



# Forestry and Biodiversity Conservation Research in the Indian Himalayan Region: Emerging Concepts

**GCS Negi\****Centre for Biodiversity Conservation & Management, India***\*Corresponding author:** GCS Negi, GB Pant National Institute of Himalayan Environment and Sustainable Development, Kosi-Katarmal, Almora, Uttarakhand, India**Submission:** 📅 May 18, 2018; **Published:** 📅 July 13, 2018

## Abstract

Forest ecosystems play a crucial role in sustaining life on the planet earth. In traditional thinking forests were seen mainly as a source of timber and minor forest products to generate income. However, the first Earth Summit held in 1992 at Rio De Janeiro emphasized the value of forests largely for the environmental benefits. It is encouraging to note that our understanding over the years, has broadened considerably to value and recognize the equally important role of forests as providers of economic, social and cultural benefits. Globally forests are now regarded as the major repository of nature to be conserved and managed for posterity, and not to be regarded solely as an important source of revenue. In the Indian context, forests are a prominent feature of the Indian landscape, covering almost a quarter (23.8%) of the geographical area of the country [1]. These forests are integral to the environment, economy, culture and history of the country. While providing multiple tangible benefits such as timber, fuel-wood, pulp-wood, fodder, fibre, medicines and other valuable non-wood products, forests also regulate the hydrological cycle, protect aquifers, conserve biodiversity, enhance carbon sequestration as a spin-off effect of forest conservation, and promote ecotourism. The release of Millennium Ecosystem Assessment was an important milestone, highlighting the dependence of humans on ecosystems, and stressed the need to better describe, quantify and value the ecosystem goods and service. Accordingly recent decades have witnessed several advancement and challenges in forestry research and management. This paper highlights the need to bring about a paradigm shift in the traditional thinking and approach in forestry research in respect of the Indian Himalayan Region (IHR) and evolve research programmes that are sensitive to stakeholder needs in addition to being forest friendly and in tune with the fast changing global thinking on the subject, especially in Rio+20 scenario..

**Keywords:** Forestry research; Biodiversity conservation; Priority issues; Indian himalayan region**Abbreviations:** IHR: Indian Himalayan Region; CC: Climate Change; FES: Forest Ecosystem Services; SWC: Soil and Water Conservation; REDD: Reduced Emission from Deforestation and Forest Degradation; UNFCC: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

## Introduction

The Indian Himalayan region (IHR) stretches over 2,500km from Jammu & Kashmir in the northwest to Arunachal Pradesh in the north-east, and covers partially/fully twelve mountainous states of India. The region with a geographical coverage of appx. 16.2% of the geographical area of the country is inhabited by about 42 million people. The IHR represents three biogeographic zones (namely, trans Himalaya, Himalaya and North East India) and nine biogeographic provinces. Each of these provinces has remarkable cultural, ethnic and biological diversity. The region contains snow-clad peaks, glaciers and dense forests with rich diversity. Over 9,000 Himalayan glaciers and high altitude lakes form a unique reservoir storing about 12,000 km<sup>3</sup> of fresh water [2]. Mighty streams like the Indus, Sutlej, Yamuna, Ganga, Kali, and Brahmaputra arise from the Himalayan region. In the IHR forest is major land use/land cover category (as recorded forest area). According to the State of Forest Report [1], forests cover ~ 41% of geographical area in the IHR out

of which 16.9% area is under very dense forest cover, 45.4% under moderate forest cover and the remaining 37.7% under open forest category. Himalayan forests are extensive and diverse and they differ significantly from both tropical and temperate forests with respect to structure, growth cycle and function; as well as in terms of ecosystem processes [3]. The forest vegetation in the Himalayan region ranges from tropical dry deciduous forests in the foothills to alpine meadows above timberline [4]. The biomass productivity (17.0 -21.0 t/ha/yr) of the pristine forests of the region is comparable to the highly productive forests of the world ranging from 15.0 – 30.0 t/ha/yr among major forests of the region [5]. The C pool in the IHR forests (vegetation + soil) has been estimated at 5.4 billion ton (@65 mt C sequestration which is annually valued at Rs.37.5 billion/yr) Singh [6]. Diversity and uniqueness of Himalayan forests has contributed significantly towards richness of biodiversity elements at different levels that places the region amongst 34 identified Global Biodiversity Hotspots.

The Himalayan region being a forest dominated landscape; the forestry sector calls for immediate attention and implementation of action oriented programmes [7]. In this context, keeping the experiences of on-going forestry research in the region in view, and recognizing the current needs, an attempt has been made through this article to highlight some important issues which require in-depth efforts to better understand the forests of this region, particularly for their role in human well-being and sustaining the Himalayan ecosystem. The emphasis in identification of issues is to highlight hitherto neglected and/or less explored areas of research that have convergence with the contemporary global thinking as well as hold greater significance for emerging priorities at the national level. For instance, India's National Action Plan on Climate Change-NAPCC [8], considering national and global importance of the Himalayan Ecosystem, has made special provision of a National Mission for Sustaining Himalayan Ecosystem, one of eight missions and the only mission which is location specific. Furthermore, specifically focusing on forestry sector, the National Mission for a Green India has given directions for defining priorities specially in order to address climate change (CC) vulnerabilities in the landscape by way of, enhancing carbon sinks in sustainably managed forests and other ecosystems, enhancing resilience and ability of vulnerable species/ ecosystems to adapt to the changing climate and enabling forest dependent local communities for better adaptation in the face of climate variability. Considering the above, it is an opportune time in the United Nations Decade on Biodiversity (2011-2020) to rethink and reorient our forestry research in the region to contribute in achieving long-term national and international goals of forest biodiversity conservation and sustainable development [9]. Further, in the Rio+20 era, when global attention has increasingly focused on sustainable development needs under the accelerated global change scenario, there is an urgent need to reorient research priorities to address the changing global needs in order to arrive at realistic monetary contribution of tangible and intangible benefits of forests to the GDP. Furthermore, the current global thinking on forests supports cross-sectoral and cross-institutional policies promoting sustainable forest management and stresses the importance of integrating sustainable forest management objectives and practices into the mainstream of economic policy and decision making [10].

### Emerging Concepts on Forestry and Biodiversity Conservation Research in the IHR

In the recent decades quantification and valuation of forest ecosystem services (FES) has occupied the centre stage of research agenda the world over. Emphasis is being paid to value the intangible services of the forest ecosystems so that conservation efforts of the stakeholders are rewarded in economic terms and compensation mechanisms (payment for ecosystem services) are devised and brought into the policy framework. In the IHR, FES are intricately linked with the livelihood of the people, hence well recognized but poorly understood [11]. Therefore, systematic studies are required to better understand, quantify and value the FES. Particularly the

regulating services of the forests such as pollination, soil and water conservation, soil fertility maintenance, C-sequestration, biodiversity conservation, etc., deserve priority attention. Forests play a key role in removal of accumulated CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere, and sequester it in vegetation, soil and wood products. There is a great scope for using Himalayan forests as C-sink and developing C-markets through the involvement of local inhabitants in management of forests [12,13]. Special efforts need to be made to harness benefits from programmes like 'Reduced Emission from Deforestation and Forest Degradation' (REDD) under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). This can be achieved if adequate emphasis is given to integrate policy studies and ecologists and environmental economists join hands to address this aspect.

The mainstay of mountain people in the region is subsistence agriculture based on biomass resources of surrounding forests. Each energy unit of agronomic production entails about 10-20 units of biomass energy from the forests in terms of fodder, forest floor litter for cropfield manuring, agricultural implements and firewood [14,15]. With the changing trends of climate and global economies, the agricultural scenario in the region is fast changing. This has certain impacts on the forests and forest resources. In this context, the carrying capacity of forests vis-à-vis agricultural intensification/diversification needs to be understood. While considering intricate linkages of forests with agriculture and horticulture in the region, among others, the role of forests in providing "pollination services" needs to be considered on priority. This need is evident, as over 90% of flowering plants are pollinated by animals and majority of crop plants are pollinated by insects; bee pollinated crops alone contributing about 30% of human food, and reduction in the population of native pollinators, due to habitat loss of insects will result into insufficient pollination and crop productivity [16,17]. Studies reveal that declining apple productivity in Himachal Pradesh is a result of inadequate pollination and the farmers are now compelled to rent colonies of honey bees for pollinating the apple orchards @ Rs.500/colony. [18], and devise short-term solutions (such as hanging flower bunches "bouquets" on apple trees until the "polliniser" trees in their orchards begin flowering) to attract the pollinators. Therefore, land use changes has consequent impacts on pollinators diversity and density.

As is well known, forests are also a rich repository of genetic diversity. In future biodiversity is expected to bring enhanced and economic prosperity to the people living in biodiversity rich areas, like the Himalaya. There is, therefore, an overwhelming need, with community participation, to make special plans, and provisions for initiating activities that will facilitate the maintenance, protection, conservation and wise use of biodiversity in the entire IHR [19]. The issues of forest biodiversity conservation, sustainable use and benefit sharing, as defined in the CBD Programme of Work for Mountains, deserve priority in forestry research. Among others, the role of forests as habitat for unique (i.e., endemic, charismatic, flagship or umbrella species) and high value (e.g., medicinal plants, wild edible plants, etc.) elements of biodiversity also requires ade-

quate research attention. Studies on response of forest biodiversity, especially the unique and high value taxa, towards changing climate and harvesting intensities would help in appropriately defining the effective forest management regimes. Further, the development of modern science and technologies notably biotechnology and information technology have increased the value of biodiversity and associated traditional knowledge of its use and conservation. Under the Biological Diversity Rules, 2004 published by Govt. of India, National Biodiversity Authority at National level and State Biodiversity Boards (SBBs) at State level have been formed and the process of constituting Biodiversity Management Committee (BMC) and maintaining 'People's Biodiversity Registers' has already begun in the country. The adequate research must flow in for making the products of this nationwide process authentic and acceptable across stakeholder groups and the access and benefit sharing (ABS) mechanism need to be given due consideration.

A critical assessment of available information on Himalayan forests is essentially required and the outcome should be used to create a globally acceptable Himalayan forest database such as: (i) most often, in existing literature, the ecological value of selected prominent (dominant/co dominant) taxa has been exaggerated with gross underestimation of the role of other associates. As a result, management and conservation prescriptions of forests are largely focused to dominant taxa; (ii) there is very little information on possible effects of habitat/spatial heterogeneity on demographic processes of individual species that masks the questions on inter and intraspecific interactions of plants; (iii) most of the available information appears to be biased in favour of hermaphrodite or monoecious group of species. Therefore, many significant dioecism dependent effects on structure and function of forest stands have remained unaccounted. These aspects, and many others, highlight the gaps in Himalayan forest database [20]. Such gaps can be filled through appropriately designed research studies in the region. Also, in view of the significance of Himalayan biodiversity, Government of India has established over 173 Protected Areas (PAs) in the IHR, which has steadily expanded over the years [19]. Therefore, there is a need to have a systematic conservation planning, which considers the detailed distribution patterns of biodiversity within forests, the socio-economic situations and conservation effectiveness of existing PAs [21].

Fire has now become an integral part of the mountain landscape, particularly around the human settlements. Forest fires of medium to severe magnitude are often witnessed in the IHR in late spring and summer, and sometime during the long dry spells in winter also. Further, anthropogenic pressures magnify the loss of forest wealth due to fire. Pine forests represent a fire adapted ecosystems [22], and the upward expansion of chir pine (*Pinus roxburghii*) in the climax oak (*Quercus* spp.) forests is ushering changes in the forest ecosystem services [23]. Some pioneering studies on forest fires do exist; however, fire being a powerful tool that affects the forested landscape and also contributes to black carbon in the atmosphere. These aspects need to be studied in some detail,

particularly with respect to several structural and functional attributes of forests (such as, soil erosion, nutrient leaching, invasion of weeds, loss to the native biodiversity, etc.).

In this region competition for resource use, development of road networks, hydro-power projects and other developmental activities, mineral extraction, market oriented agriculture, forestry and livestock grazing, etc., have contributed towards land use and land cover changes. Deforestation also causes change in precipitation, temperature and ultraviolet beta radiation arising from changes in CO<sub>2</sub> levels in the atmosphere and albedo effect, and the consequential damage to the ozone layer. Forests that experience a net loss of biomass volume through mortality due to disease or fire become net C emitters [24]. However, we lack adequate research on most of these aspects. Among others, effective use of RS and GIS technology towards assessment of patterns and intensity of land use and land cover change in the region, and development of likely scenarios both at spatial and temporal scale should form another research agenda in the region.

Climate change is a reality now; more so the Himalayan ecosystem is sensitive to this change [25,26]. In this context biophysical models in association with regional CC scenarios need to be used to assess the impact of CC on forest ecosystems in terms of shifts in boundary of forest ecosystems and upward movement of tree lines, forest ecosystem change matrix, change in species mix and composition of vegetation types and species vulnerability to identify vulnerable forest ecosystems, regions and hotspots. Implications of CC on phenological shifts in plants has been well established globally, particularly in temperate climate that has certain implications on structural and functional aspects of the forests, including mismatch in timing of pollinators, seed maturation and seed germination [27]. It is envisaged that the effects of CC on the sub-alpine and alpine plant species that inhabit mountain ranges with restricted habitat availability, above the tree line, would experience local extinction if they fail in moving to higher elevations [28]. Identification and management of corridors for facilitating effective movement of biota (including wildlife) in the face of CC have, therefore, should gain research attention.

Mountain communities have been conserving their forests since generations. Community managed/conserved forests, such as Van Panchayats (VPs) in Uttarakhand state [29], and in the north-east India are amongst the oldest surviving examples of community forest management in the IHR, and thereby contributing significantly to environmental conservation and providing a range of ecosystem goods and services to the stakeholder communities. Also these VPs meet essential requirements to operationalize REDD+ provisions in the region. The relationship of communities with forests, relevance and effectiveness of community managed forests under changing scenario, therefore, emerge as important areas of research for biologists and social scientists alike.

The Himalayan forests, on account of large dependence of inhabitants for a variety of biomass needs, are under different levels

of chronic disturbance. However, understanding of the relationships between disturbance levels, vegetation (and regeneration) patterns, which provide important basis for predicting the status of species diversity and population dynamics in plant communities is poorly understood [30,31]. Few studies in recent years have, however, provided empirical evidences of disturbance sensitivity patterns of Himalayan forests, such as in oak forests. [32] and sub-alpine forests [33] of the west Himalayan region. Considering these clues, forests in the region require more intensive investigations for better understanding the impacts of ongoing changes under continued anthropogenic pressure, and prioritization of such zones for implementation of afforestation and reforestation programmes in community wastelands to divert the pressure from surrounding forests should be used for devising research and action agenda. Also in, many of the old-growth forests regeneration is hampered on account of abiotic factors those require suitable silvicultural interventions [34] that require and systematic studies across diverse forests under varying disturbance intensities. In this context, invasion of weeds such as, *Lantana*, *Eupatorium*, *Parthenium*, spp. etc. have posed an additional risk to forest biodiversity and resources in the region that requires ways and means of systematic eradication of such weeds. Further, possibilities of utilization of these species, as a part of participatory eradication strategy, need to be worked out along with cost-benefit assessment [35].

Soil and water conservation (SWC) is one of the most important ecological services of the mountain forests. Regulation of hydrological regimes (stream flows and atmospheric moisture) by the forest vegetation both at local and regional scale drives a variety of ecosystem functions. Provisioning of water for people and role of forests in soil formation and soil fertility replenishment has both local and regional relevance [36]. In spite of this crucial link of forests and water the hydrology of the forests and forested watersheds in the IHR is least understood [37]. It is still uncertain that which of the forest types (viz., broadleaf or conifer) and tree growth forms (evergreen or deciduous) are desirable for achieving SWC in the region without compromising other ecosystem services reasonably. Therefore, hydrological studies on forests are essential for planning afforestation and soil and water conservation programmes in the IHR.

Forests are an important source of NTFPs (including medicinal plants) on which livelihoods of a large section of mountain communities depend. For example, *Morchella esculenta* (an edible fungi) and selling Kafal (*Myrica esculenta*) a wild edible fruit that is found in the forests of western Himalaya alone earn appx. Rs.1.4 million/season [38]. It is expected that with the CC, increase in drought cycles and concomitant increase in forest fires the benefits accrued from these forests would influence forests, NTFPs and medicinal plants based livelihoods of the inhabitants. It requires proactive actions for climate change resilient forestry on “forests for food” thinking and make strategies for forest management and encourage farm forestry to meet the need of the people in the face of CC. Thus, working out feasibility of forest resources and forestry activities in promoting rural development in the region should be considered

as a part of intensive research agenda. For example, the wealth of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) in pharma, nutraceuticals and cosmetic sectors in Himalayan forests could contribute significantly to the primary sector based domestic products, pushing up the per capita income of local communities.

In keeping with the fact that, due to unique vertical gradient, the Himalayan biodiversity is highly sensitive to CC as well as to the anthropogenic impacts, and realizing that the Himalaya is considered almost a ‘white spot’ in terms of climate data, development of long-term data sets relating to mountain meteorology and related aspects of atmospheric science have emerged as a research priority. Towards meeting this goal, the region would require a strong network of Long-term Observational Sites following globally accepted protocols. This initiative, along with the ones suggested earlier would help in adequately representing hitherto less represented Himalayan forest data base in global data base [39]. Vital aspects such as plant-animal interaction, reproductive biology, tree architecture, hydrological and soil and water conservation function of forests, soil binding capacity of root system, etc. have remained marginalized due to the so called anthropocentric bias in research activities; the situation calls for hard core taxonomists, ecologists, wildlife biologists and environmental engineers, etc. to take up these challenges in more holistic and integrated manner.

## Conclusion

In conclusion it need to be further emphasized that a wide spectrum of bio-physical gradients when superimposed with socio-cultural diversity make the IHR all the more heterogeneous, necessitating formulation of location-specific development plans (in tune with the principle of more inclusive growth) as well as finding solutions to the local problems, based on stakeholders needs, and through appropriate research investigations. Our actions on the ground and research agenda, both in the short-and-long-term, must ensure that forests should continue to sustain livelihoods, host biodiversity, help stabilize the climate, provide sustainable materials and renewable energy, contribute to greening the economy, protect soils and water, and prevent floods. Fragmented approaches and singular actions are bound to fail when attempting to address the complexity of these expectations and challenges [40]. What is required is to enhance our efforts, strengthen our commitments, establish robust roadmap at all levels along with well thought out research priorities, and appropriate and dynamic strategies to put sustainable forest management in practice for environmental conservation and well-being of the people of the IHR.

## Acknowledgement

Authors thank to Director, GB Pant National Institute of Himalayan Environment and Sustainable Development, Kosi-Katarmal, Almora for providing necessary facilities to write this article.

## References

1. FSI (2015) India state of forest report 2011. Forest Survey of India, Dehradun, India.

2. Valdiya KS (1998) Dynamic Himalaya. University Press, Hyderabad, India, p. 178.
3. Zobel DB, Singh SP (1997) Himalayan forests and ecological generalizations. *Bio Science* 47(11): 735-745.
4. Champion HG, Seth SK (1968) A revised survey of the forest types of India. Govt of India Publications, New Delhi, India, p. 464.
5. Singh JS, Singh SP (1992) Forests of Himalaya: structure, functioning and impact of man. Gyanodaya Prakashan, Nainital, India, p. 294.
6. Singh (2007) Himalayan forest ecosystem services. Central Himalayan Environment Association, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India, p. 53.
7. Negi GCS, Dhyan PP (2012) Glimpses of forestry research in the Indian Himalayan region. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh Publishers, Dehradun, India.
8. GoI (2008) National Action Plan on Climate Change. PM Council on Climate Change, GoI, New Delhi, India.
9. Anonymous (2009) Climate change and India: towards preparation of a comprehensive climate change assessment. Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change, Govt of India, p. 24.
10. Tolia RS (2011) A planning frame-work for the mountain states of India. Doon University, Uttarakhand, India.
11. Joshi G, Negi GCS (2011) Quantification and valuation of forest ecosystem services in the western Himalayan region, India. *Int J Biod Sci Ecosyst Serv & Mgmt* 7(1): 2-11.
12. Singh SP, Singh V, Skutsch M (2010) Rapid warming in the Himalayas: Ecosystem responses and development options. *Climate & Dev* 2: 221-232.
13. Kishwan J, Pande V (2011) India's forests and REDD+ ministry of environment and forests. Govt. of India, New Delhi, India.
14. Pandey U, Singh JS (1984) Energy-flow relationships between agro-and forest ecosystems in Central Himalaya. *Env Cons* 11(1): 45-53.
15. Ralhan PK, GCS Negi, Singh SP (1991) Structure and functioning of the agro forestry system in the pithoragarh district of Central Himalaya: an ecological viewpoint. *Agric Ecosys & Envi* 35(4): 283-296.
16. Mburu J, Hein LG, Gammill B, Collette L (2006) Economic valuation of pollination services: review of methods. FAO, Rome, Italy.
17. Shivanna KR (2011) Pollination services of crop plants-time for a hard look. *Biotech News* 6(1): 60-69.
18. Ahmad F, U Partap, SR Joshi, MB Gurung (2002) Please do not steal our honey. *Bees for Development Journal* 64(2): 1-9.
19. MoEF (2009) India's fourth national report to the convention on biological diversity. MoEF, GoI, New Delhi, India.
20. Rawal RS, Pandey B, Dhar U (2003) Himalayan forest database: thinking beyond dominants. *Curr Sci* 84(8): 990-994.
21. Langhammer PF, Bakerr MI, Bennun LA et al. (2007) Identification and gap analysis of key biodiversity areas: targets for comprehensive protected area systems. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, Europe.
22. Semwal RL, Mehta JP (1996) Ecology of forest fires in chir pine (*Pinus roxburghii* Sarg) forests of Garhwal Himalaya. *Curr Sci* 70(6): 426-427.
23. Singh JS, Rawat YS, Chaturvedi OP (1984) Replacement of oak forest with pine in the Himalaya affects the nitrogen cycle. *Nature* 311: 54-56.
24. Kyrklund B (1990) The potential of forests and forest industry in reducing excess atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Unasylya*, 41: 12-14.
25. Shrestha UB, Gautam S, Bawa KS (2012) Widespread climate change in the Himalayas and associated changes in local ecosystems. *PLoS ONE* 7(5): e36741.
26. Singh SP (2014) Attributes of Himalayan forest ecosystems: They are not temperate forests. *Proc. Indian Natn Sci Acad* 80(2): 221-233.
27. Menzel A, Sparks TH, Estrella N (2006) European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. *Global Change Biol* 12: 1969-1976.
28. Singh SP, Singh V, Skutsch M (2010) Rapid warming in the Himalayas: ecosystem responses and development options. *Climate & Dev* 2(1): 221-232.
29. Pathak N (2009) Community conserved areas in India- a directory. Kalpavriksha, Pune, India.
30. Singh JS, Singh SP (1992) Forests of Himalaya: structure, functioning and impact of man. Gyanodaya Prakashan, Nainital, India, p. 294.
31. Dhar U, Rawal RS, Samant SS (1997) Structural diversity and representativeness of forest vegetation in a protected area of kumaun Himalaya: implications for conservation. *Biodiv Cons* 6(8): 1045-1062.
32. Rawal RS, Gairola S, U Dhar (2012) Effects of disturbance intensities on vegetation patterns in oak forests of Kumaon, west Himalaya. *Journal of Mountain Science* 9(2): 157-165.
33. Gairola S, Rawal RS, Dhar U (2009) Patterns of litter fall and return of nutrients across anthropogenic disturbance gradient in three sub-alpine forests of west Himalaya. *India J For Res* 14(20): 73-80.
34. Singh SP, Rawat YS, Garkoti SC (1997) Failure of brown oak (*Quercus semecarpifolia*) to regenerate in central Himalaya: A case of environmental semi surprise. *Curr Sci* 73: 371-374.
35. Negi GCS, Palni LMS (2010) Responding to the challenges of climate change: mountain specific issues. pp. 293-307. In: N. Jeerath, Boogh R, Singh G (Eds) *Climate Change, Biodiversity and Ecological Security in the South Asian Region*. Macmillian Publishers India Ltd. New Delhi, India, p. 456.
36. Singh SP (2007) Himalayan forest ecosystem services. Central Himalayan Environment Association, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India, p. 53.
37. Negi GCS (2001) The need for micro-scale and meso-scale hydrological research in the Himalayan mountains. *Env Cons* 28(2): 95-98.
38. Bhatt ID, Rawal RS, Dhar U (2000) The availability, fruit yield, and harvest of *Myrica esculenta* in Kumaun (west Himalaya) India. *Mountain Research & Development* 20(2): 146-153.
39. Zobel DB, Singh SP (1997) Himalayan forests and ecological generalizations. *Bio Science* 47(11): 735-745.
40. Messerli B, Ives JD (1997) Mountains of the world: a global priority. Parthenon Publishing Group, USA, p. 495.



Creative Commons Attribution 4.0  
International License

For possible submissions Click Here

[Submit Article](#)



## Environmental Analysis & Ecology Studies

### Benefits of Publishing with us

- High-level peer review and editorial services
- Freely accessible online immediately upon publication
- Authors retain the copyright to their work
- Licensing it under a Creative Commons license
- Visibility through different online platforms