al. [19] & Gari et al. [7] who reported higher prevalence, in growers (23.2%, 11.6%, respectively) as compared to adult chickens (35.3%, 22.3%, respectively). Fubb [26]and Jordan et al. [2] also indicated significantly higher prevalence of coccidiosis among naïve young chickens. The present finding also disagrees with the report of Julie [27], who stated that all ages of chickens are equally susceptible to coccidial infection. However, the higher infection rate recorded in adult chickens in the present study could be related to the unrestricted scavenging activities as compared to the younger chickens in the study area. Briefly, adult backyard birds are allowed to scavenge in village without any restriction and thus more likely to have access with sporulated oocyst in the contaminated environment. The growers in contrary separately supplemented with leftover cereal and other food items, as a result they spent most of their times in the vicinity of owners houses and less exposed to coccidial infections.

Although the background biological reason was not known, sex in the present study was significantly associated with coccidial infections. Being male sex was associated to the increased proportion of coccidial infection (35.1%) as compared to female sex (16.7%). This result is in agreement with the previous Ethiopian studies Garbi et al. [28], Alemayehu et al. [22] and Amare [23], although other finding did not report similar result PinardVL et al. [29].Analysis of breed in relation to coccidial infection in the present study was also found statistically significant (p=0.019), and exotic breeds were found less likely to be infected (17.4%) with coccidial infections as compared to local breeds of chickens (31.8%). This finding disagrees with the report of Gari et al. [7] and higher prevalence was recorded in exotic breeds than the local breed. The difference could be attributed to the vaccination and prophylactic drugs given to the exotic chickens while they were purchased and/or distributed from the local agricultural office or commercial poultry farms [30].

Conclusion

Even though relatively low, this study showed coccidial infection [31] could be important in the backyard chickens in the study area [32,33]. Significant associations were recorded between the occurrence of coccidial infections in chickens [34-36] and selected host factors such as sex, breed and age. Being female and exotic breed were found protective host factors as compared to their comparative factors such as being male and local breed [37-42]. However, being adult chicken was identified as animal level risk factor for being infected with coccidial infections [43-48]. Based on the above findings the following are forwarded as recommendations:

Recommendations

A. Adult and local chicken focused prevention and control strategy should be designed and executed to control and prevent coccidiosis in backyard chickens in the study area [49].

B. Chicken owners in the study area should be educated and aware on the proper management techniques [50-53].

Further studies are forwarded to identify the type of Eimeria species that are causing coccidiosis in backyard chicken in the study area [54].
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